



Folk Culture, Language, and Popular Expression in Kanakadasa's Poetry

Shri. Raghavendra A.G.

Research Scholar

Dept. of English

Karnataka Folklore University, Gotagodi

Abstract

Kanakadasa occupies a central position in the history of medieval Kannada literature as a poet, philosopher, devotee, musician, and acute observer of social life. Although scholars typically place him within the Haridasa Bhakti tradition, reducing his poetry to mere devotional expression fails to capture its full depth. Within the Haridasa Bhakti tradition, his poetry cannot be adequately understood if it Reducing his poetry to devotional expression alone, as it fails to adequately convey its meaning within the Haridasa Bhakti tradition. to devotional expression alone. One of the distinctive features of his literary achievement is the manner in which he brings together philosophical thought, regional language, folk culture, oral tradition, music, and popular experience. His poetry repeatedly moves between the sacred and the ordinary, transforming the language of everyday life into a medium of philosophical reflection and social criticism.

This article argues that Kanakadasa's use of popular Kannada is not simply a question of stylistic simplicity; it constitutes an important cultural and epistemological intervention. He moves literary and philosophical knowledge from elite textual spaces into the everyday lives of regular people by using everyday words, proverbs, agricultural images, domestic experiences, food culture, job references, folk stories, and performative structures. His *Ramadhanyacharitre*, in particular, demonstrates how material culture can become a vehicle for interrogating social hierarchy and notions of value, while *Mohanatarangini*, *Nalacharitre*, *Haribhaktisara*, and his *keerthanas* reveal the breadth of his engagement with narrative, devotion, morality, and popular expression. The article also explores the connection between oral transmission and cultural memory, arguing that the musical and performative dimensions of Kanakadasa's poetry allowed his compositions to circulate beyond the confines of literacy. His poetry consequently occupies a productive space between classical and folk, written and oral, and philosophical and popular. Kanakadasa's enduring relevance lies in this ability to

transform the experiences of ordinary people into literary knowledge and to make Kannada itself a democratic medium of spiritual and social thought.

Keywords: Kanakadasa, Kannada literature, Haridasa tradition, folk culture, popular expression, oral tradition, Bhakti poetry, *Ramadhanyacharitre*, language, social criticism.

Introduction

The history of Kannada literature A sustained dialogue between classical literary traditions and the expressive cultures of ordinary people marks the history of Kannada literature. The history of Kannada literature is characterized by a sustained dialogue between classical literary traditions and the expressive cultures of ordinary people. Medieval Kannada literary history, in particular, cannot be understood as a simple progression from one elite literary form to another. It includes a variety of traditions in which regional language, oral performance, religious experience, folk culture, and social criticism interacted with established literary conventions. The Haridasa movement occupies an important place within this history because it brought devotional and philosophical ideas into Kannada through musical and performative forms intended for wider circulation. Kanakadasa, one of the most prominent figures associated with this tradition, represents perhaps one of its most compelling examples of the transformation of popular experience into literary expression.

Kanakadasa is generally remembered as a saint-poet whose compositions express devotion to Vishnu and Krishna. Such a description is correct but incomplete. His literary corpus includes *Nalacharitre*, *Mohanatarangini*, *Ramadhanyacharitre*, *Haribhaktisara*, and numerous *keerthanas*, *padas*, and philosophical compositions. His versatility as a writer distinguishes him from a poet who merely produced devotional songs. The classical Kannada literary database notes that he was among the Haridasas who composed substantial literary works in addition to *keerthanaskerthanas* and identifies the diversity of his literary production as one of his significant characteristics ("Kanakadasa").

The central argument of this article is that the power of Kanakadasa's poetry lies in the relationship between language, folk culture, and popular expression. These three dimensions should not be treated as separate elements. They constitute an interconnected literary strategy. His accessible Kannada makes his poetry intelligible to a broad audience; his incorporation of folk experience makes the poetry culturally recognizable; and its musical and oral character enables its circulation through collective performance. In turn, these features allow him to transform ordinary experience into philosophical and social knowledge.

This argument requires a reconsideration of the concept of "popular" in medieval literature. Popular expression should not be understood simply as simplified language intended for an unsophisticated audience. In Kanakadasa, the popular becomes a mode of knowledge. Agricultural life, food, family, occupations, village relationships, social customs, religious practices, and familiar objects are not merely illustrations of philosophical ideas. Philosophical thought is produced through these very materials.

The distinction is important. If Kanakadasa merely simplified an already existing philosophical discourse, his achievement would be primarily pedagogical. But he does

something more radical: he changes the location of philosophical discourse. He brings it into the marketplace of everyday life. He allows the grain, the household, the village, the body, the social relationship, and the spoken word to become sites of philosophical inquiry. His poetry consequently challenges the assumption that serious knowledge must be expressed in an elite language or within an elite literary form.

M. N. Nandakumara's extensive study of Krishna in Kannada literature is particularly relevant to this argument. His research observes that the compositions of the Haridasas brought cultural customs and local experiences into devotional poetry and helped communicate ideas previously associated largely with Sanskrit and highly Sanskritized literary traditions to ordinary Kannada-speaking people (Nandakumara). Kanakadasa's poetry should therefore be read not only as devotional literature but also as an important cultural process through which language, locality, and popular consciousness interact.

Language and the Democratization of Literary Knowledge

Language is the foundation of Kanakadasa's popular appeal. His poetry frequently employs an accessible form of Kannada closely connected with spoken expression. This linguistic choice is not merely aesthetic. It has social consequences in the sense that it determines who gets to participate in literary and philosophical discourse.

The Haridasa tradition practiced a literary tradition of composing devotional songs in the local language of Kannada and disseminating them through performance. Studies of the tradition note the importance of accessible language and musical forms in carrying religious and ethical ideas to wider audiences. The compositions combined literary expression with *raga*, *tala*, and local or *desi* structures, thereby creating a form of poetry that could be heard and performed rather than simply read by a restricted literate community ("Haridasa Literary Tradition of Karnataka").

Kanakadasa's linguistic accessibility should therefore be understood in relation to this larger transformation. His poetry does not abandon philosophical complexity; rather, it changes the linguistic form through which that complexity is communicated. Questions concerning devotion, knowledge, illusion, morality, social status, human pride, and spiritual identity can appear through familiar words and everyday situations.

This is a crucial distinction between simplicity and simplification. Simplification reduces an idea to make it easier. Kanakadasa often does something different: he finds an equivalent within ordinary experience through which an idea can be reimaged. The philosophical does not merely become easier; it becomes culturally embodied.

The importance of this process We can understand the importance of this process by examining the development of the Kannada literary language itself. The history of Kannada shows repeated moments in which literary writers expanded the expressive possibilities of the language by drawing closer to spoken and regional forms. The *Shastriya Kannada* account of literary language, for example, identifies the significance of writers who brought epic and philosophical material closer to common speech and notes the role of the Haridasa tradition in combining lyrical and descriptive modes ("Kannada: Literary Language").

Kanakadasa was part of this larger movement toward a more socially expansive literary language. His poetry shows that subtle philosophical ideas can be expressed in Kannada without losing touch with the language of the people. This is especially true when considering Bhakti literature, where the language of devotion is a means of challenging the distance between religious knowledge and everyday life.

His use of Kannada therefore carries an implicit cultural claim: the language spoken by ordinary people is capable of expressing the highest forms of spiritual and philosophical thought.

From Spoken Language to Poetic Language

Kanakadasa's relationship with popular speech is not simply a matter of vocabulary. It involves tone, rhythm, address, repetition, comparison, questioning, irony, and proverbial expression. These elements make his poetry sound close to conversation.

The conversational character of the poetry creates a distinctive relationship between poet and audience. The poet does not speak as a distant intellectual authority. He can question, admonish, persuade, laugh, criticize, confess, and reflect. This variety gives the poetry a dramatic quality even when it takes the form of a short devotional composition.

The oral basis of the Haridasa tradition reinforces this effect. The songs were designed to be sung and remembered. Consequently, memorable formulations become especially important. A phrase that can be easily recalled can survive independently of the complete poem and enter popular speech.

This phenomenon is not incidental. Research on Haridasa literature observes that proverbial statements, idiomatic expressions, and memorable lines from the compositions entered Kannada everyday speech and cultural memory ("Haridasa Literary Tradition of Karnataka").

Such circulation complicates the conventional distinction between literature and folklore. Normally, literature is imagined as a written text produced by an identifiable author, while folklore is associated with collective oral tradition. Kanakadasa's poetry occupies an intermediate position. It has an identifiable authorial origin, but its social life is collective. Once performed, memorized, and repeated, it becomes part of a larger cultural repertoire.

The poem thus acquires a second life beyond the manuscript.

Folk Culture as a Literary Method

Kanakadasa's engagement with folk culture is one of the most significant aspects of his literary imagination. He repeatedly draws upon the material world familiar to his audience: agricultural practices, food, family relationships, occupations, social customs, village life, animals, natural objects, and everyday human behavior. These elements should not be regarded as decorative references added to make the poetry attractive. They constitute the conceptual vocabulary of the poetry.

The folk world provides Kanakadasa with a set of concrete objects that represent abstract ideas. Kanakadasa explores abstract ideas through a set of concrete objects from the folk

world. A grain can represent social value. A household situation can reveal attachment. A social encounter can expose pride. A familiar occupation can provide a metaphor for human responsibility. The result is a poetic system in which the ordinary becomes intellectually significant.

This is particularly visible in *Ramadhanyacharitre*. The work centers on a dispute between food grains and transforms agricultural material into an allegorical representation of value. Scholarship on Kanakadasa has repeatedly identified the work as an important text for understanding questions of class, social hierarchy, poverty, and the value attached to different forms of labor and food. A research project at Mangalore University specifically describes "different readings" of *Ramadhanya Charitre* as an important area of Kanakadasa studies, recognizing the work's philosophical and literary complexity (Mangalore University).

The significance of the work lies precisely in its choice of subject. Kanakadasa does not require a royal court or mythological battlefield to stage a debate about social value. He chooses food grains. This is a powerful literary decision. Food is intimate. Agriculture is material. Grain is connected with labor. By placing these things at the center of a literary argument, Kanakadasa makes social value visible through the most ordinary objects of everyday life.

***Ramadhanyacharitre* and the Politics of Value**

Ramadhanyacharitre deserves special attention because it demonstrates how folk culture can become a form of social criticism. At the center of the poem is the contest between rice and ragi. Then they make those grains into characters who have a debate about superiority and value. The allegory allows Kanakadasa to contest the assumption that intrinsic worth is necessarily coextensive with prestige.

Ragi, in particular, carries associations with the food practices of ordinary and working communities in Karnataka. Its presence within the poem therefore has cultural and social significance. The conflict between the grains can be read as a debate about social hierarchy: what is valued by dominant groups may not necessarily possess greater human or material worth.

The work has consequently attracted interpretations connecting it with class conflict, social inequality, and the dignity of the poor. A study of the Haridasa tradition describes *Ramadhanya Charitre* as a work centered on the importance of ragi, the staple associated with poorer communities, and notes the different interpretations of the poem as an expression of divine concern for the humble, a satire on superficial prestige, or an early representation of class conflict ("Haridasa Parampare").

The poem is therefore more than a moral allegory. It demonstrates Kanakadasa's ability to convert material culture into social theory. The choice of grain is particularly significant because it resists abstraction. Instead of declaring that society should respect the poor, the poem constructs a literary world in which a grain associated with ordinary people becomes a central claimant to value. The politics of the poem consequently emerge through its folk vocabulary.

This is where Kanakadasa's popular expression becomes critical rather than merely communicative. The language of ordinary life becomes a language capable of questioning established hierarchies.

The Village as a Philosophical Space

Kanakadasa's poetry repeatedly challenges the assumption that philosophical knowledge must be separated from everyday experience. The village and the household become philosophical spaces. The significance of this approach is considerable. In conventional intellectual histories, philosophy is often associated with formal doctrines, scholastic debates, and abstract concepts. Kanakadasa introduces another form of philosophical practice: reflection through experience.

The field, the kitchen, the family, the marketplace, and the religious gathering all become places where human beings encounter questions of value and meaning. A farmer knows dependence because crops depend upon rain. A household knows attachment because relationships involve desire and responsibility. A person who participates in social life encounters pride, status, rivalry, generosity, and discrimination. Religious life itself exposes the difference between external ritual and internal transformation. Kanakadasa's poetry converts these experiences into reflection.

His achievement therefore resembles a form of cultural philosophy in which knowledge emerges from lived experience rather than from abstraction alone. This explains why his poetry can communicate with audiences possessing different levels of formal education. The audience does not need to master a philosophical system before approaching the poem. The audience already possesses the experiences from which the poem begins.

Oral Tradition, Music, and Cultural Memory

The oral and musical dimensions of Kanakadasa's poetry are essential to its popular character. His compositions belong to a tradition that seamlessly intertwines poetry and music.

The Haridasa tradition used musical forms such as *keerthana*, *suladi*, *ugabhoga*, and other structures associated with rhythm and performance. The tradition's influence on Kannada literature and South Indian music is well established, and Kanakadasa is recognized as one of its major poet-composers (Patil). Music changes the social life of a poem. A written text depends upon readers; a song can depend upon listeners, singers, families, religious groups, and communities. A song can be repeated in different locations and contexts. It can be remembered without the physical presence of the written text. This helps explain the extraordinary durability of Haridasa compositions.

Jyotsna Kamat notes that the compositions of the Haridasa poets were transmitted orally for centuries and that music, dance, rhythm, and simple instruments played an important role in their circulation. She also emphasizes the close relationship among literature, music, and devotion in the tradition (Kamat). The oral character of Kanakadasa's poetry therefore contributes directly to its democratic potential. A poem that can be sung can cross the boundary between literate and non-literate culture.

The audience becomes part of the text's survival.

This is why Kanakadasa's poetry can be understood as collective cultural memory. Each performance renews the text. Each singer participates in its transmission. Each generation can encounter the poem through an inherited cultural practice. The phrase "Popular Expression and the Body of Everyday Life" serves as a title or heading.

One of the most striking features of Kanakadasa's poetic world is its attention to everyday human experience. His poetry does not consider spirituality to be something completely apart from the body and the material world. Food, labor, family, physical existence, social status, and ordinary desires remain central to his reflections.

This is particularly important because Bhakti literature is sometimes interpreted primarily through transcendence. Kanakadasa certainly values spiritual transformation, but his path toward the spiritual repeatedly passes through the material world.

The body experiences hunger. The family creates attachment. Society creates hierarchy. Work creates dependence. Wealth creates pride. Ritual creates the possibility of both devotion and hypocrisy. These experiences become the materials of his poetry. The popular world is consequently not an obstacle to philosophy. It is where philosophy begins.

Folk Culture and Social Equality

Kanakadasa's use of folk culture also has implications for social equality. By placing the experiences of ordinary people within literature, he gives them cultural visibility. This is especially significant in relation to caste and social hierarchy. Kanakadasa's literary identity is associated with a social background outside the dominant Brahminical literary establishment, and scholarship on his life and works repeatedly discusses the relationship between his social position and his poetic criticism of hierarchy. The classical Kannada database describes his background and notes the social tensions and dilemmas reflected in his writings ("Kanakadasa").

It is important, however, not to reduce all of his poetry to modern categories of political resistance. His thought remains deeply embedded in Bhakti, Vaishnava theology, and the moral framework of his period. Nevertheless, his poetry repeatedly creates tension between inherited social status and spiritual worth. This tension is important.

Kanakadasa's argument is not simply that all social structures should disappear. Rather, he insists that external status cannot guarantee spiritual superiority. Devotion, ethical conduct, humility, and knowledge become more important measures of human worth. His use of popular language strengthens this argument because the criticism is communicated to the people whose lives are shaped by social hierarchy.

The Classical and the Folk

Kanakadasa's literary achievement becomes clearer if we reject a rigid opposition between classical and folk culture.

His poetry is deeply connected with classical religious and literary traditions. He draws upon the epics, Puranas, Krishna narratives, and philosophical traditions associated with Vaishnavism. At the same time, he translates these traditions into the cultural language of Karnataka. The result is a blend of classical and folk. It is a process of cultural translation. The classical story enters the regional language. The philosophical idea enters everyday speech. The mythological figure enters the cultural imagination of the village. The sacred narrative becomes part of domestic and musical life.

Nandakumara's study of Krishna in Kannada literature is especially useful in understanding this process. He argues that the Haridasa poets brought Krishna into the cultural world of local people by incorporating regional customs and experiences into their poems. In this process, Krishna became culturally intimate rather than remaining an exclusively theological figure (Nandakumara).

Kanakadasa's poetry participates strongly in this transformation. *Mohanatarangini*, *Nalacharitre*, and Literary Versatility Kanakadasa's engagement with popular expression becomes even clearer when his major narrative works are considered alongside his *keerthanas*.

Mohanatarangini demonstrates his interest in narrative, description, social life, and literary form. *Nalacharitre* employs the *Shatpadi* tradition in narrating the story of Nala and Damayanti. *Haribhaktisara* develops moral and devotional instruction through poetic form. These works show that Kanakadasa was not confined to one literary mode.

The range of his metres and forms is significant in itself. His literary practice shows a readiness to adapt traditional poetic forms to different subjects and audiences. Scholars of medieval Kannada literature associate *Mohanatarangini* with the *sangatya* form, *Nalacharitre* and *Haribhaktisara* with *Shatpadi* forms, showing his versatility in the local Kannada meters (Western Chalukya Literature in Kannada).

The importance of this versatility is often underestimated. A poet who writes across forms demonstrates an awareness of different modes of reception. Some works demand narrative attention; others depend on musical repetition; still others use moral instruction or philosophical reflection. Kanakadasa's literary practice therefore reveals an understanding of the relationship between genre and audience.

Popular Values and Ethical Instruction

Kanakadasa's popular expression also carries a strong ethical dimension. His poetry repeatedly addresses human behavior: pride, greed, hypocrisy, compassion, humility, devotion, and responsibility.

This moral orientation is characteristic of the broader Haridasa tradition. Contemporary scholarship describes the Haridasa literature as devotional and reformatory in nature, emphasizing the value of devotion, humility, ethical behavior and social equality (Patil).

His particular strength is to make ethical principles understandable through the use of everyday examples. He does not build an abstract system of morality, but he reveals the consequences of human action in daily life.

This method makes ethics experiential.

The listener does not simply learn that pride is wrong. The listener recognizes pride in social behavior. The listener does not simply learn that material possessions are temporary. The listener encounters the instability of worldly life through familiar experiences.

Ethics thus becomes part of cultural memory.

Humor, Irony, and the Critical Voice

Kanakadasa's popular expression also includes humor and irony. These are important because social criticism does not always require solemnity.

Humor can reveal contradictions in social behavior. Irony can expose the distance between religious appearance and ethical conduct. Satire can challenge the authority of social conventions without turning the poem into a formal philosophical argument.

Here also Ramadhanyacharitre is important. There's a literary joke in the very notion of grains arguing about which of them is the superior. Yet beneath that humor lies a serious question: why should one form of food, labor, or social existence be considered inherently superior to another?

The humorous structure makes the criticism accessible. The audience can enjoy the story while at the same time recognizing its social implications. This is one reason folk narrative is such a powerful literary resource. It permits serious criticism to travel through entertainment, memory, and performance. **Kanakadasa as a Cultural Mediator**

Kanakadasa can therefore be understood as a cultural mediator. He stands between different forms of knowledge and brings them into contact.

He mediates between:

- Sanskrit and Kannada traditions;
- classical and folk culture;
- written and oral literature;
- philosophy and everyday experience;
- elite religious knowledge and popular consciousness;
- mythology and regional cultural identity;
- devotion and social criticism.

This mediating role explains the breadth of his literary legacy. He did not simply translate Sanskrit ideas into Kannada. He recontextualized them.

When a philosophical idea enters a village narrative, it acquires new meanings. When a mythological figure appears in the language of domestic life, the relationship between divine and human changes. When social criticism is expressed through food grains, material culture becomes a philosophical category. Kanakadasa's literary method is therefore transformative rather than merely translational.

Cultural Memory and the Continuing Life of Kanakadasa

The continuing presence of Kanakadasa's poetry in contemporary Karnataka demonstrates the strength of its popular foundations. His compositions remain part of musical and educational practices, and institutions continue to organize recitations and study programs around his works. Mangalore University's Kanakadasa Research Centre, for instance, has documented research projects on his works and organized *Kanaka Keerthana* programs and *Kanaka Gangotri* programs involving students and the public (Mangalore University). This institutional continuation is important, but it does not fully explain his cultural survival.

The deeper reason is that his poetry remains performative.

- It can still be sung.
- It can still be remembered.
- It can still be quoted.
- It can still be interpreted in relation to contemporary concerns.
- Such flexibility is characteristic of living popular literature.

A text that survives only as an archival object belongs to literary history. A text that continues to be sung and interpreted belongs to cultural life.

Kanakadasa belongs to both.

Relevance in the Contemporary Context

The contemporary relevance of Kanakadasa's poetry lies partly in its ability to connect cultural identity with ethical reflection. Modern society continues to confront social inequality, prejudice, religious conflict, materialism, and the tension between external identity and internal moral conduct.

Kanakadasa's poetry does not provide modern political solutions to these problems. It does something more foundational: it questions the moral assumptions that allow such problems to persist.

His emphasis on humility is a challenge to social arrogance. His critique of empty ritual challenges superficial religion. His emphasis on the common man is an assault on cultural elitism. His use of regional language challenges the idea that intellectual seriousness requires linguistic distance from common speech.

His poetry is consequently relevant to contemporary debates about language and cultural democracy. In an age dominated by mass media and digital communication, accessibility is

again a central question. How can complex ideas be communicated without becoming detached from ordinary experience?

Kanakadasa offers one historical answer: begin with the language people speak, the objects they know, the stories they remember, and the cultural practices they share. From these materials, profound thought can emerge.

Conclusion

Kanakadasa's poetry demonstrates the extraordinary literary possibilities that arise when language, folk culture, and popular expression interact. His contribution to Kannada literature cannot be adequately explained by calling him simply a devotional poet. He is also a poet of everyday experience, a cultural interpreter, a social critic, and a mediator between different forms of knowledge.

His use of accessible Kannada is fundamental to this achievement. Language becomes a means of opening philosophical discourse to a broader audience. But linguistic accessibility alone does not explain his popularity. His poetry is powerful because the language is connected to a recognizable cultural world: agriculture, food, family, occupations, village life, social relationships, mythology, music, and everyday experience.

His *Ramadhanyacharitre* provides an especially powerful example of this method. By transforming rice and ragi into literary agents, Kanakadasa turns agricultural and food culture into a debate about social value. The poem shows how the everyday can be philosophical and how material culture can become a language of social critique.

This larger view of literature is reaffirmed in his other works. Mohanatarangini, Nalacharitre and Haribhaktisara reveal a poet who could shift between story, philosophy, morality, mythology and popular expression. His keerthanas are a testament to the importance of music and oral performance in the transmission of literature.

The oral character of his poetry is particularly significant. Through singing, repetition, performance, and collective memory, his compositions moved beyond the boundaries of written literature. They became part of the cultural vocabulary of Kannada-speaking communities. In this process, the distinction between literature and folklore became unstable: an authored poem could become part of collective cultural memory.

The most important critical conclusion, therefore, is that Kanakadasa's popular expression is not merely a technique of communication. It is a theory of cultural knowledge. His poetry suggests that wisdom can be found in ordinary life, that philosophical reflection can emerge from material culture, and that the language of common people can carry profound intellectual and spiritual meaning.

Kanakadasa consequently challenges the hierarchy between the classical and the popular. He does not reject inherited traditions; instead, he reworks them through Kannada language and local experience. He brings myth into the village, philosophy into everyday speech, devotion into music, and social criticism into folk narrative. His literary achievement is thus simultaneously linguistic, cultural, philosophical, and social.

It is in this synthesis that Kanakadasa's enduring significance is found. He popularized the philosophical, made the literary quotidian. His poetry demonstrates that cultural traditions become powerful when they speak in the language of lived experience. The field, the grain, the household, the village, the song, and the spoken word become legitimate sites of knowledge.

In this sense, Kanakadasa's poetry represents a democratic conception of literature. Literature does not belong only to courts, scholars, or institutions. It belongs to communities. It lives through speech, memory, music, performance, and shared experience.

The study of language, folk culture, and popular expression in Kanakadasa's poetry therefore opens a broader understanding of medieval Kannada literary culture. His poetry reveals a literary world in which the boundaries between elite and popular, sacred and ordinary, written and oral, and philosophical and social are constantly crossed.

Kanakadasa's most enduring achievement may consequently be expressed in one proposition: he did not merely write for the people; he transformed the experiences, language, and cultural imagination of the people into literature. It is this transformation that gives his poetry its remarkable historical importance and continuing cultural vitality.

Works Cited

- Kamat, Jyotsna. "Dasa Sahitya, or Slave Literature." *Kamat's Potpourri*, 14 Sept. 2003, updated 12 Aug. 2025.
- Kamat, Jyotsna. "Kanakadasa—Poet among Saints." *Kamat's Potpourri*, 17 June 2004, updated 13 Jan. 2026.
- "Kanakadasa, 1509–1608." *Shastriya Kannada is a classical Kannada resource related to the government of Karnataka*.
- "Kannada: Literary Language." *Shastriya Kannada*, Classical Kannada Database.
- Mangalore University. *Research Projects: Kanakadasa Research Centre*. Mangalore University.
- Nandakumara, M. N. *Krishna in Kannada Literature with Special Reference to Purandarasa and Kanakadasa*. PhD dissertation, School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, 1983. DOI: 10.25501/SOAS.00028862.
- Patil, Satish. "Haridasa Parampare in Medieval Karnataka." *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2023, pp. 827–831. DOI: 10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i1.2023.2176.
- Rice, B. Lewis. "Early History of Kannada Literature." *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, Cambridge University Press.
- Rice, B. Lewis. "Early Kannada Authors." *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, vol. 15, no. 3, 1883.
- Vaidya, Chandrashekhar S. "Popular Values in the Songs of Kanakadasa and Purandarasa: A Note." *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, vol. 11, no. 9, 2023.

- Vaidya, Shashidhar G. “Kanakadasa: A Carmelite of Karnataka.” *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, vol. 1, no. 3, 2013.
- *Haridasa Literary Tradition of Karnataka*. Hindupedia, Haridasa literary tradition resource.
- *Western Chalukya Literature in Kannada*. Reference resource on Kannada literary history and Haridasa meters and genres.
- Kanakadasa. *Haribhaktisara*. Various Kannada editions.
- Kanakadasa. *Mohanatarangini*. Various Kannada editions.
- Kanakadasa. *Nalacharitre*. Various Kannada editions.
- Kanakadasa. *Ramadhanyacharitre*. Various Kannada editions.

