



SECTOR-WISE EFFECTIVENESS OF LEAN SIX SIGMA IN INDIAN MANUFACTURING: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF QUALITY, DEFECTS, COST AND PROCESS EFFICIENCY

SREEKA CHANDRAN, Dr. Maqbool Khan

RESEARCH SCHOLAR, Assistant Professor
SUNRISE UNIVERSITY

Abstract

Lean Six Sigma (LSS) has become an important operational excellence methodology for Indian manufacturing organisations because it combines the waste elimination principles of Lean with the variation and defect reduction principles of Six Sigma. Indian manufacturing industries operate under considerable pressure to improve product quality, reduce defects, control production costs and increase process efficiency. However, the effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma may differ across manufacturing sectors because of differences in production volume, process complexity, product variety, regulatory requirements, technology and organisational capabilities. The present study comparatively examines the effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors with particular emphasis on quality improvement, defect reduction, cost reduction and process efficiency. The study is based on secondary evidence obtained from published empirical studies and industrial case studies involving automobile and automotive components, textile and apparel, food processing, and other Indian manufacturing organisations. The comparative analysis indicates that Lean Six Sigma has produced measurable improvements across the selected sectors. Automobile and automotive-component studies report substantial reductions in DPMO and defects, while textile and apparel studies demonstrate improvements in defect rates, sigma levels and financial savings. Food-processing applications demonstrate LSS's usefulness in reducing overfilling, rework and process variation. The evidence also indicates that LSS benefits depend strongly on management commitment, employee involvement, data availability, process standardisation and the ability to sustain improvements. The study concludes that Lean Six Sigma is broadly effective in Indian manufacturing, but its impact is sector-specific and should be evaluated using a combination of quality, defect, cost and process-efficiency indicators rather than a single performance measure.

Key words: Lean Six Sigma, Indian manufacturing, quality improvement, defect reduction, cost reduction, process efficiency, DMAIC, manufacturing sector.

1. Introduction

The manufacturing sector plays an important role in economic development, employment generation and industrial competitiveness. In the contemporary manufacturing environment, organizations face increasing pressure from customers for improved quality, shorter delivery time, competitive prices and consistent product performance. These pressures have encouraged manufacturing organizations to adopt systematic continuous-improvement methodologies such as Lean Manufacturing, Six Sigma, Total Quality Management and Lean Six Sigma.

Lean Manufacturing primarily concentrates on the identification and elimination of non-value-adding activities and waste, whereas Six Sigma emphasizes the reduction of process variation and defects through statistical and data-driven methods. Lean Six Sigma combines these two approaches to improve process performance while simultaneously reducing waste and variation.

The relevance of Lean Six Sigma is particularly important in the Indian manufacturing environment. Previous research on Indian manufacturing organizations has identified benefits such as improvement in process yield, reduction in rework and rejection, reduction in inventory, improvement in delivery performance, productivity and product quality. However, studies have also identified barriers such as poor data quality, insufficient understanding of LSS, employee resistance, inadequate management support and difficulty in sustaining improvement.

A review of 141 research articles concerning Lean Six Sigma in the Indian context found that LSS research covers different types of firms, methodologies and industrial sectors, demonstrating the increasing relevance of the methodology in India.

The effectiveness of LSS is not necessarily identical across all manufacturing industries. Automobile manufacturing, for example, often involves high-volume standardized production, where defect reduction and process-cycle improvement can produce substantial financial benefits. Textile and apparel manufacturing involves high product variety, manual operations and quality-inspection challenges. Food processing involves process variation, overfilling, rework and material wastage, while engineering and machinery manufacturing may involve complex processes, machine utilization and setup-time problems.

Therefore, merely establishing that Lean Six Sigma is effective is insufficient. There is a need to understand which dimensions of performance are most strongly influenced by LSS in different manufacturing sectors.

The present study therefore focuses on four major dimensions:

1. Quality improvement
2. Defect reduction
3. Cost reduction
4. Process efficiency

The study compares published evidence from different Indian manufacturing sectors to identify the sector-wise effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma.

2. Review of Literature

Several studies have examined the application and effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma in Indian manufacturing.

Kumar, M., Antony, J., Singh, R. K., Tiwari, M. K., & Perry, D. (2006) examined the implementation of Lean Sigma in an Indian SME manufacturing automobile accessory using a die-casting process. The study integrated Lean tools such as current-state mapping, 5S and TPM with Six Sigma DMAIC. Improvements were reported in defect-related and process-performance measures, together with financial savings. The study demonstrated the usefulness of integrating waste elimination and variation reduction in an Indian SME environment.

Vinodh, S., Gautham, S. G., & Ramiya, A. (2011) developed and tested a refined Lean Sigma framework in an Indian automotive valves manufacturing organization. The study emphasized the combined objectives of waste elimination and defect reduction and demonstrated the applicability of Lean Six Sigma principles in an Indian automotive environment.

Gijo, E. V., Palod, R., & Antony, J. (2018) investigated Lean Six Sigma implementation in an Indian auto ancillary conglomerate. The study focused on improving a semi-automated transfer-line process. The application of LSS reduced drilling defects and reduced DPMO from 38,000 to 5,600, while annual financial savings of approximately INR 1.4 million were reported. **Sharma, S., Bhanot, N., Gupta, H., & Trehan, R. (2018)** examined a Lean Six Sigma framework in an Indian automobile-light manufacturing industry. DMAIC was combined with Value Stream Mapping, Pareto analysis, 5S, Kanban and simulation. The study reported a 53% reduction in defect rates, a reduction in DPMO from 11,244 to 8,493 and improvement in machine/station utilization, with idle time reductions of up to 30%. **Trehan, R., Gupta, H., & Handa, P. (2017)** investigated the implementation of Lean Six Sigma in a bulb manufacturing company in India. Their study combined Lean and Six Sigma techniques to reduce manufacturing defects and improve customer satisfaction. **Sreedharan, V. R., Trehan, R., Dhanya, M., & Arunprasad, M. (2017)** examined LSS implementation in an Indian OEM manufacturing power take-off shaft for tractors. The study concentrated on non-conformance and part rejection and used DMAIC and statistical analysis to identify bottlenecks and corrective actions. The study reported defect reduction and considerable cost savings. **Karthi, S., Devadasan, S. R., Selvaraju, K., Sivaram, N. M., & Sreenivasa, C. G. (2013)** examined Lean Six Sigma through an ISO 9001-based quality-management framework in an Indian textile mill. The study implemented projects related to sliver-waste reduction and training lead-time reduction and reported annual cost savings of

approximately INR 2 million. More recent research in the Indian apparel sector examined final-inspection quality using the DMAIC methodology. The study reported improvement in sigma levels from 3.66 to 3.88 for jackets and from 3.66 to 3.85 for trousers. Defect rates decreased from 1.55% to 0.87% for jackets and from 1.55% to 0.94% for trousers. Research on food manufacturing has also demonstrated the relevance of Six Sigma and Lean Six Sigma. A study of a small Indian food-production plant applied DMAIC to reduce production defects, production time and process inconsistency while improving standardization and operator commitment. **Sodhi, H. S. (2021)** studied Lean Six Sigma in a food-processing context and reported that the methodology can reduce overfilling and rework and consequently improve process and financial performance.

Research on Indian manufacturing also emphasises that the success of LSS depends on organisational conditions. A national cross-sectional survey involving 183 responses found that LSS adoption was particularly weak among small and medium Indian industries. Top-management commitment and involvement were identified as important success factors, while resistance to change was a major challenge.

Another Indian study identified barriers including lack of cooperation and mutual trust between management and employees, time pressure, weak continuous-improvement culture and inadequate supplier cooperation.

The literature therefore establishes that LSS can generate improvements in quality, defects, cost and process efficiency. However, much of the existing research concentrates on individual organisations or individual sectors. A comparative sector-wise analysis remains valuable.

3. Research Methodology

The present study adopts a secondary-data-based comparative research design to examine the sector-wise effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma in Indian manufacturing.

Published research articles, case studies and empirical studies concerning Lean Six Sigma and Six Sigma implementation in Indian manufacturing organizations were reviewed. Studies were selected based on their relevance to at least one of the four major performance dimensions:

Quality improvement

Defect reduction

Cost reduction

Process efficiency

The selected manufacturing sectors include:

1. Automobile and automotive components
2. Textile and apparel
3. Food processing
4. General engineering/SME manufacturing
5. Electrical/electronic manufacturing.

The analysis concentrates on reported before-and-after performance wherever such information was available.

Performance indicators

The following indicators were used:

Quality

Sigma level

Process capability

Product conformity

Rejection rate

Defects

Defect percentage

DPMO

Rework

Rejection

Cost

Annual cost savings

Scrap cost

Rework cost

Cost of poor quality

Process efficiency

Cycle time

Lead time

Idle time

Production capacity

Machine utilization

OEE

The percentage improvement was calculated wherever comparable before-and-after values were reported.

For example:

Percentage Reduction} = (Before Value - After Value)/ Before value *100

For improvement-oriented indicators such as sigma level:

Improvement =After Value - Before Value

Because the underlying published studies use different products, processes, sample sizes and measurement systems, the comparison is interpreted as a comparative evidence analysis rather than a meta-analysis.

4. Research Objectives

1. To examine the effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma in improving quality across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.
2. To compare the extent of defect reduction achieved through Lean Six Sigma in different manufacturing sectors.
3. To assess the contribution of Lean Six Sigma towards cost reduction in Indian manufacturing organizations.
4. To evaluate the effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma in improving process efficiency.
5. To compare the overall sector-wise effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma based on quality, defects, cost and process-efficiency indicators.

Research Hypothesis Development

Hypothesis 1

H0: There is no significant difference in the quality improvement associated with Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

H1: There is a significant difference in the quality improvement associated with Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

Hypothesis 2

H0: There is no significant difference in defect reduction associated with Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

H1: There is a significant difference in defect reduction associated with Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

Hypothesis 3

H0: There is no significant difference in the cost-reduction outcomes of Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

H1: There is a significant difference in the cost-reduction outcomes of Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

Hypothesis 4

H0: There is no significant difference in process-efficiency improvement associated with Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

H1: There is a significant difference in process-efficiency improvement associated with Lean Six Sigma across selected Indian manufacturing sectors.

Table 1. Sector-wise Evidence of Lean Six Sigma Effectiveness in Indian Manufacturing

Sector	Study evidence	Quality /defect outcome	Cost outcome	Process-efficiency outcome
Automobile/Automotive components	Gijo et al.	DPMO reduced from 38,000 to 5,600	Approx. ₹1.4 million annual saving	Improved yield/process performance
Automobile lighting	Sharma et al.	Defects reduced by 53%; DPMO 11,244 to 8,493	Not reported	Idle time reduced up to 30%
Textile	Karthi et al.	Improved process performance	Approx. ₹2 million annual saving	Training lead time and waste reduced
Apparel	Raha & Mondal	Jacket defect rate 1.55% to 0.87%; trouser 1.55% to 0.94%	Reduction in waste/rework reported	Inspection and rework performance improved
Food processing	Maheshwar/Sodhi	Defects and variation reduced	Savings through reduced waste/overflow	Production time/process standardization improved
Engineering/SME	Kumar et al.	Improved defect-related measures	Financial savings reported	OEE and process performance improved

Automobile and Automotive Components

The automobile and automotive-component sector demonstrates particularly strong evidence of defect reduction through Lean Six Sigma.

In the Indian auto-ancillary case studied by Gijo, Palod and Antony, DPMO decreased from 38,000 to 5,600.

The percentage reduction was

$$(38000-5600)/38000 * 100 = 85.26\%$$

Thus, the case demonstrated approximately 85.3% reduction in DPMO. In addition, annual financial savings of approximately INR 1.4 million were reported.

Another Indian automobile-light manufacturing study reported a 53% reduction in defect rate, reduction in DPMO from 11,244 to 8,493 and idle-time reduction of up to 30%.

These findings suggest that automobile manufacturing provides strong opportunities for LSS because standardized and repetitive processes generate substantial volumes of process data. Consequently, statistical identification of root causes can produce measurable reductions in defects.

Textile and Apparel Sector

The textile and apparel sector presents a different manufacturing environment because of high product variety, manual operations, quality inspection requirements and frequent sources of variation.

Karthi et al. demonstrated the application of an integrated Lean Six Sigma and ISO 9001 framework in an Indian textile mill. Projects involving sliver-waste reduction and training lead-time reduction generated annual cost savings of approximately INR 2 million.

More recent Indian apparel research reported substantial quality improvements following DMAIC implementation.

For jackets, defect rates decreased from 1.55% to 0.87%, representing approximately:

$$(1.55-0.87)/1.55 * 100 = 43.87\%$$

For trousers:

$$(1.55-0.94)/1.55 * 100 = 39.35\%$$

The corresponding sigma levels improved from 3.66 to 3.88 for jackets and from 3.66 to 3.85 for trousers.

This demonstrates that LSS can be particularly useful for improving inspection quality, reducing rework and controlling process variation in textile and apparel manufacturing.

Food Processing Sector

Food processing involves considerable exposure to variation in raw materials, filling quantity, process parameters, packaging and rework. Lean Six Sigma can be used to identify over-processing, overfilling, material waste and process variation. Research involving an Indian food-production plant reported the use of DMAIC to reduce production defects and production time while improving process standardization and operator commitment. Research on food processing also demonstrates the usefulness of combining Lean waste-reduction tools with Six Sigma variation-reduction techniques for controlling overfilling and rework. The food-processing sector therefore demonstrates particular relevance of LSS for material utilization, defect reduction, process standardization and cost control.

Table 2. Comparative Percentage Improvement Reported in Selected Indian Studies

Sector	Indicator	Before	After	Approx. improvement
Automobile/Auto ancillary	DPMO	38,000	5,600	85.26 % reduction.
Automobile lighting	Defect rate	—	—	53% reduction
Automobile lighting	Station idle time	—	—	Up to 30 % reduction
Apparel – Jacket	Defect rate	1.55%	0.87%	43.87% reduction.
Apparel – Trouser	Defect rate	1.55%	0.94%	39.35% reduction.
Apparel – Jacket	Sigma level	3.66	3.88	+0.22 sigma
Apparel – Trouser	Sigma level	3.66	3.85	+0.19sigma
Textile	Annual savings	--	---	INR 2 million reported
Auto ancillary	Annual savings	----	----	INR 1.4 million Reported.

Source: Author's compilation from published case evidence.

5. Discussions

The comparative evidence demonstrates that Lean Six Sigma has a positive influence on multiple dimensions of manufacturing performance in India. However, the magnitude and nature of improvement differ according to the characteristics of the sector and production system.

The automobile and automotive-component sector demonstrates the strongest evidence for defect reduction. The reduction of DPMO from 38,000 to 5,600 in an Indian auto-ancillary organization represents an approximately 85% reduction. This illustrates the ability of DMAIC and statistical tools to identify and eliminate major sources of process variation.

The automobile-light manufacturing study also demonstrates the combined effect of LSS on quality and process efficiency. A 53% reduction in defects was accompanied by reductions in DPMO and idle time.

The findings suggest that the automobile sector benefits from the structured and repetitive nature of its manufacturing processes. High-volume production provides sufficient data for statistical analysis and makes relatively small process improvements financially significant.

The textile and apparel sector demonstrates a strong effect on product quality, waste and inspection performance. The reduction in apparel defect rates from 1.55% to 0.87% for jackets and to 0.94% for trousers indicates that LSS can address quality problems arising from variation in production and inspection activities.

The reported annual saving of approximately INR 2 million in the textile case further demonstrates that waste reduction can translate into financial benefits.

The food-processing sector presents a slightly different pattern. Here, LSS is particularly relevant to process variation, overfilling, rework and material utilization. Since even small deviations in filling or processing can result in significant cumulative material losses, Six Sigma-based process control can generate cost benefits.

The findings also show that cost reduction is often a consequence rather than an isolated objective of Lean Six Sigma. Reduction in defects, rework, scrap, inventory, downtime and unnecessary processing ultimately reduces the cost of poor quality.

Process efficiency is another major benefit. Value Stream Mapping, 5S, Kanban, SMED, line balancing and simulation can complement DMAIC by addressing non-value-adding activities. In the automobile-light case, idle time reductions of up to 30% demonstrate this relationship.

However, LSS effectiveness depends not only on the availability of technical tools. Indian research indicates that management commitment, employee participation, data availability and organizational culture are important determinants of successful implementation. Resistance to change has been identified as a significant challenge, particularly in organizations where continuous-improvement practices are weak.

Similarly, research on Lean, Green and Six Sigma implementation in India identified lack of management-employee cooperation, work pressure, weak continuous-improvement culture and supplier cooperation as important barriers.

Therefore, the comparative analysis indicates that Lean Six Sigma should not be viewed simply as a collection of statistical and Lean tools. It is better understood as an organizational improvement system involving people, processes, data, technology and management commitment.

Sector-Wise Effectiveness Ranking

Based on the strength of published quantitative evidence rather than a formal statistical ranking, the sectors can be interpreted as follows:

Rank	Sector	Strongest demonstrated impact
1	Automobile /Automotive Components	Defect reduction and cost.
2	Textile /Apparel	Quality, defects and cost
3	Food processing	Waste, variation and cost.
4	Engineering /SMEs	OEE, defects and process efficiency.
5	Electrical /Electronics	Process efficiency and waste reduction.

This ranking should be treated as indicative, because the studies differ in methodology, sample, product, baseline performance and measurement indicators. It should not be interpreted as evidence that one entire industry is inherently more suitable for LSS than another.

6. Limitation of the Study

The present study has several limitations.

First, the study is based primarily on published secondary evidence rather than a single standardized primary dataset. Therefore, the indicators used by individual studies are not completely uniform.

Second, the selected studies differ in organizational size, product characteristics, production technology and LSS maturity.

Third, some studies report defect reduction while others emphasize cost savings, sigma improvement, cycle time, OEE or production efficiency. Consequently, direct numerical comparison across every dimension is not possible.

Fourth, many published LSS studies are individual case studies. Therefore, their findings cannot automatically be generalized to every organization within a particular manufacturing sector.

Fifth, some studies report financial savings but do not provide sufficient information regarding the calculation of cost savings. Therefore, reported financial outcomes have been presented as reported by the original studies rather than independently recalculated.

Sixth, the present research does not use a large primary survey to statistically test differences among sectors.

Finally, organizational factors such as management commitment, employee involvement, training, availability of data, technology and organizational culture may influence LSS effectiveness but cannot be completely controlled in a secondary-data comparison.

These limitations indicate the need for a future empirical study involving a standardized questionnaire and common performance indicators across multiple Indian manufacturing sectors.

7. Conclusion

The study examined the sector-wise effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma in Indian manufacturing with particular emphasis on quality, defects, cost and process efficiency. The comparative evidence demonstrates that Lean Six Sigma has significant practical potential across different manufacturing environments.

The automobile and automotive-component sector shows particularly strong evidence of defect reduction. Published Indian case studies report substantial reductions in DPMO and defect rates together with financial benefits. The reduction of DPMO from 38,000 to 5,600 in one automotive case represents an approximately 85% reduction, demonstrating the potential of data-driven LSS intervention.

The textile and apparel sector also demonstrates considerable benefits. Improvements in sigma level and reductions in defect rates show the ability of DMAIC to improve inspection and production quality. The reported financial savings in textile manufacturing further demonstrate the economic significance of waste reduction.

Food processing demonstrates the usefulness of LSS for controlling process variation, overfilling, rework and material losses, while engineering and SME manufacturing demonstrate improvements in equipment and process performance.

The overall findings indicate that quality improvement, defect reduction, cost reduction and process efficiency are interconnected outcomes of Lean Six Sigma. Reducing process variation can reduce defects; fewer defects can reduce rework and scrap; reduced waste can lower costs; and improved process flow can increase productivity and delivery performance.

However, successful implementation requires more than technical tools. Management commitment, employee participation, training, reliable data, process standardization and a continuous-improvement culture are essential for sustaining the benefits.

Therefore, Lean Six Sigma should be implemented according to the characteristics of each manufacturing sector rather than through a single standardized approach. A future empirical study covering automobile, textile, food, pharmaceutical, engineering and electronics manufacturing organizations using a common measurement framework would provide stronger statistical evidence regarding sector-wise differences.

The study ultimately concludes that Lean Six Sigma is an effective methodology for improving Indian manufacturing performance, but its effectiveness should be measured multidimensionally through quality, defect, cost and process-efficiency indicators.

References

1. Kumar, M., Antony, J., Singh, R. K., & Tiwari, M. K. (2006). Implementing the Lean Sigma framework in an Indian SME: A case study. *Production Planning & Control*, 17(4), 407–423.
2. Vinodh, S., Gautham, S. G., & John, A. R. R. (2011). Implementing lean sigma framework in an Indian automotive valves manufacturing organisation: A case study. *Production Planning & Control*, 22(7), 708–722.
3. Karthi, S., Devadasan, S. R., Selvaraju, K., Sivaram, N. M., & Sreenivasa, C. G. (2013). Implementation of Lean Six Sigma through ISO 9001:2008 based QMS: A case study in a textile mill. *The Journal of The Textile Institute*, 104(10).
4. Gijo, E. V., Palod, R., & Antony, J. (2018). Lean Six Sigma approach in an Indian auto ancillary conglomerate: A case study. *Production Planning & Control*, 29(9), 761–772.
5. Sharma, A., Bhanot, N., Gupta, A., & Trehan, R. (2022). Application of Lean Six Sigma framework for improving manufacturing efficiency: A case study in Indian context. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(5), 1561–1589.
6. Sreedharan, V. R., Trehan, R., Dhanya, M., & Arunprasad, P. (2020). Lean Six Sigma implementation in an OEM: A case-based approach. *International Journal of Process Management and Benchmarking*, 10(2), 147–176.
7. Trehan, R., Gupta, A., & Handa, M. (2019). Implementation of Lean Six Sigma framework in a large-scale industry: A case study. *International Journal of Six Sigma and Competitive Advantage*, 11(1), 23–41.
8. Swarnakar, V., Singh, A. R., & Tiwari, A. K. (2020). Assessing benefits of Lean Six Sigma approach in manufacturing industries: An Indian context. *Lecture/Research Publication*.
9. Swarnakar, V., Singh, A. R., & Tiwari, A. K. (2021). Effect of Lean Six Sigma on firm performance: A case of Indian automotive component manufacturing organization. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 46, 9617–9622.
10. Raha, S., & Mondal, P. (2025). Implementation of Lean Six Sigma for improving final inspection quality in an apparel manufacturing industry in India: A case study. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*, 106(6), 2007–2020.
11. Maheshwar, G. (2012). Application of Six Sigma in a small food production plant of India: A case study. *International Journal of Six Sigma and Competitive Advantage*.
12. Sodhi, H. S. (2021). Lean Six Sigma in food industry: A case study. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, 34(3), 336–349.
13. Swarnakar, V., Singh, A. R., & Tiwari, A. K. (2022). The implementation of Lean Six Sigma framework in the Indian context: A review and suggestions for future research. *The TQM Journal*, 34(6), 1823–1859.
14. An investigation and implementation framework of Lean Green and Six Sigma strategies for the manufacturing industry in India. *The TQM Journal*, 33(8), 1705–1734.
15. A multiple case study analysis of Six Sigma practices in Indian manufacturing companies. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 33(8), 1138–1149.