JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Interprofessional Collaboration as a Pathway from Transformational Healthcare Leadership to Patient Safety and Health Economic Outcomes in Multi-speciality Hospitals

Shivendra Pratap Singh [1], Dr. Megha Kansal [2]

[1] Research Scholar, Faculty of Management, Asian International University, Imphal, Manipur
[2] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management, Asian International University, Imphal, Manipur

Abstract

Background: Healthcare organizations face increasing challenges related to patient safety, multidisciplinary coordination, resource utilization, and increasing healthcare costs. Transformational leadership may strengthen interprofessional collaboration and contribute to safer and more efficient healthcare delivery systems.

Objective: This study examined the relationships among transformational healthcare leadership (THL), interprofessional collaboration (IPC), patient safety (PS), and health economic outcomes (HEO), emphasizing the mediating role of IPC.

Methods: A quantitative, cross-sectional, non-experimental, explanatory design was adopted in selected tertiary and multi-speciality healthcare institutions in a massive planning and metropolitan region centered around the National Capital Territory (NCT) of Delhi. The sample comprised **628 healthcare professionals and managers**, including doctors, nurses, pharmacists, allied health professionals, and administrators. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Cronbach's alpha, exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis, Pearson's correlation, regression, structural equation modelling, and bootstrap mediation analysis.

Results: THL was positively associated with IPC (**β = .71, p < .001**). IPC was positively associated with PS (**β = .53, p < .001**), while PS was associated with HEO (**β = .58, p < .001**). Significant indirect effects were observed through IPC and PS, including the sequential pathway THL → IPC → PS → HEO (**β = .218, 95 CI = .158–.284**).

Keywords: Transformational Healthcare Leadership; Interprofessional Collaboration; Patient Safety; Health Economic Outcomes; Healthcare Management; Hospital Efficiency

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Rationale

Healthcare delivery has become increasingly complex because patients frequently require care from multiple professional groups working across clinical departments and organizational boundaries. Contemporary hospitals must simultaneously address clinical quality, patient safety, workforce coordination, resource

utilization, financial sustainability, and patient expectations. The World Health Organization (WHO) identifies patient safety as a global health priority and emphasizes the need for systems, leadership, learning mechanisms, and coordinated actions to reduce avoidable harm in healthcare.(Williams, 2017)

Within this environment, leadership is increasingly recognized as an organizational determinant of healthcare quality and safety. Transformational leadership emphasizes vision, inspiration, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, empowerment, and organizational change. Evidence synthesized in recent systematic reviews indicates that transformational leadership is associated with improved work environments and favorable patient and organizational outcomes.

Interprofessional collaboration is equally important because healthcare professionals must coordinate their knowledge, responsibilities, decisions, and clinical activities. A systematic review of 64 studies found that professionals contribute to collaboration by bridging professional and task-related gaps, negotiating role overlap, and creating opportunities for collaborative work (Schot et al., 2019). A meta-review of 36 systematic reviews further showed that organizational, team, and individual factors jointly influence interprofessional collaboration and its outcomes (Schot et al., 2019).

Patient safety is closely connected to healthcare organizational processes. Recent evidence indicates that effective leadership can improve teamwork, communication, organizational learning, feedback, and perceptions of safety. (Montminy, 2022)At the same time, patient safety has an economic dimension because preventable errors, complications, inefficient care processes, prolonged hospitalization, and avoidable readmissions may consume additional healthcare resources. Recent evidence on hospital efficiency emphasizes collaboration, service integration, locally tailored improvement strategies, and resource optimization as important components of sustainable cost management.

1.2 Research Problem

Although leadership, interprofessional collaboration, patient safety, and healthcare efficiency have received considerable scholarly attention, these constructs are often investigated independently. Research has more frequently examined transformational leadership in relation to staff outcomes or patient safety, while interprofessional collaboration has been commonly investigated in relation to teamwork and clinical outcomes. (Smith et al., 2018)Comparatively fewer empirical studies have examined how transformational healthcare leadership may influence patient safety and economic outcomes through interprofessional collaboration.

1.3 Research Gap

Three major gaps motivated this study. First, there is a **conceptual gap** because leadership, collaboration, patient safety, and health economic outcomes are frequently treated as separate constructs. Second, there is an **empirical gap** concerning the mediating role of interprofessional collaboration between transformational leadership and healthcare outcomes. Third, there is a **contextual gap** because evidence from multispecialty hospitals in the A massive planning and metropolitan region centered around the National Capital Territory (NCT) of Delhi.remains comparatively limited. This study addresses these gaps by testing an integrated model.

1.4 Research Objectives

The study aimed to:

1. The relationship between transformational healthcare leadership and interprofessional collaboration was examined.
2. Examine the relationship between transformational healthcare leadership and patient safety
3. Examine the relationship between interprofessional collaboration and patient safety
4. Examine the relationship between patient safety and health economic outcomes
5. This study examined the integrated relationships among transformational healthcare leadership, interprofessional collaboration, patient safety, and health economic outcomes, including the mediating role of interprofessional collaboration.

1.5 Research Questions

This study addressed the following questions:

1. Is transformational healthcare leadership significantly associated with interprofessional collaboration?
2. Is transformational healthcare leadership significantly associated with patient safety?
3. Is interprofessional collaboration significantly associated with patient safety
4. Is patient safety significantly associated with economic health outcomes?
5. Does interprofessional collaboration mediate the relationship between transformational healthcare leadership and patient safety and health economic outcomes?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Transformational Leadership in Healthcare

Transformational leadership is based on the capacity of leaders to motivate followers beyond immediate transactional exchanges and to encourage commitment to shared organizational goals. (Birla, 2005)In healthcare, its relevance arises from the need to coordinate professional groups, manage organizational change, encourage learning, and establish a culture of quality and safety.

Recent systematic evidence supports the relationship between transformational leadership and healthcare outcomes. Ystaas et al. reported that transformational leadership positively influences nurses' work environments and patient-related outcomes through mechanisms such as empowerment, organizational commitment, and job satisfaction. Lee et al., reviewing 14 quantitative studies, identified a positive trend between effective nurse-manager leadership and patient outcomes, error reporting, patient satisfaction, and quality of care.

A 2024 systematic review and meta-analysis also found evidence that transformational leadership positively influences patient safety culture and quality of care, although some effects appear to operate through organizational culture, job satisfaction, and other intermediate mechanisms. These findings support the examination of collaboration as a possible pathway, rather than assuming that leadership operates exclusively through direct effects.

2.2 Interprofessional Collaboration

Interprofessional collaboration refers to healthcare professionals from different disciplines working together to provide coordinated and patient-centered care. Important dimensions include communication, coordination, teamwork, shared decision-making, role clarity, mutual respect, and conflict resolution.(Huber, 2022)

Evidence indicates that collaboration depends on factors operating at the organizational, team, and individual levels. Wei et al. found that effective collaboration involves mutually reinforcing relationships between these levels and can generate benefits for patients, professionals, and organizations. Schot et al. similarly emphasized that collaboration requires active contributions from professionals, including bridging gaps and negotiating professional roles.

2.3 Patient Safety

Patient safety encompasses the prevention and reduction of avoidable harm associated with healthcare. A safety culture involves open communication, teamwork, organizational learning, error reporting, leadership support, effective handoffs, and continuous improvement.

The WHO Global Patient Safety Report 2024 identifies patient safety as a major global priority and emphasizes leadership, reporting, and learning systems, education, policy, and stakeholder engagement as important components of safety improvement. (Sousa et al., 2023)Recent systematic evidence further indicates

that transformational, ethical, and transactional leadership styles can positively influence safety culture through teamwork, communication, organizational learning, and positive safety perceptions.

2.4 Health Economic Outcomes

Health economic outcomes in hospital settings include cost efficiency, cost effectiveness, resource utilization, productivity, length of stay, readmission, and the economic consequences of preventable safety events (Fernando-Canavan et al., 2020). (Fernando-Canavan et al., 2020)Hospital efficiency cannot be completely separated from clinical quality because inefficient processes may increase resource consumption while poor-quality care may generate additional costs.

A 2024 systematic review and meta-analysis of hospital efficiency and cost-management interventions found that collaboration, service integration, locally tailored solutions, and system-level improvement are important for sustainable efficiency. This provides a rationale for examining health economic outcomes, leadership, collaboration, and patient safety.

2.5 Conceptual Model

This study proposed the following pathway:

Transformational Healthcare Leadership → Interprofessional Collaboration → Patient Safety → Health Economic Outcomes

Direct pathways were also examined.

THL → IPC

THL → PS

IPC → PS

PS → HEO

THL → HEO

The model assumes that transformational leadership establishes organizational conditions that facilitate collaboration, while collaboration strengthens safety processes and consequently contributes to favorable economic outcomes.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopted a **quantitative, non-experimental, cross-sectional, correlational, and explanatory research design**. A positivist research philosophy and deductive approach were used. The design was appropriate because the study sought to measure the relationships among predefined constructs and test theoretically derived hypotheses using statistical methods.

The cross-sectional design permitted the simultaneous assessment of leadership, collaboration, patient safety, and economic outcomes. However, it did not establish temporal precedence or definitive causality.

3.2 Study Setting

The study was conducted in selected tertiary and multispecialty healthcare institutions in the **Delhi National Capital Region (Delhi NCR)**. The setting was selected because multispecialty hospitals require coordination among multiple professional groups and provide a suitable context for examining leadership, collaboration, safety, and economic outcomes of the intervention.

3.3 Study Population and Sample

The study population consisted of healthcare professionals and managers, including doctors, nurses, pharmacists, allied health professionals, and healthcare administrators/managers.

The eligibility criteria included being at least 18 years of age, having a minimum of six months of institutional experience, being directly or indirectly involved in healthcare delivery or management, and providing informed consent. The analytical sample comprised **628 valid responses**.

The professional distribution was as follows:

Professional category	n	%
Doctors	126	20.06
Nurses	220	35.03
Pharmacists	63	10.03
Allied health professionals	157	25.00
Administrators/managers	62	9.87
Total	**628**	**100.00**

The sample included **250 (39.81 %) respondents from public institutions and 378 (60.19%) from private institutions**.

3.4 Variables

The independent variable was **transformational healthcare leadership (THL)**, operationalized through idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration.

Interprofessional collaboration (IPC) was specified as the mediator and included communication, coordination, teamwork, shared decision-making, role clarity, mutual respect, and conflict management.

The dependent variables were **patient safety (PS)** and **health economic outcomes (HEO)**.

Control variables included demographic and professional characteristics, such as age, gender, professional category, education, experience, designation, department, and institution type.

3.5 Instruments

Structured questionnaires were used to measure the four constructs. The transformational leadership component followed the conceptual dimensions of the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire framework. The collaboration component incorporated established interprofessional collaboration domains. Patient safety was assessed using dimensions consistent with the hospital patient safety culture frameworks, including communication, handoffs, organizational learning, reporting, teamwork, staffing, and leadership support.

Health economic outcomes were assessed using dimensions covering cost efficiency, cost effectiveness, resource utilization, productivity, length of stay, readmission, and economic consequences of safety events.

3.6 Reliability and Validity

The overall reliability of the major constructs was high. Cronbach's alpha coefficients were:

Construct	Cronbach's α
THL	.941
IPC	.934
PS	.927
HEO	.918
Overall instrument	.962

Exploratory factor analysis produced a **KMO value of .936**, with Bartlett's test of sphericity significant (χ^2 = **8746.382, df = 1035, p < .001**). The extracted factors explained approximately **72.69% of the cumulative variance**.

Confirmatory factor analysis demonstrated an acceptable measurement model fit (χ^2**/df = 1.70, CFI = .943, TLI = .937, RMSEA = .034, SRMR = .041**). Composite reliability values exceeded .90, while AVE values ranged from .598 to .624, supporting convergent validity.

3.7 Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, EFA, CFA, Pearson correlation, regression analysis, SEM, and bootstrap mediation analysis. The significance level was set at **p < .05**. For mediation, **5,000 bootstrap resamples** were used, with statistical significance determined by confidence intervals that did not include the value of zero.

4. Results

4.1 Descriptive Findings

The mean scores indicated generally favorable perceptions across the principal constructs.

Construct	Mean	SD
Transformational Healthcare Leadership	3.78	0.61
Interprofessional Collaboration	3.84	0.57
Patient Safety	3.71	0.64
Health Economic Outcomes	3.63	0.67

Among the THL dimensions, inspirational motivation had a mean of 3.86, idealized influence 3.84, intellectual stimulation 3.74, and individualized consideration 3.68.

Within IPC, mutual respect recorded the highest mean (**3.98**), followed by teamwork (**3.93**) and role clarity (**3.89**). Conflict management recorded a comparatively lower mean (**3.61**).

Within the PS domain, teamwork recorded the highest mean (**3.91**), whereas staffing/work pace (**3.38**) and response to error (**3.52**) were comparatively weaker dimensions.

4.2 Correlation Analysis

All major constructs demonstrated significant positive correlations.

Relationship	r	p
THL–IPC	.71	< .001
THL–PS	.68	< .001
THL–HEO	.63	< .001
IPC–PS	.74	< .001
IPC–HEO	.69	< .001
PS–HEO	.76	< .001

The strongest bivariate association was between **PS and HEO (r = .76)**, followed by IPC and PS (**r = .74**).

4.3 Regression Analysis

Transformational leadership significantly predicted interprofessional collaboration (**β = .71, R^2 = .504, p < .001**). In the bivariate model, THL also significantly predicted patient safety (β =.68, R^2 =.462, p <.001).

Interprofessional collaboration significantly predicted patient safety (**β = .74, R^2 = .548, p < .001**). Patient safety significantly predicted health economic outcomes (**β = .76, R^2 = .578, p < .001**). Transformational leadership also significantly predicted health economic outcomes (**β = .63, R^2 = .397, p < .001**).

4.4 Structural Equation Model

The structural model demonstrated an acceptable model fit.

- χ^2 = 2148.76
- df = 1183
- χ^2/df = 1.82
- CFI = .936
- TLI = .929
- RMSEA = .036
- SRMR = .044
- GFI = .912

The structural paths were as follows:

Path	β	Significance
THL → IPC	.71	p < .001
THL → PS	.29	p < .001
IPC → PS	.53	p < .001
PS → HEO	.58	p < .001
THL → HEO	.22	p < .001

The model explained **50.4% of the variance in IPC, 58.2% in PS, and 58.6% in HEO**.

4.5 Mediation Analysis

Bootstrap mediation analysis demonstrated significant indirect relationships between the variables.

Indirect pathway	β	95% CI
THL → IPC → PS	.376	.298–.459
THL → IPC → HEO	.300	.224–.381
THL → PS → HEO	.168	.111–.234
THL → IPC → PS → HEO	.218	.158–.284

As none of the confidence intervals included zero, all the tested indirect effects were statistically significant.

The direct THL → PS and THL → HEO paths remained significant after the inclusion of the mediating pathways. Therefore, the findings support a pattern of **partial mediation**.

5. Discussion

5.1 Transformational Leadership and Interprofessional Collaboration

The strongest structural relationship involving the independent variable was between transformational leadership and interprofessional collaboration (**β = .71, p < .001**). This finding indicates that stronger transformational leadership perceptions are associated with stronger collaborative practices.

This finding is consistent with evidence that transformational leadership can create supportive environments characterized by empowerment, communication, professional participation, and teamwork. This also complements research showing that collaboration requires both organizational support and active professional participation.

5.2 Leadership and Patient Safety

Transformational leadership demonstrated a significant direct relationship with patient safety (**β = .29, p < .001**) in the structural model. This finding is consistent with systematic reviews reporting positive associations between healthcare leadership and patient safety culture, including communication, teamwork, organizational learning, and error reporting.

The smaller structural coefficient compared with the bivariate relationship between THL and PS suggests that part of the leadership–safety association may operate through intermediate organizational processes, particularly through collaboration.

5.3 Interprofessional Collaboration and Patient Safety

IPC was significantly associated with PS (**β = .53, p < .001**). This finding supports the view that safe healthcare delivery requires professionals to communicate, coordinate activities, clarify responsibilities, and work toward shared goals.

The results are consistent with the broader evidence that effective IPC depends on organizational, team, and individual factors and produces outcomes for patients, professionals, and organizations. This finding also provides empirical support for treating IPC as more than a descriptive characteristic of healthcare teams.

5.4 Patient Safety and Health Economic Outcomes

Patient safety was significantly positively associated with economic health outcomes (**β = .58, p < .001**). This finding suggests that organizations reporting stronger safety conditions also tend to report more favorable economic and operational outcomes.

This relationship is theoretically plausible because preventable harm may result in additional treatment, investigations, resource consumption, longer hospitalization, and readmission. Contemporary evidence of hospital efficiency similarly emphasizes the importance of integrated improvement, collaboration, and resource optimization.

5.5 Mediation by Interprofessional Collaboration

A major contribution of this study is the identification of IPC as a significant mediator. The indirect effect of THL on PS through IPC was **β = .376**, with a 95% confidence interval of **.298–.459**. This indicates that the relationship between transformational leadership and patient safety is partly mediated by collaborative professional processes.

IPC also mediated the relationship between THL and HEO (**β = .300, 95% CI .224–.381**). More importantly, the sequential pathway **THL → IPC → PS → HEO** was significant (**β = .218, 95% CI .158–.284**).

This integrated pathway provides a stronger explanation than examining leadership, collaboration, safety, and economic outcomes separately. This suggests that leadership may establish organizational conditions for collaboration, collaboration may strengthen safety processes, and safer processes may be associated with more favorable economic performance.

However, because the research design was cross-sectional, these mediation findings should be interpreted as **statistically significant indirect associations rather than definitive causal mechanisms**.

5.6 Implications for Healthcare Management

The findings have several implications for healthcare management. First, leadership development programmes should emphasize transformational behaviors rather than focusing exclusively on administrative authority. Second, hospital managers should create structures that facilitate interprofessional interaction through multidisciplinary meetings, shared decision-making, structured handoffs, and collaborative care planning.

Third, patient-safety departments should integrate leadership and teamwork indicators into safety improvement programs. Finally, hospital performance systems should consider clinical and economic indicators. A hospital may improve financial performance temporarily through cost reduction; however, sustainable performance requires maintaining patient safety and quality.

6. **Conclusion**

This study examined whether interprofessional collaboration represents a pathway connecting transformational healthcare leadership with patient safety and health economic outcomes in selected multi-specialty hospitals in Delhi NCR.

The findings demonstrated significant positive relationships among the principal constructs. Transformational healthcare leadership was strongly associated with interprofessional collaboration, while both leadership and collaboration were significantly related to patient safety. Patient safety was significantly associated with health economic outcomes. The mediation results further demonstrated that interprofessional collaboration significantly mediated the relationship between transformational leadership and patient safety as well as between transformational leadership and economic outcomes.

The sequential pathway from transformational leadership through interprofessional collaboration and patient safety to health economic outcomes was also significant in this study. These findings support an integrated healthcare management perspective in which leadership, teamwork, safety, and efficiency are interrelated.

Therefore, this study concludes that healthcare organizations should not approach leadership development, interprofessional collaboration, patient safety, and economic management as isolated activities. Instead, integrated strategies that strengthen leadership capacity, collaborative practice, safety culture, and resource management may provide a more sustainable approach to organizational performance.

7. Practical Recommendations

7.1 For Healthcare Leaders

Healthcare leaders should develop transformational leadership competencies that emphasize shared vision, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, empowerment, and continuous improvement.

7.2 For Hospital Administrators

Administrators should establish multidisciplinary decision-making structures, structured communication systems, effective handoff procedures, and collaborative care pathways to ensure patient safety.

7.3 For Healthcare Professionals

Doctors, nurses, pharmacists, allied health professionals, and administrators should actively participate in interprofessional teamwork, safety reporting, shared decision-making, and quality improvement initiatives.

7.4 For Patient-Safety Departments

Patient safety departments should strengthen incident and near-miss reporting, organizational learning, root-cause analysis, communication systems, and feedback mechanisms.

7.5 For Healthcare Institutions

Hospitals should monitor leadership, collaboration, safety, resource utilization, productivity, length of stay, readmission, and relevant economic indicators within an integrated-performance framework.

7.6 For Policymakers

Healthcare policies should encourage leadership development, interprofessional education, patient safety systems, quality monitoring, and efficient resource utilization.

7.7 For Professional Education

Medical, nursing, pharmacy, allied health, and healthcare management curricula should incorporate transformational leadership, interprofessional education, teamwork, communication, patient safety, and healthcare resource management.

8. **Limitations**

This study has several limitations. First, its cross-sectional design prevents definitive conclusions regarding temporal or causal relationships. Second, the study was conducted in selected multispecialty healthcare institutions in Delhi NCR, limiting generalization to other geographical areas and healthcare settings. Third, the study relied substantially on self-reported measures, which may be influenced by social desirability or common-method bias. Fourth, health economic outcomes were measured through a multidimensional framework and may not capture all objective financial consequences of healthcare delivery. Finally,

institutional characteristics, staffing levels, organizational culture, and external policy conditions may influence the relationships observed.

9. **Future Research**

Future studies should employ longitudinal and intervention-based designs to examine whether leadership-development interventions produce measurable changes in collaboration, patient safety, and economic outcomes over time. Multicenter studies across different regions of India would improve generalizability. Future research should also incorporate objective institutional indicators, such as actual length of stay, readmission rates, adverse-event rates, hospital-acquired infection rates, resource utilization, and patient-level or episode-level costs.

Mixed-methods studies could further explore how healthcare professionals experience transformational leadership and collaboration in everyday clinical practice. Comparative research between public and private hospitals and different professional groups may also reveal contextual differences in the proposed model.

10. **Declarations**

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study involved human participants and was approved by the relevant Institutional Ethics Committee/Institutional Review Board and participating healthcare institutions. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Availability of Data and Materials

The study dataset will be made available in accordance with the requirements of the participating institutions, ethics approval, and applicable data protection requirements. Where individual-level data cannot be publicly released, anonymized aggregate data and study instruments may be made available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, subject to institutional approval.

Competing Interests

The authors declare any financial, professional, or personal relationships that could be perceived as influencing the study. If none exist, the final manuscript may state: **“The authors declare that they have no competing interests.”**

Funding

The funding statement should reflect the actual sources of financial support. If the study received no external funding, the appropriate statement is: **“This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.”**

Authors' Contributions

The final submission should specify the actual contribution of each author according to the journal's authorship policy, including conceptualization, methodology, data collection, formal analysis, interpretation, manuscript preparation, critical revision, and final approval.

Acknowledgements

The authors may acknowledge the participating healthcare institutions, healthcare professionals, research supervisors, institutional authorities, and others who provided legitimate assistance but did not meet the authorship criteria.

References

Birla, K. M. (2005). Transformational leadership. *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*, *2*(4), 321. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijlic.2005.008088

Fernando-Canavan, L., Gust, A., Hsueh, A., Tran-Duy, A., Kirk, M., Brooks, P., & Knight, J. (2020). Measuring the economic impact of hospital-acquired complications on an acute health service. *Australian Health Review*, *45*(2), 135–142. https://doi.org/10.1071/ah20126

Huber, C. (2022). Interprofessional Collaboration in Health Care. *Praxis*, *110*(1), 3–4. https://doi.org/10.1024/1661-8157/a003808

Montminy, S. L. (2022). Leadership behaviors, attitudes, and characteristics to support a culture of safety. *Journal of Healthcare Risk Management*, *42*(2), 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhrm.21521

Schot, E., Tummers, L., & Noordegraaf, M. (2019). Working on working together. A systematic review on how healthcare professionals contribute to interprofessional collaboration. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, *34*(3), 332–342. https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2019.1636007

Smith, T., Fowler-Davis, S., Nancarrow, S., Ariss, S. M. B., & Enderby, P. (2018). Leadership in interprofessional health and social care teams: a literature review. *Leadership in Health Services*, *31*(4), 452–467. https://doi.org/10.1108/lhs-06-2016-0026

Sousa, P., Rodrigues, A. C., & Teixeira, J. P. (2023). *Patient safety and the global health agenda* (pp. 206–220). Edward Elgar. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802207064.000019

Williams, R. (2017). Initiatives and resources to enhance patient safety. *Nursing Management*, *24*(7), 17. https://doi.org/10.7748/nm.24.7.17.s18