JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

UNIFORM CIVIL CODE IN INDIA

CHALLENGES, RELIGIOUS FREEDOM AND THE QUEST FOR GENDER JUSTICE

Author : Samradhi Karhiwale (Student of LLM,1st Semester)

Guide : Shubhangi Pandey

Institute of Law and Legal Studies

Sage University Indore.(M.P) India

ABSTRACT:

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) has remained one of the most debated constitutional and legal issues in India. Article 44 of the Constitution of India directs the State to endeavour to secure a Uniform Civil Code for citizens throughout the territory of India. The objective is to establish common civil laws governing matters such as marriage, divorce, adoption, maintenance, inheritance and succession, irrespective of religious affiliation. However, its implementation raises complex constitutional questions concerning equality, religious freedom, cultural diversity, minority rights and individual liberty.

This research paper examines the constitutional foundation of the Uniform Civil Code and analyses its relationship with Fundamental Rights, particularly Articles 14, 15, 21, 25 and 26 of the Constitution. It also examines the role of the Indian judiciary in the development of the UCC debate through significant judgments, including Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum, Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India, John Vallamattom v. Union of India and Shayara Bano v. Union of India. Further, the paper considers the Uttarakhand Uniform Civil Code as a contemporary legislative development and evaluates the challenges associated with its implementation.

The paper adopts a doctrinal and analytical research methodology based on constitutional provisions, judicial decisions, legislation, government reports and academic literature. It argues that the constitutional debate surrounding the UCC cannot be reduced to a choice between uniformity and diversity.

Keywords: Uniform Civil Code, Article 44, Constitutional Law, Fundamental Rights, Religious Freedom, Gender Justice, Personal Laws, Secularism.

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction to the Concept of Uniform Civil Code

India is a country characterised by religious, cultural, linguistic and social diversity. People belonging to different religious communities follow different personal laws in matters relating to marriage, divorce, adoption, maintenance, guardianship and inheritance.

The Uniform Civil Code refers to the idea of establishing a common set of civil laws applicable to all citizens irrespective of their religious identity. Its purpose is to create a common legal framework governing personal and family-related matters.

The constitutional basis of the Uniform Civil Code is found in Article 44, which forms part of the Directive Principles of State Policy. It provides that:

"The State shall endeavour to secure for the citizens a uniform civil code throughout the territory of India."

The provision reflects the constitutional aspiration to promote legal uniformity in civil matters. However, unlike Fundamental Rights, the Directive Principles are not directly enforceable by courts. Article 37 declares that they are fundamental in the governance of the country and that it is the duty of the State to apply them in making laws.

The debate concerning the Uniform Civil Code involves several constitutional principles. On one hand, it is associated with equality, social reform and gender justice. On the other hand, concerns arise regarding freedom of religion, minority rights, cultural autonomy and the preservation of India's plural legal traditions.

Therefore, the implementation of the Uniform Civil Code requires careful constitutional examination.

1.2 Historical Background

The origin of personal laws in India can be traced to historical legal practices and colonial administration. During British rule, different religious communities continued to be governed by their respective personal laws in several family-related matters.

Following independence, the Constituent Assembly debated whether a Uniform Civil Code should be included in the Constitution.The framers ultimately placed the provision under the Directive Principles of State Policy rather than making it an enforceable Fundamental Right.

This decision reflected the complexity of introducing common civil laws in a country with diverse religious and cultural traditions.

The Hindu personal laws were subsequently codified through legislation such as:

1. Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
2. Hindu Succession Act, 1956.
3. Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956.
4. Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956.

Other communities continued to be governed by different statutory and personal-law frameworks in various civil matters.

Consequently, the constitutional objective of Article 44 has continued to generate debate regarding the possibility and method of introducing a common civil law framework.

1.3 Meaning and Scope of Uniform Civil Code

The Uniform Civil Code generally concerns civil and family-related matters, including:

- Marriage and conditions of marriage.
- Divorce and dissolution of marriage.
- Maintenance and alimony.
- Adoption and guardianship.
- Inheritance and succession.
- Rights and obligations arising out of family relationships.

A Uniform Civil Code does not necessarily mean uniformity in religious beliefs, worship, customs or ceremonies. The constitutional debate primarily concerns the extent to which civil consequences of personal relationships should be regulated through common legal standards.

The distinction between religious practice and civil regulation is therefore important when examining the constitutional validity of a proposed UCC.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The subject is important because it involves the relationship between constitutional equality and religious freedom.A properly designed civil law framework may address discriminatory practices and strengthen equal rights within families. At the same time, a uniform legal framework must be examined in the context of India's religious diversity and constitutional protections.

The study is significant because it examines whether the objective of gender justice can be achieved while respecting individual autonomy and cultural diversity.

CHAPTER II: CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK OF THE UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

2.1 Article 44: Directive Principle of State Policy

Article 44 provides the constitutional foundation for the Uniform Civil Code.

It directs the State to endeavour to secure a common civil code for citizens throughout India.

However, Article 44 is not independently enforceable through a court order. Under Article 37, Directive Principles are non-justiciable but fundamental to the governance of the country.

The constitutional position therefore requires a distinction between a constitutional objective and an immediately enforceable legal obligation.

The State has legislative authority to introduce reforms in personal and civil laws, subject to constitutional limitations and the distribution of legislative powers.

2.2 Article 14: Right to Equality

Article 14 guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of the laws.

The provision is central to the debate concerning personal laws because differences in legal rights arising from different personal-law systems may raise questions of equality and non-discrimination.

The implementation of a UCC is frequently discussed as a possible method of establishing equal civil rights for all citizens.

However, equality does not always require identical treatment in every circumstance. A constitutionally valid framework must consider substantive equality and the impact of legal rules on disadvantaged groups.

Therefore, the objective should be to ensure equal legal protection and eliminate unjustified discrimination.

2.3 Article 15: Prohibition of Discrimination

Article 15 prohibits discrimination by the State on specified grounds, including religion and sex.

The provision is particularly relevant to gender-related inequalities in marriage, divorce, maintenance and inheritance.

A common civil law framework may seek to provide equal legal rights to men and women.

However, the effectiveness of such a framework depends on the actual content of its provisions and whether they provide meaningful protection against discrimination.

2.4 Article 21: Right to Life and Personal Liberty

Article 21 protects life and personal liberty.

Judicial interpretation has expanded its scope to include dignity, autonomy and aspects of privacy.

The UCC debate must therefore consider the individual's freedom to make personal decisions concerning marriage, family life and relationships.

Any law regulating these matters must satisfy constitutional requirements and cannot disregard personal liberty and dignity.

2.5 Article 25: Freedom of Conscience and Religion

Article 25 guarantees freedom of conscience and the right freely to profess, practise and propagate religion, subject to public order, morality, health and other provisions of Part III.

This provision creates an important constitutional question: to what extent can the State regulate civil consequences associated with religious personal laws?

It is necessary to distinguish between religious belief and practices that produce civil or legal consequences.

The constitutional validity of a proposed UCC must be examined in the light of the nature of the rights affected, the purpose of the law and applicable constitutional limitations.

2.6 Article 26: Freedom to Manage Religious Affairs

Article 26 protects the rights of religious denominations to manage their religious affairs, subject to constitutional limitations.

A common civil law framework must consider whether its provisions regulate civil relationships or interfere with constitutionally protected religious affairs.

2.7 Article 29: Protection of Cultural Interests

Article 29 protects the interests of sections of citizens having a distinct language, script or culture.

Although Article 29 does not independently guarantee the continuation of every personal-law practice, it is relevant to the broader constitutional commitment to cultural diversity.

The UCC debate must therefore consider how civil-law reform can coexist with India's plural social and cultural structure.

2.8 Relationship Between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles

The relationship between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles is an important constitutional issue.

Article 44 represents a constitutional objective, whereas Articles 14, 15, 21 and 25 provide enforceable constitutional protections.

A legislative measure implementing the UCC must therefore be consistent with the constitutional framework as a whole.

The objective of Article 44 cannot be treated as eliminating the need to respect Fundamental Rights.

CHAPTER III: UNIFORM CIVIL CODE, RELIGIOUS FREEDOM AND MINORITY RIGHTS

3.1 Religious Freedom and Personal Laws

Personal laws are associated with religious traditions and community practices. They regulate several aspects of family and social relationships.

In India, different communities have historically followed different legal systems in matters such as marriage, divorce and succession.

The introduction of a common civil law framework raises questions about the extent to which personal laws are protected by constitutional guarantees of religious freedom.

It is important to recognise that not every practice associated with a religious community automatically receives constitutional protection. The nature of the practice and the applicable constitutional provisions must be examined.

3.2 Constitutional Secularism

Indian secularism does not require the State to remain completely disconnected from religion in every legal matter.

The constitutional framework permits the State to regulate secular activities associated with religious practices and undertake social reform within constitutional limits.

The UCC debate must therefore be examined through the principles of constitutional secularism, equality and freedom of religion.

3.3 Minority Rights and Cultural Diversity

One of the major challenges concerning the UCC is the protection of minority rights.

Different communities may have distinct traditions and family-related practices. A common civil law framework may raise concerns if it does not adequately consider these differences.

The constitutional challenge is to ensure that legislative reform does not result in arbitrary interference with cultural and religious autonomy.

An inclusive process involving consultation, transparency and participation of affected communities may contribute to the legitimacy of civil-law reform.

3.4 Uniformity and Legal Pluralism

Uniformity and legal pluralism represent two important approaches to the regulation of personal relationships.

Uniformity seeks to establish common legal standards, while legal pluralism recognises the existence of different legal traditions within a constitutional system.

The challenge is to determine which aspects of personal laws require common standards and which cultural or religious practices can continue without violating constitutional rights.

The objective should be constitutional consistency rather than uniformity for its own sake.

CHAPTER IV: GENDER JUSTICE, EQUALITY AND PERSONAL LAWS

4.1 Gender Equality as a Constitutional Objective

Gender equality is an important objective of the Indian Constitution.

Articles 14 and 15 provide constitutional guarantees against unequal treatment and discrimination.

However, inequalities may continue to arise in family-related matters, including maintenance, divorce, inheritance and guardianship.

The UCC debate is therefore closely connected with the objective of providing equal legal rights to women.

4.2 Marriage and Divorce

Different personal-law systems contain different provisions relating to the formation and dissolution of marriage.

A common civil framework may seek to establish consistent standards relating to:

- Minimum legal age of marriage.
- Consent of the parties.
- Conditions of a valid marriage.

- Registration of marriage.

- Grounds and procedure for divorce.

- Maintenance and financial support.

Such standards must be assessed in terms of constitutional equality, individual autonomy and access to justice.

4.3 Maintenance and Financial Security

Maintenance is an important aspect of family law because it provides financial protection to spouses and dependants.

The Supreme Court's decision in Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum brought significant attention to the relationship between maintenance rights and personal laws.

A common civil law framework may be examined for its ability to provide effective financial protection to individuals who are economically dependent within a family.

4.4 Inheritance and Succession

Inheritance laws determine how property is transferred after a person's death.

Differences in succession rules may produce different entitlements among individuals belonging to different communities.

A constitutionally sensitive approach to reform should examine equal property rights, testamentary freedom and protection of vulnerable family members.

4.5 Gender Justice and Substantive Equality

Gender justice should not be limited to formal equality.

Substantive equality requires examination of the actual social and economic consequences of legal rules.

A UCC should therefore be assessed according to whether it protects women's dignity, autonomy, financial security and equal status within the family.

The existence of a common law alone cannot establish gender justice unless its substantive provisions genuinely address discriminatory outcomes.

CHAPTER V: JUDICIAL APPROACH TOWARDS THE UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

5.1 Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum (1985)

This case concerned the right of a divorced Muslim woman to claim maintenance under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

The Supreme Court upheld the availability of the statutory

maintenance remedy in the circumstances of the case.

The judgment became an important part of the national debate concerning personal laws, maintenance and the Uniform Civil Code.

Constitutional significance: The judgment highlighted the relationship between individual welfare, secular statutory remedies and personal-law systems.

5.2 Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India (1995)

The case concerned Hindu husbands who converted to Islam and contracted second marriages without dissolving their existing Hindu marriages.

The Supreme Court held that conversion did not automatically dissolve the first marriage and that the second marriage in the circumstances was invalid.

The judgment also discussed the constitutional objective contained in Article 44.

Constitutional significance: The case brought attention to inconsistencies between personal-law rules and the need to prevent the misuse of religious conversion to circumvent existing marriage laws.

5.3 Lily Thomas v. Union of India (2000)

This judgment examined issues relating to conversion and second marriage.

The Supreme Court reaffirmed the legal position concerning conversion and the validity of a second marriage contracted without legally dissolving the first marriage.

Constitutional significance: The judgment reinforced the protection of the existing spouse and examined the legal consequences of conversion in matrimonial matters.

5.4 John Vallamattom v. Union of India (2003)

The case challenged Section 118 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925, which imposed certain restrictions on testamentary dispositions for religious or charitable purposes by Christians.

The Supreme Court declared the provision unconstitutional.

Constitutional significance: The judgment examined equality and discriminatory statutory restrictions, demonstrating the importance of constitutional scrutiny in succession-related laws.

5.5 Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017)

The case concerned the constitutional validity of the practice of instant triple talaq, known as talaq-e-biddat. By a majority, the Supreme Court set aside the practice. The judgment subsequently formed an important part of the discussion concerning Muslim women's rights and personal-law reform.

Constitutional significance: It demonstrated the role of judicial review in examining personal-law practices and protecting constitutional rights.

5.6 Judicial Role in UCC Development

The Supreme Court has repeatedly referred to Article 44 in different judgments.

However, the judiciary cannot simply replace the legislature in formulating an entire civil code.

The principal role of the judiciary is to interpret the Constitution, protect Fundamental Rights and examine the validity of legislation.

The legislature remains responsible for making laws within its constitutional authority.

CHAPTER VII: CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES AND WAY FORWARD

7.1 Major Constitutional Challenges

The implementation of a Uniform Civil Code raises several challenges:

1. Balancing Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles

The State must pursue Article 44 while respecting enforceable Fundamental Rights.

2. Religious Freedom

The relationship between civil regulation and freedom of religion requires careful constitutional examination.

3. Protection of Minority Rights

Legislative reforms must consider the interests and constitutional protections of minority communities.

4. Gender Justice

A common code must provide meaningful equality rather than merely establish identical rules.

5. Cultural Diversity

The legal framework must take account of India's different customary and cultural traditions.

6. Legislative Competence

The legislative authority of Parliament and State Legislatures must be considered under the constitutional distribution of powers.

7. Privacy and Individual Autonomy

Rules concerning marriage, family relationships and registration must comply with constitutional protections of privacy and liberty.

8. Practical Implementation

Public awareness, administrative capacity, accessible procedures and effective remedies are necessary for successful implementation.

7.2 Suggestions and Recommendations

The following measures may be considered:

1. Conduct extensive consultation with women, legal experts, religious communities and civil society.
2. Identify and reform discriminatory provisions in existing personal laws.
3. Ensure equal rights in marriage, divorce, maintenance and inheritance.
4. Protect individual dignity, privacy and freedom of choice.
5. Ensure that any proposed law is consistent with Fundamental Rights.
6. Provide clear procedures and effective legal remedies.
7. Introduce public legal awareness programmes.
8. Consider the social and cultural circumstances of different communities.
9. Conduct periodic reviews to assess the impact of civil-law reforms.
10. Ensure that the legislative process is transparent, inclusive and constitutionally sound.

CHAPTER VIII: FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

8.1 Major Findings

The research leads to the following observations:

1. Article 44 establishes a constitutional objective of securing a Uniform Civil Code.
2. The implementation of the UCC involves a complex relationship between Directive Principles and Fundamental Rights.
3. Equality and gender justice are important considerations in reforming personal laws.
4. Religious freedom and cultural diversity must be considered while framing common civil laws.
5. Judicial decisions have played an important role in developing the constitutional debate.
6. The Uttarakhand UCC provides a contemporary example for examining legislative implementation.
7. A common civil law framework requires effective consultation, constitutional safeguards and administrative preparedness.

8.2 Conclusion

The Uniform Civil Code is an important constitutional objective that raises questions concerning equality, religious freedom, gender justice and India's plural social structure. Article 44 reflects the intention of the Constitution to encourage the development of common civil laws for citizens.

However, the implementation of a UCC cannot be examined only through the principle of legal uniformity. It must also be evaluated in the context of Fundamental Rights, individual liberty, cultural diversity and constitutional secularism.

Judicial decisions have contributed significantly to the debate by examining discriminatory practices and protecting individual rights. Recent legislative developments, particularly the Uttarakhand Uniform Civil Code, provide an opportunity to study the practical dimensions of implementing a common civil law framework.

The study concludes that the constitutional discussion surrounding the UCC requires a balanced and inclusive approach. Gender justice, equality and individual dignity must remain central to any reform, while constitutional protections of religious freedom and cultural diversity must be respected.

Ultimately, the success of a Uniform Civil Code should be assessed not merely by the uniformity of legal provisions but by its ability to secure substantive equality, justice and constitutional rights for all citizens.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A. Constitutional and Legislative Sources

1. Constitution of India, 1950.

2. Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

3. Hindu Succession Act, 1956.

4. Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956.

5. Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956.

6. Special Marriage Act, 1954.

7. Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986.

8. The Uniform Civil Code of Uttarakhand Act, 2024.

9. The Uniform Civil Code of Uttarakhand Rules, 2025.

B. Judicial Decisions

1. Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum, (1985) 2 SCC 556.

2. Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India, (1995) 3 SCC 635.

3. Lily Thomas v. Union of India, (2000) 6 SCC 224.

4. John Vallamattom v. Union of India, (2003) 6 SCC 611.

5. Shayara Bano v. Union of India, (2017) 9 SCC 1.

6. K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1.

C. Books

1. D.D. Basu, Introduction to the Constitution of India.

2. M.P. Jain, Indian Constitutional Law.

3. H.M. Seervai, Constitutional Law of India.

D. Reports and Academic Literature

1. Law Commission of India, Consultation Paper on Reform of Family Law (2018).

2. Law Commission of India, public consultation concerning Uniform Civil Code (2023).

3. Sambaiah Gundimeda, 'Debating Uniform Civil Code: The Making of Article 44 in the Constituent Assembly of India', Indian Law Review (2025), Vol. 9, Issue 3, pp. 341–366.

4. Shimon Shetreet and Hiram E. Chodosh, Uniform Civil Code for India Revisited: Evaluating the Contemporary Debate and Process of Legal Change.

E. Online Legal Resources

1. Supreme Court of India – https://www.sci.gov.in/

2. India Code – https://www.indiacode.nic.in/

3. Law Commission of India – https://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/

4. Google Scholar – https://scholar.google.com/

5. Uttarakhand Uniform Civil Code Portal – https://ucc.uk.gov.in