JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A Study of Socio-Economic Status of Agricultural Families and its Impact on Sustainable Development in Rural areas of U.P.

Dr. Ausan Singh

Department of Agriculture extension, Major SD Singh University, Farukhabad
Email Id- draushansingh123@gmail.com

Abstract

Agriculture plays a significant role in the economic and social development of rural communities in Uttar Pradesh. The socio-economic status of agricultural families directly influences agricultural productivity, livelihood security, education, healthcare, resource management and sustainable rural development. The present study to examine the socio-economic conditions of agricultural families and analyse their impact on the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. The study considers important indicators such as landholding size, annual household income, educational status, occupational structure, access to agricultural resources, farming practices, institutional support and awareness of sustainable agriculture. A descriptive and analytical research design is proposed, using primary information collected through structured questionnaires and interviews, supported by secondary information from government reports, agricultural statistics and published research. The study also examines the relationship between socio-economic conditions and the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. The findings are intended to identify major challenges faced by agricultural households and suggest strategies for improving rural livelihoods, agricultural sustainability and inclusive development. The research may provide useful insights for policymakers, agricultural institutions and rural development agencies.

Keywords: Socio-economic status, agricultural families, sustainable development, agricultural sustainability

1. Introduction

Agriculture is one of the most important sectors of the Indian economy and remains a major source of livelihood for rural populations. In Uttar Pradesh, a considerable proportion of rural households depend directly or indirectly on agriculture and allied activities. The socio-economic condition of agricultural families plays an important role in determining their standard of living, farming practices and ability to adopt sustainable development strategies. The concept of sustainable development has received considerable attention in contemporary research because of the increasing need to balance economic growth, social welfare and environmental protection. According to the World Commission on Environment and Development (1987), sustainable development refers to meeting the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. This concept emphasizes the importance of maintaining a balance between economic advancement, social equality and environmental conservation. Similarly, the United Nations (2015), through the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), highlighted the importance of poverty reduction, food security, quality education, gender equality and responsible management of natural resources. In India, agriculture continues to be an important source of livelihood for a substantial proportion of the rural population. However, agricultural households experience several socio-economic challenges, particularly those associated with small landholdings, inadequate financial resources, increasing production costs and unstable agricultural income. According to the Agriculture Census 2015–16, approximately 86.21% of operational holdings in India belonged to small and marginal farmers (Government of India, 2019). The predominance of small and marginal holdings creates difficulties in agricultural investment, mechanisation, resource management and income generation.

The economic status of agricultural families is influenced by several factors, including land ownership, crop productivity, irrigation facilities, access to agricultural credit, market infrastructure and alternative employment opportunities. Sharma et al. (2024) examined changes in income, consumption expenditure and poverty among agricultural households across major Indian states. Their research highlighted the importance of understanding different income sources and their contribution to the economic well-being of farming households. This indicates that agricultural income alone may not provide a complete picture of the financial conditions of rural families.

Socio-economic status refers to the combined influence of income, education, occupation, land ownership, social participation, access to resources and living conditions. Agricultural families with better economic resources and educational opportunities are generally better positioned to access improved agricultural technologies, irrigation facilities, quality inputs and institutional support. However, rural agricultural households face several challenges, including fragmented landholdings, increasing cultivation costs, uncertain rainfall, limited market access, unemployment and inadequate access to agricultural information. These challenges can affect agricultural productivity and household economic security. Sustainable development involves balancing economic growth, social well-being and environmental protection. According to the Brundtland Commission (1987), sustainable development meets present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. In agricultural communities, sustainable development requires the efficient use of natural resources, soil conservation, water management, crop diversification, economic stability and improved living standards. Therefore, understanding the relationship between the socio-economic status of agricultural families and sustainable development is essential for developing effective rural development policies.

The present research focuses on selected rural areas of Uttar Pradesh, particularly Kanpur Nagar and Kanpur Dehat, to understand how the economic, social and environmental conditions of agricultural households influence sustainable rural development.

2. Research Gap

Although several studies have examined agricultural productivity, rural poverty and sustainable agriculture, there is a need for integrated research connecting the socio-economic status of agricultural families with all three dimensions of sustainable development. The following research gaps have been identified:

1. Limited integrated assessment of economic, social and environmental indicators among agricultural families.
2. Insufficient understanding of how household income and educational status influence sustainable agricultural practices.
3. Limited village-level comparative research on agricultural households in Kanpur Nagar and Kanpur Dehat.
4. Inadequate examination of the relationship between landholding size, agricultural resources and livelihood security.
5. Need for identifying socio-economic barriers to adopting environmentally sustainable farming practices.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the socio-economic status of agricultural families in selected rural areas of Uttar Pradesh.
2. To examine the relationship between household income, education, occupation and agricultural productivity.
3. To analyse the impact of socio-economic conditions on sustainable agricultural practices.
4. To evaluate the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development.
5. To identify major challenges faced by agricultural households.
6. To suggest appropriate measures for improving rural livelihoods and sustainable agricultural development.

4. Research Hypotheses

- **H_{01}:** There is no significant relationship between the socio-economic status of agricultural families and sustainable development.
- **H_{02}:** Educational status has no significant influence on the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.
- **H_{03}:** Household income and landholding size have no significant relationship with agricultural productivity.
- **H_{04}:** There is no significant difference in the socio-economic conditions of agricultural families in Kanpur Nagar and Kanpur Dehat.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Research Design

The present study adopts a descriptive, analytical and comparative research design. A mixed-method approach involving quantitative and qualitative techniques will be used to examine the socio-economic status of agricultural families and its relationship with sustainable development.

The quantitative approach will help measure household income, educational status, landholding patterns, agricultural productivity and adoption of sustainable farming practices. The qualitative approach will help understand farmers' experiences, problems, awareness and perceptions regarding sustainable rural development.

5.2 Study Area

The study will be conducted in selected rural areas of Uttar Pradesh, with special reference to:

1. Kanpur Nagar district.
2. Kanpur Dehat district.

These districts provide an opportunity to examine agricultural households under different rural and socio-economic conditions. The selected areas will be studied with reference to agricultural activities, household income, education, employment, irrigation facilities, natural resource management and sustainable farming practices.

5.3 Sampling Design

A multistage stratified random sampling technique will be adopted. The proposed sampling framework is:

S.No.	**Sampling unit**	**Proposed selection**
1.	Districts	2
2.	Villages	20
3.	Villages per district	10
4.	Agricultural households	400
5.	Households per district	200

5.4 Sources of Data

A. Primary data

Primary data will be collected directly from agricultural households using:

- Structured questionnaires.
- Personal interviews with farmers.
- Field observations.
- Discussions with rural agricultural workers.
- Information from local agricultural institutions, where accessible.

B. Secondary data

Secondary information will be collected from:

- National Statistical Office (NSO).
- Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare.
- Government of Uttar Pradesh.
- Agriculture Census reports.
- District statistical handbooks.
- NABARD reports.
- Research articles and scientific journals.
- Government publications on sustainable development.

5.5 Variables Selected for the Study

The research will consider three major dimensions of sustainable development.

Dimension	**Major indicators**
Economic	Annual income, landholding, crop productivity, agricultural expenditure, credit, employment and market access
Social	Education, healthcare, housing, sanitation, gender participation, social security and institutional awareness
Environmental	Soil fertility, irrigation, water conservation, crop diversification, organic manure, pesticide use and natural resource conservation

5.6 Questionnaire-Based Survey

A structured questionnaire will be prepared to collect household-level information. The questionnaire will include the following sections:

1. General information and demographic characteristics.
2. Educational and occupational status.
3. Landholding and agricultural activities.
4. Household income and expenditure.
5. Agricultural inputs and irrigation facilities.
6. Access to government schemes and institutional credit.
7. Awareness and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.
8. Environmental conditions and natural resource management.
9. Problems and suggestions for rural development.

5.7 Statistical Analysis

The collected information will be classified, tabulated and analysed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS. The following statistical techniques will be applied:

1. Percentage analysis

$$P = \frac{f}{N} \text{ x } 100$$

Where:

- P = Percentage
- f = Frequency of responses
- N = Total number of respondents

2 . Standard deviation

Standard deviation will be used to measure variation in household income, landholding and other quantitative indicators.

3. Chi-square test

This test will examine associations between categorical variables, such as educational status and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.

4. Independent sample t-test

This test will compare selected socio-economic indicators between agricultural households in Kanpur Nagar and Kanpur Dehat.

5. Correlation analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficient will be used to examine relationships between household income, education, landholding and sustainable agricultural practices, where the variables meet the relevant assumptions.

6. Regression analysis

Multiple regression analysis will be used to identify the contribution of selected socio-economic variables to sustainable agricultural practice adoption or a defined sustainability score.

A significance level of 5% (p less than 0.05) will be considered for statistical testing.

5.8 Sustainable Development Index

To assess the overall sustainability of agricultural households, a composite index may be developed using three dimensions:

- Economic Sustainability Index (ESI)
- Social Sustainability Index (SSI)
- Environmental Sustainability Index (EnSI)

The proposed formula is:

$$HSI = \frac{\text{ESI x SSI x EnSI}}{3}$$

Where
HSI represents the Household Sustainability Index.

6. Results

6.1 Socio-Economic Condition of Agricultural Families in Uttar Pradesh

According to the survey, the average monthly income per agricultural household in Uttar Pradesh was ₹9520, compared with the national average of ₹11,784. This indicates a difference of ₹2,264 per month between the state and national averages.

Table 1. Average monthly income of agricultural households

Study area	Average monthly income
Uttar Pradesh	9520
All India	₹11,784
Difference	₹2,264

6.2 Sources of Agricultural Household Income

According to survey of agricultural household income, including wages, crop production, animal farming, non-farm business and leasing of land.

Table 2. Average monthly income per agricultural household in India by source

Source of income	Amount (₹)
Wages and salary	4,025
Net receipt from crop production	4,898
Net receipt from animal farming	1,482
Non-farm business	841
Leasing out of land	538
Total	**11,784**

The results demonstrate that agricultural household income is not entirely dependent on crop production. Wages and animal farming also contribute to household earnings. This highlights the importance of livelihood diversification in improving economic stability.

7. Discussion

The socio-economic status of agricultural families is an important factor influencing sustainable rural development. Household income, education, landholding, employment opportunities and access to agricultural resources determine the capacity of farmers to improve their livelihoods and adopt sustainable agricultural practices.

Families with stable income and better access to resources may have greater opportunities to invest in improved seeds, irrigation systems, soil testing and environmentally responsible farming methods. Conversely, households facing financial constraints may experience difficulties in adopting new technologies and sustainable agricultural practices. The improving the socio-economic conditions of agricultural households is essential for achieving sustainable rural development. Economic sustainability is directly related to the financial stability of agricultural families. Agricultural income, livestock farming, wage employment and non-farm activities

contribute to household economic security. Small and marginal agricultural households may face additional challenges because of limited land resources, high cultivation costs and dependence on seasonal agricultural activities. The promotion of livestock farming, horticulture, food processing, agricultural entrepreneurship and farmer producer organisations may help improve income opportunities. Social sustainability includes education, healthcare, sanitation, housing, gender equality and social security.

Education is particularly important because it improves awareness about modern agricultural technologies, government programmes, environmental conservation and financial management. The participation of women in agricultural activities also represents an important component of rural development. Women contribute significantly to agricultural operations, livestock management and household resource management. However, their contribution may not always be adequately recognised in household decision-making and access to agricultural resources. Improved educational facilities, healthcare services, skill development and women's participation can strengthen the social sustainability of agricultural communities. Environmental sustainability is essential for maintaining agricultural productivity over the long term. Agricultural activities depend on soil fertility, water availability, biodiversity and favourable climatic conditions. Excessive dependence on chemical fertilizers, improper pesticide application, inefficient irrigation and continuous cultivation without adequate soil management may affect agricultural ecosystems.

The adoption of the following practices can contribute to environmental sustainability:

1. Application of organic manure and compost.
2. Crop rotation and mixed cropping.
3. Integrated nutrient management.
4. Integrated pest management.
5. Rainwater harvesting.
6. Efficient irrigation methods.
7. Soil testing and balanced fertilizer application.
8. Conservation of agricultural biodiversity.

Sustainable agricultural practices can help maintain soil health, improve resource-use efficiency and support long-term agricultural productivity.

7.1 Role of Government Schemes in Rural Sustainable Development

Government programmes can improve the economic and social conditions of agricultural families through financial assistance, insurance, irrigation facilities, agricultural infrastructure and institutional support.

Important programmes relevant to the present study include:

Government programme	Major objective
PM-KISAN	Income support to eligible farmer families
Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana	Crop insurance and risk management
Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchai Yojana	Improvement in irrigation and water-use efficiency
Soil Health Card Scheme	Information on soil nutrient status
National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture	Promotion of climate-resilient and sustainable agriculture
Kisan Credit Card	Access to agricultural credit
MGNREGA	Rural employment and livelihood support

The effectiveness of these programmes depends on awareness, accessibility, implementation and the ability of agricultural households to obtain their benefits.

7.2 Major Challenges Affecting Sustainable Rural Development

The study identifies the following issues as important areas for investigation:

- Small and fragmented agricultural landholdings.
- Low and unstable household income.
- Limited access to institutional credit.
- Increasing agricultural production costs.
- Inadequate irrigation facilities in some rural areas.
- Limited agricultural education and technical awareness.
- Unemployment and seasonal migration.

- Inadequate market infrastructure.
- Unequal participation in agricultural decision-making.
- Environmental degradation and changing climatic conditions.

These challenges are interconnected and require integrated economic, social and environmental interventions. Encourage livestock farming, horticulture, agroforestry and allied agricultural activities. Improve agricultural education, farmer training and digital literacy, Promote organic manure, crop rotation, integrated pest management and balanced fertilizer use, Improve access to institutional credit, crop insurance and agricultural subsidies. Increase women's participation in agricultural decision-making and farmer organizations, Encourage rainwater harvesting, efficient irrigation and groundwater conservation, Strengthen storage facilities, transportation, processing and direct market access, Improve coordination among agricultural universities, government departments, local institutions and farmer organisations.

8. Conclusion

The socio-economic status of agricultural families is an important determinant of sustainable development in rural Uttar Pradesh. Economic stability, educational opportunities, healthcare facilities, landholding patterns and access to agricultural resources influence the overall quality of life of rural households.

The study framework also highlights the interdependence of economic, social and environmental sustainability. Improvement in agricultural income alone cannot ensure sustainable development unless it is accompanied by better education, healthcare, gender participation, soil conservation and efficient management of natural resources. The proposed comparative investigation of Kanpur Nagar and Kanpur Dehat will help examine variations in household conditions, agricultural practices and sustainability indicators. The primary survey findings will be essential for determining the actual relationships between socio-economic status and sustainable development. The sustainable rural development requires an integrated approach that strengthens agricultural livelihoods, promotes social inclusion and protects environmental resources for future generations.

12. References

1. Brundtland, G. H. (Ed.). (1987). *Our common future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development*. Oxford University Press.

2. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2014). *SAFA guidelines: Sustainability assessment of food and agriculture systems*. FAO, Rome.

3. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2017). *The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges*. FAO, Rome.

4. Pretty, J. (2008). Agricultural sustainability: Concepts, principles and evidence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363*(1491), 447–465. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2163

5. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.

6. National Statistical Office. (2021). *Situation assessment of agricultural households and land and livestock holdings of households in rural India, 2019*. NSS Report No. 587, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.

7. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. (2022). *Agricultural census of India: Operational holdings and related agricultural statistics*. Government of India.

8. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. United Nations, New York.

9. Government of India. (2022, December 16). *Income of farmers*. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare.

10. Government of India. (2024, February 6). *Average income of farmers*. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare.

11. Sarkar, S. (2021). Situation assessment survey of agricultural households 2019: A statistical note. *Review of Agrarian Studies*. https://ras.org.in/?Article=situation_assessment_survey_of_agricultural_households_2019_a_statistical_note