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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce and investigate the concept of $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$ -continous maps which are introduced in a bitopological space in analogy with $M$ - continous maps in topological spaces. Also, we have introduced the concept of $M$-bi-continuity, $M-s$ $b i$-continuity and pairwise $M$-irresolute in bitopological spaces and study some of the properties.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Levine in 1963 initiated a new types of open set called semiopen set[8]. A subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau)$ is called regular open (resp., regular closed) [11]if $A=\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(A))$ (resp., $A=\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(A))$. The delta interior [3] of a subset $A$ of ( $X, \tau$ ) is the union of all regular open sets of $X$ contained in $A$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{Sint}(A)$. A subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau)$ is called $\delta$-open [9] if $A=\operatorname{sint}(A)$. The complement of $\delta$-open set is called $\delta$-closed. Alternatively, a set $A$ of $(X, \tau)$ is called $\delta$-closed [3] if $A=\delta \operatorname{cl}(A)$, where $\delta c l(A)=\{x \in X: A \cap \operatorname{int}(c l(U)) \neq \phi, \quad U \in \tau$ and $x \in U\}$. A subset $A$ of a space $X$ is called $\theta$-open [1] if $A=\operatorname{\theta int}(A)$, where $\operatorname{\theta int}(A)=\bigcup\left\{\operatorname{int}(U): U \subseteq A, U \in \tau^{c}\right\}$, and a subset $A$ is called $\theta$-semiopen [2] (resp., $\delta$ - preopen [9] , $e$-open [4] and $M$-open[5]) if $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{\theta int}(A)) \quad(r e s p ., \quad A \subseteq \operatorname{int}(\delta c l(A)) \quad, \quad A \subseteq c l(\operatorname{dint}(A)) \cup \operatorname{int}(\delta c l(A)) \quad$ and $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{\theta int}(A)) \cup \operatorname{int}(\delta c l(A)))$, where $\operatorname{int}(), \quad \operatorname{cl}(), \operatorname{\theta int}(), \operatorname{\delta int}()$ and $\delta c l()$ are the interior, closure, $\theta$-interior, $\delta$-interior and $\delta$-closure operations, respectively. The notion of bitopological spaces (in short, Bts's) was first introduced by Kelly [6].

Throughout this paper, let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ or simply $X$ be a Bts and $i, j \in\{1,2\}$. A subset $S$ of a Bts $X$ is said to be $\tau_{1,2}$-open [7] if $S=A \cup B$ where $A \in \tau_{1}$ and $B \in \tau_{2}$. A subset $S$ of $X$ is said to be $\tau_{1,2}$-closed if the complement of $S$ is $\tau_{1,2}$-open. and $\tau_{1,2}$ -clopen if $S$ is both $\tau_{1,2}$-open and $\tau_{1,2}$-closed. For a subset $A$ of $X$, the interior (resp., closure) of $A$ with respect to $\tau_{i}$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{int}_{i}(A)$ (resp., $c l_{i}(A)$ ) for $i=1,2$. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the concept of $D_{m}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-continous maps in a bitopological space. Also, we have introduced the concept of $M$-bi-continuity, $M-s-b i$
-continuity and pairwise $M$-irresolute in bitopological spaces and study some of the properties. In addition, several properties of these notions and connections to several other known ones are provided.

Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a Bts. A subset $A$ of $X$ is called $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$-open [10] (briefly, $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}$ -$M$-o) if $A \subseteq c l_{j}\left(\theta \operatorname{int}_{i}(A)\right) \cup \operatorname{int}_{i}\left(\delta c l_{j}(A)\right)$ and $A$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$ closed (in short, $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-\mathrm{c}$ ) if $X \backslash A$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-\mathrm{o}$. A is pairwise $M$-open if it is both $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$-o and $\tau_{j} \tau_{i}-M$-o. A subset $A$ of $X$ is called $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\theta$-semiopen [10] (briefly, $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\theta$-so) if $A \subseteq c l_{j}\left(\theta i n t_{i}(A)\right), \tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\delta$ -preopen (briefly, $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\delta$-po) if $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{i}\left(\delta c l_{j}(A)\right) \quad, \quad \tau_{i} \tau_{j}-e$-open if $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{j}\left(\operatorname{Sint}_{i}(A)\right) \cup \operatorname{int}_{i}\left(\delta c l_{j}(A)\right)$. Clearly $A$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$-c if and only if $\operatorname{int}_{j}\left(\theta c l_{i}(A)\right) \cap c l_{i}\left(\operatorname{Sint}_{j}(A)\right) \subseteq A$. We denote the family of all (i,j)-M-c (resp., $\left.(i, j)-M-0\right)$ sets in a Bts $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ by $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ (resp., $\left.D_{M O}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)\right)$. The intersection of all $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-\mathrm{c}$ sets containing $A$ is called the $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$ closure of $A$, denoted by $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{A})$. i.e., $\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}-$ $\operatorname{Mcl}(A)=\bigcap\left\{U: A \subseteq U, U \in D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)\right\}$. The union of all $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$-o sets contained in $A$ is called the $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M$ interior of $A$, denoted by $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\operatorname{Mint}(A)$. i.e., $\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}-$ $\operatorname{Mint}(A)=\bigcup\left\{U: U \subseteq A, U \in D_{\text {MO }}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)\right\}$.
2. $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-continuous Maps and $M C$ - $b i$ - continuous

## Maps

Definition 2.1 A map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is called $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$ -continuous (in short, $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts) if the inverse image of every $\sigma_{k}-c$ set is an $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-c$ set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Remark 2.1 If $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau$ and $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\sigma$ in Definition Error! Reference source not found., then the $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i} \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-continuity of maps coincides with $M$-continuity of maps in topological spaces.

Theorem 2.1 If a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is an
(i) $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-\theta$-scts then it is a $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-M$-cts.
(ii) $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-\delta$-pcts then it is a $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-M$-cts.
(iii) $\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}-\theta$-cts then it is a $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-\theta$-scts.
(iv) $\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}-\theta$-cts then it is a $\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}$-cts.
(v) $\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}$-cts then it is a $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\delta$-pcts.
(vi) a $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-M$-cts then it is a $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-e$-cts.

Proof. (i) Let $V$ be a $\sigma_{k}-\mathrm{c}$ set. Since $f$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-\theta$-scts, $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\theta$-sc. By Proposition 2.1 in [10] $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-c$ in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore $f$ is $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-M$ -cts.

The proof of (ii) to (vi) are similar.
Example 2.1 Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}, \tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\}$, $\{b, d\},\{a, c\},\{a, b, d\}\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$. Then the identity map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-M$-cts but is not $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\theta$-scts, since for the $\sigma_{1}-c$ set
$\{b, c, d\}, f^{-1}(\{b, c, d\})=\{b, c, d\}$ which is not $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\theta$-sc set.
Example 2.2 Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{c, d\},\{a, c, d\}\}$, $\tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, d\},\{a, c\},\{a, b, d\}\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\},\{a, d\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\}\}$. Then the identity map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-M$-cts but is not $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\delta$-pcts, since for the $\sigma_{1}-c$ set $\{b, c\}, \quad f^{-1}(\{b, c\})=\{b, c\}$ which is not $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\delta-p c$ set.

Example Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$, $\tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, d\}, \quad\{a, c\},\{a, b, d\}\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{b, c, d\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\}\}$. Then the identity map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\theta$-scts but is not $\tau_{1}-\theta$-cts, since for the $\sigma_{1}-c$ set $\{a\}, f^{-1}(\{a\})=\{a\}$ which is not $\tau_{1}-\theta-c$ set.

Example Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$, $\tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, d\},\{a, c\},\{a, b, d\}\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\}\}$. Then the identity map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1}$-cts but is not $\tau_{1}-\theta$-cts, since for the $\sigma_{1}-c$ set $\{a, d\}, f^{-1}(\{a, d\})=\{a, d\}$ which is not $\tau_{1}-\theta-c$ set.

Example 2.5 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$, $\tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, d\},\{a, c\},\{a, b, d\}\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{a, b\},\{a, b, d\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\}\}$. Then the identity map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\delta$-pcts but is not $\tau_{1}$-cts, since for the $\sigma_{1}$ -c set $\{c, d\}, f^{-1}(\{c, d\})=\{c, d\}$ which is not $\tau_{1}-c$ set.

Example 2.6
Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$, $\tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, d\}, \quad\{a, c\},\{a, b, d\}\}, \quad \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{a, c\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{\phi, Y,\{a\}\}$. Then the identity map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-e-c t s$ but is not $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-M-c t s$, since for the $\sigma_{1}-c$ set $\{b, d\}, f^{-1}(\{b, d\})=\{b, d\} \quad$ which is not $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-M-c$ set.

$$
\tau_{i}-\theta-\sigma_{k}-\mathrm{cts}
$$



No1 $\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-M$ cts $\longrightarrow \tau_{i} \tau_{j}-\sigma_{k}-e$ cts $\quad \mathrm{t}$ not conversely.
Theorem 2.2 A map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}-c t s$. iff the inverse image of every $\sigma_{k}-p$ set in $Y$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-M-p$ in $X$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a $\sigma_{k}-\mathrm{p}$ set in $Y$. Then $G^{c}$ is $\sigma_{k}-\mathrm{c}$ set in $Y$. Since $f$ is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts, $f^{-1}\left(G^{c}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-M$-c in $X$. That is $f^{-1}\left(G^{c}\right)=\left(f^{-1}(G)\right)^{c}$ and so $f^{-1}(G)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-M$-p in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Conversely, let $F$ be a $\sigma_{k}$-c set in $Y$. Then $F^{c}$ is $\sigma_{k}-\mathrm{p}$ set in $Y$. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}\left(F^{c}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-M-\mathrm{p}$ in $X$. That is $f^{-1}\left(F^{c}\right)=\left(f^{-1}(F)\right)^{c}$ and so $f^{-1}(F)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-M$
-c in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore $f$ is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts.
Theorem 2.3 If a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts, then $f\left(\left(\tau_{i} \tau_{j}\right)-M-c l(A)\right) \subset \sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))$ holds for every subset $A$ of $X$.

Proof. Let $A$ be any subset of $X$. Then $f(A) \subseteq \sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))$ and $\sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))$ is $\sigma_{k}$-c set in $Y$. Also $f^{-1}(f(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))\right)$. That is $A \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))\right)$. Since $f$ is $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts, $f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{i} \tau_{j}\right)-M-c$ in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 2.7 in [10] $\quad \tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-\operatorname{cl}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{k}-\operatorname{cl}(f(A))\right)$. Therefore $\quad f\left(\left(\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-\ln (A)\right) \subseteq f\left(f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{k}-\right.\right.\right.$ $c l(f(A))) \subseteq \sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))$. Hence $f\left(\left(\tau_{i} \tau_{j}-M-c l(A)\right) \subseteq \sigma_{k}-c l(f(A))\right.$ for every subset $A$ of $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Converse of the above Theorem 2.3 is not true as seen from the following Example.
Example 2.7 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_{2}=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b, c\}, \quad\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $Y=\{p, q\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\phi, Y,\{p\}\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{Y, \phi\}$. Then $D_{M}(2,1)=\{X, \phi,\{c\},\{d\},\{a, b\},\{c, d\},\{a, c\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, c\},\{b, c, d\},\{a, c, d\},\{a, b, d\}\}$. Define a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by $f(a)=f(c)=f(d)=p$ and $f(b)=q$. Then $f((2,1)-$ $M-c l(A)) \subseteq \sigma_{1}-c l(f(A))$ for every subset $A$ of $X$. But $f$ is not $D_{M C}(2,1)-\sigma_{1}-c t s$, since for the $\sigma_{1}-c$ set $\{q\}, f^{-1}(\{q\})=\{b\}$ which is not $(2,1)-M-c$ set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 2.4 If a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts and $g:\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is $\sigma_{k}-\eta_{n}-c t s$, then gof if $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\eta_{n}-c t s$.

Proof. Let $F$ be $\eta_{n}$-c set in $\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$. Since $g$ is $\sigma_{k}-\eta_{n}-c t s, g^{-1}(F)$ is $\sigma_{k}$-c set in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$. Since $f$ is $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i} \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{k}$-cts, $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(F)\right)=(g o f)^{-1}(F)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-M$-c set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and hence $g o f$ in $D_{M C}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ - $\eta_{n}$-cts.

Definition 2.2 A map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is called
(i) $M$-bi-cts if $f$ is $D_{M C}(1,2)-\sigma_{2}$-cts and is $D_{M C}(2,1)-\sigma_{1}$-cts.
(ii) $M$-strongly-bi-cts (briefly $M-s$-bi-cts) if $f$ is $M$-bi-cts, $D_{M C}(2,1)-\sigma_{2}$ -cts and $D_{M C}(1,2)-\sigma_{1}$-cts.
(iii) pairwise $M$-irresolute if $f^{-1}(A) \in D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ for every $A \in D_{M}(k, e)$ in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$.

Remark 2.2 If $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$ and $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}$ simultaneously, then $f$ becomes a $M$ -irresolute map.

Theorem 2.5 Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a map.
(i) If $f$ is $b i$-cts then $f$ is $M$-bi-cts.
(ii) If $f$ is $s$-bi-cts then $f$ is $M-s-b i$-cts.
(iii) If $f$ is $\theta-s-b i$-cts then $f$ is $M-b i$-cts.
(iv)If $f$ is $\delta$-pcts then $f$ is $M-s-b i$-cts.
(v) If $f$ is $M$-bi-cts then $f$ is $e$-bi-cts.
(vi) If $f$ is $M-s-b i$-cts then $f$ is $e-s-b i$-cts.

Proof. (i) Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a bi-cts map. Then $f$ is $\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}$-cts and $\tau_{2}-\sigma_{2}$-cts and so by Theorem 2.1, $f$ is $D_{M C}(1,2)-\sigma_{2}$-cts and $D_{M C}(2,1)-\sigma_{1}$-cts. Thus $f$ is $M-b i$-cts.

The proof of (ii) to (vi) are similar.
The converse of this Theorem 2.5 need not be true in general as seen from the following Examples.

Example 2.8 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{X, \phi,\{q\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_{2}=\{X, \phi,\{a\},\{b, c\}, \quad\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $Y=\{p, q\}, \sigma_{1}=\{Y, \phi\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{Y, \phi,\{p\}\}$. Define a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by $f(a)=f(b)=f(c)=q$ and $f(d)=p$. Then $f$ is M-$s$-bi-cts but not $s$-bi-cts. This map is also $M-b i-c t s$ but not bi-cts.

Example 2.9 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \quad \tau_{1}=\{X, \phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_{2}=\{X, \phi,\{a\},\{b, c\}, \quad\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $Y=\{p, q\}, \sigma_{1}=\{Y, \phi\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{Y, \phi,\{p\}\}$. Define $a$ map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by $f(a)=f(b)=f(c)=q$ and $f(d)=p$. Then this function $f$ is $M$-bi-cts but not $\theta-s-b i-c t s$. This map is also $M-s-b i-c t s$ but not $\delta-p-b i$ -cts.

Example 2..10 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{X, \phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_{2}=\{X, \phi,\{a\},\{b, c\}, \quad\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $Y=\{p, q\}, \sigma_{1}=\{Y, \phi\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{Y, \phi,\{p\}\}$. Define $a$ $\operatorname{map} f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by $f(a)=f(b)=p \quad$ and $f(c)=f(d)=q$. Then this function $f$ is $e$-bi-cts but it is not $M$-bi-cts. This map is also $e-s-b i-c t s$ but not $M-b i$ -cts.

Remark 2.3 The following diagram summarizes the above discussions.


Note: $A \rightarrow B$ denotes $A$ implies $B$, but not conversely.

Theorem 2.6 If a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is pairwise $M$-irresolute, then $f$ is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\sigma_{e}-c t s$.

Proof. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be pairwise $M$-irresolute and $F$ be a $\sigma_{e}-\mathrm{c}$ set in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$. Then $F$ is $(k, e)-M-c$ in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by Proposition 2.1 in Error! Reference source not found.. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(F)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$-M -c set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Therefore $f$ is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ - $\sigma_{e}$-cts.
The converse of this Theorem [10] is not true in general as seen from the following Example.

Example 2.11 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_{1}=\{X, \phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_{2}=\{X, \phi,\{a\}$, $\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $Y=\{p, q\}, \sigma_{1}=\{Y, \phi\}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\{Y, \phi,\{p\}\}$. Define a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by $f(b)=f(c)=p$ and $f(a)=f(d)=q$. Then $f$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ -$M-\sigma_{2}-c t s$ but it is not pairwise $M$-irresolute, since for the $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-M-c$ set $\{p\}$ in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right), f^{-1}(\{p\})=\{b, c\}$ which is not $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-M-c$ set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 2.7 $A$ map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is pairwise $M$-irresolute iff the inverse image of every $(k, e)-M$-o set in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$-M -o set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2
Theorem 2.8 If $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ and $g:\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ are two pairwise $M$-irresolute maps, then their composition gof is also pairwise $M$-irresolute.

Proof. Let $A \in D_{M}(m, n)$ in $\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$. Since $g$ is pairwise $M$-irresolute, $g^{-1}(A) \in D_{M}(k, e)$ in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$. Since $f$ is pairwise $M$-irresolute $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(A)\right)=(g \circ f)^{-1}(A) \in D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$. Hence gof is pairwise $M$-irresolute.

Theorem 2.9 If a map $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is pairwise $M$-irresolute and $g:\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \quad$ is $\quad D_{M}(k, e)-\eta_{n}-c t s$, then $g o f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\eta_{n}$-cts.

Proof. Let $F$ be a $\eta_{n}-\mathrm{c}$ set in $\left(Z, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$. Since $g$ is $D_{M}(k, e)-\eta_{n}$-cts, $g^{-1}(F) \in D_{M}(k, e)$ in $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$. Since $f$ is pairwise $M$-irresolute, $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(A)\right)=(\operatorname{gof})^{-1}(A) \in D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and hence gof is $D_{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)-\eta_{n}$-cts.
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