

Importance of Teamwork in Organization

Adyasa Padhi, Department of Management,
Galgotias University, Yamuna Expressway
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh
Email ID: adyasats@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: *Teamwork in a company is crucial because it helps workers to relate to one another, which strengthens their relationships. Jobs who form a project team often feel rewarded for the good execution of these projects. A circumstance where they are all offered the ability to help strengthen team dynamics and raise their respect for each other. A situation in which they all find themselves. Improved employee relations also result from the fact that teamwork enhances cohesion among members, thanks to increased trust among them. Teamwork increases the accountability of every member of the team, especially when working under people who command a lot of respect within the business. Team members do not want to let each other down and hence do their best to contribute to the successes of their teams. In contrast to working solo on a project, peer pressure is usually high within teams such that cases of low morale are less likely to impact individuals. As a business owner, you would benefit from increased productivity through efficient team projects, which may be completed well ahead of the deadline.*

KEYWORDS: *Employee performance, Teamwork, Leadership, Trust, Policy making, Guidelines, Job rule.*

INTRODUCTION

Human beings have been pushed from the beginning into communities to work together to accomplish their aims, to solve challenges, to produce more ideas, to improve skills, to live, etc. Teamwork is one of the most impressive and important 21st century work arrangements. One of the most successful working strategies is coordination. Since the dawn of the period, people have been guided to form communities to collaborate together with their interests, solve challenges, produce more ideas, build expertise, live, etc. The 21st century has brought a great deal of progress [1]. Teamwork is one of the most impressive and important 21st century work arrangements. There have been numerous shifts in the corporate structure and the essence of employment in the 21st century. The employment of teams in the workplace has a long tradition, and the notion of collaboration has evolved over the last decades.

Many researches on the efficacy of teams have been carried out over the last few decades. However, companies face many obstacles in this dynamic and globalized market as they are continually changing [2]. There is still limited knowledge about how work teams function and many questions remain about how employers can successfully create productive and effective work teams. Given the globalized economy of the 21st century, there is also a lot to learn about multicultural teams. Additionally, there is much to learn about the support systems needed to manage this work configuration [3].

Most workers of organizations, such as development departments, mission teams, support teams and response teams, use various teams to meet their aims and be successful. The team-based literature provides many advantages for companies and individuals. Their efficiency in strengthening staff relations, professional and behavioral capabilities, performance productivity, job satisfaction and production, improvement in corporate effectiveness and versatility are all part of the advantages of coordination practices [4]. Furthermore, collaboration offers mutual support, promotes collaboration and increases the interest and difficulty of workers. Managers and managers became more aware that teams produce outcomes more effectively than actual workers. This is one explanation for the growing popularity of the work teams[5]. The teamwork in organizations is becoming the cornerstone. Most companies use work units as their key component to be competitive in the light of many reorganizational agendas. 85% of companies with 100 or more staff use teams to accomplish their targets, and this configuration is still extremely excited.

Employers focus on staff with the opportunity to work in teams. About the many advantages that teamwork offers, it's not always simple to create. Employers are however mindful that with preparation and instruction, they will cultivate this ability in their team members [6]. A team manager gained team experience, abilities,

and skills and found that teaching and mentoring teams had shown stronger qualifications in the team than those who have not. The research was conducted by Stevens and Campion to establish the knowledge, abilities and skills (KSA) necessary for teamwork and to consider the consequences for human resources management of these KSAs teamwork. Following a comprehensive literature analysis, HR ought to consider the demands of the job and KSAs needed for collaboration so that workers can be recruited efficiently. The result was also that HR wants to consider the roles and position demands, preparation needs, job appraisal and evaluations, among other considerations which could have a possible effect on coordination programmes [7].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Teamwork is used to improve efficiency, workforce unity and business culture in several different sectors. Companies often need to create new concepts or goods using a project-based strategy are grouping teams with the goal of sharing accountability and increasing the number and variety of ideas involved [8]. Team members use collaboration to pull each other's suggestions before they settle on a project planning course.

1. Importance of Teamwork in Organization:

1.1 Promotes Problem Solving:

Teamwork is necessary when several people are collaborating on a solution to fix issues. When anyone operates on an organization issue, he or she can only rely on his or her own professional expertise and understanding. Team members combine their collective ideas to develop new ideas for problem-solving. Problems are not only bad in this situation. The problem may be that a consumer has created a product to satisfy a need that the consumer doesn't realize. Two or more people also draw on each other's thoughts, delete bad sections and develop ideas [9].

1.2 Improves Communication of Ideas:

Teamwork is the foundation of efficient organizational communication. Employees cannot quickly exchange experience or new information as they work as individuals or individually in projects. This lack of coordination rises the time it takes for projects, assignments or solutions to be achieved. Teamwork facilitates conversation with workers about the job at hand, and may discourage employees from going the other way round. For instance, when one employee does not say that one way of dealing with a problem is a dead end and another employee is already attempting to use it, productivity is decreased [10]. Two people working together could also be more emboldened to ask a third party for advice or feedback and not to turn their wheels into anything that doesn't fit.

1.3 Increases Work-Flow Speed:

Cohesion is a crucial byproduct of a company's coordination. This stability can be the product of increased chemistry, confidence or both by team activity. Cohesive workers are less likely to face each other and embrace each other's decisions more effectively. Team synergy will dramatically boost a company's workflow pace.

1.4 Learning from Team Members:

Any employee benefits from one another as they work together as a team within an organization. This expertise should not restrict itself to employees' personal experiences; employees in various offices will learn from each other about their departments' shortcomings and opportunities. For example, if a marketing department regularly makes unrealized demands of other departments, it will see that the requests are unfair by coordination.

1.5 Feeling of Belonging:

When a team works together and is unified, the members of the team know like they belong to something positive. Everybody wants to be part of a winning team, but you can't always win. Since they're shared by the squad, the losses are more tolerable. The team members comfort and drive each other, recalling their victories and winning again together. This facilitates good working ties.

1.6 Productivity:

One of the clearest benefits of collaboration is to boost efficiency. This is how it is possible to break the workload and to reduce the single workload. The operations are thus frequently carried out in record time according to the time limits. The basis of your position is according to your credentials and specialization. The amount of importance is another means of spreading jobs. You can be a competent instructor, but also have an expertise in architecture, so that the organization can make use of its talents. Thus the company will be an additional benefit.

1.7 Better Employee Relations:

Working on the same floor in an open doors paradigm is expected to improve employee experiences. Employees are more likely to be related. The successful completion of every project is dominated by a feeling of harmony and mutual emotions. The atmosphere of shared confidence and fellowship is common.

1.8 Shared Accountability:

This is one of the main reasons which have emphasized the need for coordination. Employees also work under pressure when they work as solo individuals conducting a mission. They work under increasing social pressure. The entire community is committed to making the product act as a team. So at any point, the flaw could result in a drag, the whole team works around it and the individual specialized is allowed to figure it out until the actual glitch has been found. Team managers don't want to let their teams down to make things happen vigorously.

CONCLUSION

This paper has discussed an extensive literature review and survey of research studies on teamwork productivity and effectiveness through the course of this study, it has been observed that (a) the factors that influence the effectiveness of teams at work in organizations; (b) It provides some of the strongest support for the value of teams to organizational effectiveness. The research compiled in this review came from many papers. Our motivation was to identify how team works can be used effectively in an organization. Ideally, this foundation will assist researchers currently engaged in teamwork productivity and effectiveness and may lead to the identification and stimulation of areas require additional research.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Deacon, "Teamwork," in *The Therapist's Notebook: Homework, Handouts, and Activities for Use in Psychotherapy*, 2013.
- [2] J. A. LePine, R. F. Piccolo, C. L. Jackson, J. E. Mathieu, and J. R. Saul, "A meta-analysis of teamwork processes: Tests of a multidimensional model and relationships with team effectiveness criteria," *Pers. Psychol.*, 2008, doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.2008.00114.x.
- [3] M. Hoegl and H. G. Gemuenden, "Teamwork Quality and the Success of Innovative Projects: A Theoretical Concept and Empirical Evidence," *Organ. Sci.*, 2001, doi: 10.1287/orsc.12.4.435.10635.
- [4] M. Haas and M. Mortensen, "The secrets of great teamwork," *Harvard Business Review*. 2016.

- [5] L. Chapman, "Effective teamwork.," *Nurs. Manag. (Harrow)*, 2008, doi: 10.7748/nm.15.6.18.s14.
- [6] E. Salas, N. J. Cooke, and M. A. Rosen, "On teams, teamwork, and team performance: Discoveries and developments," *Human Factors*. 2008, doi: 10.1518/001872008X288457.
- [7] S. G. Leggat, "Effective healthcare teams require effective team members: Defining teamwork competencies," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2007, doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-7-17.
- [8] S. Hunziker *et al.*, "Teamwork and leadership in cardiopulmonary resuscitation," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.03.017.
- [9] D. Mcewan, G. R. Ruissen, M. A. Eys, B. D. Zumbo, and M. R. Beauchamp, "The effectiveness of teamwork training on teamwork behaviors and team performance: A systematic review and meta-Analysis of controlled interventions," *PLoS One*, 2017, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169604.
- [10] E. Salas, M. L. Shuffler, A. L. Thayer, W. L. Bedwell, and E. H. Lazzara, "Understanding and Improving Teamwork in Organizations: A Scientifically Based Practical Guide," *Hum. Resour. Manage.*, 2015, doi: 10.1002/hrm.21628.

