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Abstract: In the world of e-commerce, foreign tax problems are manifold and require distributor and tax compliance agency relations. Taxing authorities can find it very difficult to collect revenue from suppliers performing trade through international Internet addresses. Fixing the location of the sale is the key concern associated with Internet-based commerce. The location where a website is located, the location where the transaction is initialized by the customer and the server where payment is received can vary. The danger of money being diverted to tax shelters is intensified by the electronic movement of funds. In comparison, certain jurisdictions depend on the taxpayer to mark himself, herself or herself willingly as falling under their tax structure. In such a scenario, tax authorities will not be able to efficiently impose their tax collecting privileges, especially whether a corporation does not believe itself to be under a tax jurisdiction and actually prefers not to report its operations to the competent authority. Underlying some debate as to whether a website, computer, telecommunication infrastructure, local access numbers, etc. represent a permanent access number, etc. Henceforth, it is pertinent to understand the principles in regards to the taxation laws and its implementation and the same is briefly analyzed in this paper. Not unexpectedly, some exporting countries with technologies are in favor of turning away from a source.
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INTRODUCTION

The US Treasury retains that in order to link an electronic funds transfer with a particular country, it is difficult to implement traditional source concepts. The USD reiterated this perspective and endorsed Japan at the G8 meetings in Birmingham. Importing countries will not mind taking the same view, and there is a risk that in the absence of clear universally accepted guidelines we will find some jurisdictions restricting the traditional concept of permanent establishment to capture electronic commerce and preserve local taxation rights or seeking to apply' royalty 'treatment, particularly where agreements allow a withholding tax [1].

Where a foreign enterprise is regarded to be carrying on company in a given country, that example of economic revenue will generally be subject to tax in that country. However, if certain provisions are laid down in a bilateral tax treaty, they may be explicitly excluded from business income tax in the country in question. In general, tax treaties will constrain a country's ability to tax a non-resident on its business income from that country, unless the income is attributable to that country's permanent establishment.

A multinational entity residing in a country with which its home country has a double tax treaty is therefore only liable for tax in the aforementioned if it has a permanent tax treaty. In the State of residence of the enterprise, business profits are taxable even if the enterprise is carried on in the State of origin, unless they are attributable to a permanent establishment, generally defined as 'a fixed place of business through which an enterprise's affairs are carried on[2].

The following conditions are included in this definition:

* The existence of a 'place of business,' i.e. a facility such as premises, or machinery or equipment in certain cases;
* The place must be fixed, i.e., it must be established with a certain degree of permanence in a separate place;
* The carrying on of the enterprise's business through this fixed business location. A company's conduct usually means that certain individuals run the affairs of the company from a fixed place. The OECD observations on automatic equipment, however, make it clear that it is not necessary for personnel or any other human being to be present carrying out specific activities in order for a PE to occur.
DISCUSSION

If a person other than an agent of an independent status acts on behalf of the company and has, and usually exercises, the power to conclude contracts on behalf of the company, a PE will also be considered to exist. A website does not have an actual physical presence, but rather is highly mobile, borrowing only the server's presence where it currently resides. There is no need for staff to be present in the country to maintain the site. The website is analogous to something like a mail order catalog or a television advertising, advertisement or home shopping channel, to the limited extent that advertising and ordering processes are perforated. Without further ado, mere solicitation does not, under existing principles, create a PE and should not, if carried out through EC, do so. The website is analogous to a place that is preserved solely for the purposes of storage, display or delivery, to the extent that a customer can view stacks or data [3].

Moreover, under existing principles, PE should not constitute electronic content that resides only temporarily on a server. For example, the building rules reflect this duration concept and require the presence of project activities, including the vicinity of a workforce, for twelve straight years in the country. So does the fact that customers can position orders in the country where the customer lives via the website of a foreign company lead the company to income taxes? In my view, the answer to that question is definitely "no".

To claim that the right to enter a website is adequate to constitute a PE without any other more significant interaction is to say that in every country where their customers happen to live, internet firms are responsible for income taxes. It is not possible to accept a website as a PE, and such a concept is therefore basically unenforceable. Linking the presence of a homepage to some physical equipment, namely its host computer, would be more useful. And that leads us to the second debate, that is, whether a server is a PE[4].

A variety of business practices which are not regarded as PE are specified by most treaties. The common trait of these operations is that they are of a preparatory or auxiliary kind in general. Since developing e-commerce, the taxation policies of countries dependent on territories and authority have started to collapse. Concepts such as permanent establishment, distribution points, grouping of goods and profits that are included in the taxation process has been unsatisfactory. Whereas it is impossible to ascertain the position of the vendor and buyer during purchases on the internet, there has been a decrease in tax revenue. Electronic trading makes it easier for firms to get without some outward appearance, their sales. (Basu, 2008) Tax administrators seldom get information about taxes that should be collected because of these effects of e-commerce and therefore tax losses arise. For traditional commerce and e-commerce, equal and neutral taxation should be produced.

To minimize regulatory costs for corporations and operating costs, an effective taxation structure should be provided. The laws on taxes should be simple and definite. Tax payers should consider how and under which cases they are charged. The taxation mechanism should ensure productivity and justice. Taxation systems can respond to technical and economic growth in a versatile way. Otherwise, there might be double taxes and non-taxation questions.

The main point is that it is equally and reasonably taxed. E-commerce should and will be taxed (just like conventional commerce). There is no question of governments unexpectedly authorizing the evaporation of their tax revenues. On the part of a maverick (and slightly naïve) fringe, talk of the "end of government" is wishful thinking. The reality is that governments are obligated to offer core services to their people (schools, hospitals, transport infrastructure, social security provisions, etc.). In certain situations, private provision might be possible, but taxation also plays a vital role in attracting the funds to pay for such services in practice. E-commerce taxation is also a natural part of the agreed trend of how our countries work [5]. What tax administrations need to do is take advantage of the current technologies to boost taxpayer support at a reduced rate. "This is not the "death of democracy" we should be concerned about, but the "e-government" advent and growth."
CONCLUSION

This is the most relevant aspect since GST is a tax dependent on destination, so the state where goods are purchased or the last destination of goods is taxed can be stated in basic terms as the state has the right to collect GST in the position where the goods are purchased. The position of supply also circles around other laws under GST. Basically, it explains the type in which the trade will come interstate or intra-state and the IGST, CGST, SGST levy will take effect accordingly. The last destination is of the highest significance for commodities. Both e-commerce operators and vendors are subject to this regulation. In a standard e-commerce transaction, there are two possibilities possible. In any case, the place of supply would be the place where items are delivered if the mailing address is the same as the billing address.

If the mailing address varies from the billing address, the location of the customer would also be known as the place of supply and the point of taxes in this situation. There are several reports exploring e-commerce hurdles and e-commerce taxation questions. There are, however, less studies exploring how e-commerce tax problems impact e-commerce growth. In this research, e-commerce progress and variables affecting e-commerce growth were primarily studied. E-commerce taxation issues are critical for states, companies and customers. Purchasing and trading without borders over the internet causes issues with taxes.

Some of the taxation problems are difficulties in deciding which nation or state has taxation authority, non-taxation due to physical existence is not necessary, assessment of goods and services by countries in different ways, implementation of various tax policies and tax rates, double taxation possibility. Countries have not yet discovered any certain solution to block negative facts like revenue loss and tax avoidance. Partnerships to fix challenges often fail. It is suspected that e-commerce levels have been adversely impacted by e-commerce taxes, which has increased from the first transaction to date. Businesses, customers and even governments who pursue e-commerce reluctance can be developed, and this is an undesired situation for e-commerce growth. When an agreement or partnership has been reached between countries finding ways to solve tax issues, more companies and consumers are prepared to join the e-commerce industry if they are successful. The growth of e-commerce will also be greatly impacted and the effects of e-commerce will also benefit both corporations and customers. Then, thanks to untaxable e-commerce sales, nations would not be forced to suffer tax collection losses.
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