

Review on Distance Education Pedagogy

Latha Krishna Prasad, School of Social Sciences and Humanities, Seshadri Road, Gandhi Nagar,

Jain (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru

Email Id- lkp7405@yahoo.co.in

Dr. Habeeb, Assistant Professor Economics Department,

School of Social Sciences and Humanities,

Seshadri Road, Gandhi Nagar,

Jain (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru

Email Id- habeeb@jainuniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT: *Distance or Online education has been the most important change in learning and teaching cycle over the last decade. Science knowledge has grown, and work has strengthened. At the base of this maturation and change are three generations reflecting the principles of iconic studies published by professional leaders; today they are guides to field study. In this paper three generations of distance learning pedagogy are defined and discussed. In contrast to prior classifications based on the technologies used in distance learning, the thesis concentrates on pedagogy, defining the learning environments in resume design. The 3 kinds of CNS are analysed with the use of a common system of research models focusing specifically upon psychological, emotional and educational involvement. The three types of Pedagogy are studied. While this style of pedagogy could also be used for campus-based education, studying is especially important as a result of their need for something and culture of transparency, explicitness and material but instead distance education systems for designers, teachers and designers of teaching distance. The paper argues that high-quality distance education incorporates the learning material, meaning, and learning aspirations of all three generations as defined.*

KEYWORDS: *Behavioural Pattern, Cognitive Behaviourist, Distance Education, Online Learning.*

INTRODUCTION

Like all many technical – social advances, distance education is traditionally represented through the thought and behavioural trends of those who created, evaluated, and introduced what were once modern programs. The projects thus encapsulate a paradigm that describes its current epistemological origins, development frameworks, and innovations, just as this paradigm's implementation emerges in new eras. In this research study, models for distance education were addressed in three phases of the transformation of intellectual, social and psychological terms [1].

Researchers analyse both of these methods for distance learning using the "group approach to inquiry" (COI), with a focus on teaching, emotional and social involvement. Given that distance education must be digitally enabled to fill the geographical and often temporal void between students and organisations, it is natural to speak in terms of the technology used to fill those gaps of development or generations of distance education. Thus distance learners have defined and specified the distance learning in a functionally deterministic way based on the prevalent technologies used for dissemination [2].

Through postal communications the first wave of distance education technologies was. A second generation preceded this, characterized by the news, internet, and film mainstream media. Multimedia technologies were implemented by third-generation distance learning: first audio, then text, and afterwards screen and then digital and video conferencing. Apparently the distinct characteristics of so-called fourth and fifth-generation remote technologies are less obvious than intelligent data bases that permit 'intelligent mobile education' or the integration of Cloud 2.0 or seminal network infrastructure.

This should be remembered that none of these waves have been discarded over time; instead, they have expanded the range of solutions open to DE designers and learners. Likewise, the following three DE pedagogical styles all operate quite well today. Many educators are proud to be motivated by their learning and teaching plans (as opposed to technologically). In addition, technology also influences and defines the implementation, as first mentioned by scholars, Pedagogy incorporated into the principles of schooling through instruction. For the centre of the deterministic technique and pedagogy.

To some degree, our pedagogical mechanisms may be regarded as innovations themselves, but of a weaker type than computers, apps, postal networks, and so on. Such structures which imitate and harden pedagogues, and they are inevitably much stronger at this stage in the design of learning than in the dance leaders. For instance, an environmentally friendly learning management system for class and curriculum should effectively encourage model-appropriate teachers and remove those who are not contentful enough and who do not correspond to a content-based course model [3].

For eg, through the use of two-way communication techniques, the design of a pedagogy which manipulates dialogue and engagement could be dissuaded and the development of a pedagogy which promotes self-containment for the learner and the content of the course can be promoted. This paper establishes a basic typology in which pedagogies of distance education are divided into three generations [4].

During the second half of the 20th century, “cognitive behaviourist” (CB) pedagogies concentrate on how learning was traditionally described, exercised, and studied. Theory of behavioural learning starts with conceptions of learning that are commonly characterized as new habits or behavioural improvements that are learned as a consequence of an individual's reaction to stimuli. Notice the emphasis on the person in this description, and the need to quantify specific actions and not capacities or attitudes [5].

For example, instructional activities of the researcher continue through sequential and organized stages, including attracting the interest of the learners, reminding the learner of goals, encouraging the recollection of previous knowledge, introducing stimuli content, offering instructions for the learner, receiving results, providing input, evaluating output, and improving incentives for transition. Behavioural theories have become especially desirable for use in instructional activities (as opposed to educational) because the learning results correlated with instruction are typically precisely calculated and behaviourally illustrated. The emotional movement originated from the behaviourist culture.

In reaction to an increasing need to compensate for inspiration, behaviours, and mental obstacles that can only be partly correlated or explained by observable behaviours, cognitive pedagogy emerged in part. Equally significant, cognitive models were focused on an increasing knowledge of brain processes and activities and, in particular, how computational models were used to explain and measure learning and reasoning. Most work utilizing this paradigm resulted from observational digital impact study, chunking, cognitive fatigue, repetition and other learning-related behavioural or cognitive processes [6].

Although learning has always been established as an independent mechanism, its study included exclusive focus on measures to notify or boost ability that are stored and held in independent memory. The tradition continues by introducing constructive, experimentally validated concepts such as stage learning and tests in brain science and more controversial, scientifically unsound, and unsurpassable learning theories which at the end of the twentieth century acquired an impact and which continue to have an effect today in several quarters. A teacher or instructional planner is the control centre of a CB model.

It should be noted that such models gained a distance learning stronghold at a time of inadequate infrastructure, facilitating many contacts. Teleconferencing was probably the most effective open tool; however, there were associated costs and problems that hindered it from being useful. There are a very long, costly however digitally restricted postal systems and mail delivery. Strategies that focused on one-to-many and one - to-one correspondence were, because of the limitations of the underlying technology, the only sensible choices [7].

Cognitive Presence:

Cognitive activity is the medium and meaning by which the learners create new information and validate it. In cognitive – behaviourist learning models, cognitive awareness is generated by organized mechanisms through which the motivation of learners is activated, guided by underlying concepts in both general and particular situations, and then evaluated and validated for the development of this information. CB theories in distance education pedagogy stress the value in utilizing a type of instructional systems architecture where learning objectives are explicitly defined and specified and occur separately from the learner and the research background.

Social Presence:

What more characterized distance education's cognitive-behavioural generation, It was a lack of social contact nearly complete. Learning was seen as a human action and reading a book, viewing a video or integrating you or other students into a computer-aided learning scheme was imprudent. This emphasis on individualised

education has led to a high degree of student individuality through print box technology, mass media (radio and television) as well as postal correspondence.

Teaching Presence:

In other aspects of CB distance education, the teaching role of CB models was either the, or at least dramatically rebuilt. In his earliest instantiation as schooling in writing, the author had only their expressions to express their appearance on written paper. Researchers identified a style of writing he named controlled didactic contact that was meant to convey the teacher's or author's temperament and loving interest through personalization and a conversational writing style. Later technology enabled teacher's voice (audio) and body language to be conveyed via educational productions focused on television, video, and multimedia.

Regarding the teacher's absence, theoretical implications of the teaching should not, as is amply seen in the versions from Educator Rita, be ignored by one-to-one written communications, telephone connections or the everyday person involvement of teachers and pupils. Despite this capacity, it is not known that the teacher-learner role is self-contained and comprehensive and requires only the teacher-learner touch to evaluate and label.

Certain distance learners who use this model should not doubt that they sense a high degree of teaching presence, but only through text and sound and images are transmitted through other teaching presence. This decline in teacher positions and value further incited traditional teacher discontent with the CB distance education model, which resulted in a desire to create single-mode structures capable of building education frameworks without the limitations of older teaching environments which dominate educational frameworks.

To sum up, the first generation of personalized distance education was characterized by CB models. These maximized exposure and student choice, and were able to expand to very large numbers at substantially smaller rates than mainstream schooling, as the popular mega-universities have shown. Such benefits, though, have been followed by very substantial decreases in instruction, social interaction, and structured cognitive role models, decreases which have come under considerable pressure. Although necessary where learning objectives are very simple, as opposed to learning to do, CB models ignore coping with the complete richness and difficulty of individuals learning to be.

Social-Constructivist Pedagogy:

Although there is a legacy in cognitive-constructivist thought that relies on informal information creation, the origins in today's more often deployed constructivist paradigm derive from researchers' study, usually lumped together under the large field of social constructivism. Social-constructivist pedagogies evolved in tandem with the emergence of two-way contact systems, but not through accident. At this time, technologies was commonly used to build incentives for both synchronous and asynchronous connections between students and instructors, rather than exchanging knowledge.

One of the popular transactional distance theories acknowledged the potential for fluid engagement to substitute structure in the creation and implementation models of distance learning. A variety of scholars took notice of the difficulties of finding the correct combination of possible experiences. In the minds of individual learners, socio-constructivist pedagogy emphasizes the collective essence of knowledge and of its development.

Teachers not only share content that is constantly learned by learners; instead, each learner creates ways of generating new learning and in conjunction with existing knowledge. Since different social constructivism examples exist Both models are more or less identical in design, including the importance of new understanding as builds on previous learning; the significance of the impact of learners on their creating their knowledge; learning as just an active instead of passive process; language as well as other social capital in learning building.

The need for social construction and integration, for many points of view, and for an awareness of social appreciation of knowledge demanded that, contrary to learning by individuals, distance education should be a group experience and cohort development. As scientists and others have suggested, reasoning is not just in human brains but in cultures and experiences.

The locus of influence in a social constructivist approach gradually disassociates itself from the teacher who is more a benchmark than a trainer but continues to recognise learning events and create the atmosphere in which they exist. Social-constructivist philosophies are learning ideas that are less prepared than their CB

ancestors. It is noteworthy that social-constructivist theories really began to take hold after many networking networks were opened. and available first with the use of emails and bulletin boards [8].

Builders stress the importance of knowledge which provides its own meaning. Thus, cognitive interaction is as pragmatic as possible and reflects remote learning, most of which take place on the workforce and other real-world environments beyond conventional classrooms. Cognitive involvement is also the most cost-effective way to promote the cognitive presence because it is the communication with others (not involving large training expenses, computer-assisted learning programs, or media output). Cognitive involvement, often utilizes the psychological potential for image modelling for constructivists.

The social contact is a hallmark of constructivist pedagogies. This contact is often conducted at a distance, but nevertheless it is seen as a vital component of quality distance education. Most of the work has been carried out to demonstrate that both synchronous and asynchronous models can encourage student participation and eventual welfare. More recent technological advancements, such as Second Life, require gestures, masks, speech intonation and other forms of body languages, to improve social contact outside of face-to-face meetings [9].

He concluded: "the teacher is a guide, a support and a partner in constructivist modes of remote education where the instruction is secondary to the learning process, the information's origin lies primarily in meeting." In view of this vital position, the value of teaching presence inside constructivist models can be seen. Teaching involvement goes beyond learning facilitation to choosing and designing instructional strategies, and delivering meaningful guidance as appropriate. The criteria for strong teaching involvement render scaling of constructivist models of distance education troublesome, with few classes ever extending outside the student population of 20–30.

Constructivist distance learning pedagogies have driven distance learning over the limits of knowledge dissemination which can be easily encapsulated by the media by the use of synchronous as well as sporadic learning, centred on human contact. The rich relationship between student-student and student-teacher can therefore be regarded in distance education as a "post-industrial period" However, scientists view the reliance on human interaction as limiting usability and providing more expensive models for distance learning.

The third wave of pedagogy around distance education has recently arisen and is regarded as connectivism. Learning is the process of creating knowledge, connections, and services networks which are applied to real issues. Connectivism was established in a networked world of digital age, which implies universal connectivity to networked technology. Connectivist research focuses on networked interactions that are present and versatile enough to be extended to current and new issues [10].

Connectivism frequently assumes that the learner's job is not to memory or even grasp anything but to recognise and execute consciousness as and when necessary. Connectivism suggests that a great deal of intellectual thinking and problem solving may and should be offloaded to computers, contributing to controversial arguments that "information should exist in non-human devices". Connectivism therefore positions itself within the framework of actor-network philosophy through defining indiscriminate and contradictory borders between physical artefacts, social norms and hybrid instantaneously.

Interestingly, connectivist trends rely specifically on the ubiquity of links between people, digitable artefacts, and knowledge that could not have been thought of as distance learning modes that could not mediate the World Wide Web process. Technology has therefore played a key role in finding potential pedagogies which could be used in previous decades.

The cognitive existence of connectivism starts with the expectation of students being exposed to network partners and just being able to function and skill to use these networks more objectively to accomplish learning tasks. Therefore, the primary objective of connectivist education is to bring students into contacts and to provide them with opportunities to achieve a sense of self-efficiency within networked cognitive skills. In contrast with individuals or community environments, connectivist analysis is best done in network settings.

In selecting appropriate pedagogical skills, a traditional community-of-quiry model may be a useful heuristic to build and sustain a cognitive, social and teaching presence. Table 1 below lists these elements and lists the correlation and differences among them in an overview and definition.

Table 1: Summary of Distance Education Pedagogies

Generation of distance education pedagogy	Technology	Learning activities	Learner granularity	Content granularity	Evaluation	Teacher role	Scalability
Cognitive-behaviourism	Mass media: Print, TV, radio, one-to-one communication	Read and watch	Individual	Fine: scripted and designed from the ground up	Recall	Content creator, sage on the stage	High
Constructivism	Conferencing (audio, video, and Web), many-to-many communication	Discuss, create, construct	Group	Medium: scaffolded and arranged, teacher-guided	Synthesize: essays	Discussion leader, guide on the side	Low
Connectivism	Web 2.0: Social networks, aggregation & recommender systems	Explore, connect, create, and evaluate	Network	Coarse: mainly at object and person level, self-created	Artifact creation	Critical friend, co-traveler	Medium

CONCLUSION

As defined in this paper, distance learning has developed over several innovations and at least three decades of pedagogy. No one generation received all the solutions, and each centered their predecessors' structures rather than updating the earlier version. Generations in combination with the technologies that make them have evolved to a large extent: When new opportunities are opened, different facets of the learning process must be discovered and developed.

Different methods of education, preparation and experiences must be adapted for each style of involvement, and distance students and teachers must be qualified and skilled in selecting the right pedagogical and technological combination. The key players remain the same over all three generations — the teachings, students and material — and while these relationships are based on continuous networkings and user-generated content, the nature is driven by a critical position of student engagement in constructivism to the interaction between students and the information of students in connectivist pedagogies.

It concludes by suggesting that DE pedagogy has a significant role in a well-rounded educational environment for all three current and potential generations. Linking and building data in a way that involves both social networks and cultures and their own histories and preferences is built on the basis of a constructivist way of thought with the student at heart.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Anderson and J. Dron, "Three generations of distance education pedagogy," *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, 2011.
- [2] T. Anderson and J. Dron, "Education Pedagogy," *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, 2011.
- [3] M. Clarà and E. Barberà, "Learning online: massive open online courses (MOOCs), connectivism, and cultural psychology," *Distance Educ.*, 2013.
- [4] R. Andersen and M. Ponti, "Participatory pedagogy in an open educational course: Challenges and opportunities," *Distance Educ.*, 2014.
- [5] J. O'Flaherty and C. Phillips, "The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: A scoping review," *Internet High. Educ.*, 2015.
- [6] S. Evans and J. G. Myrick, "How MOOC instructors view the pedagogy and purposes of massive open online courses," *Distance Educ.*, 2015.
- [7] K. Aoki, "Generations of Distance Education and Challenges of Distance Education Institutions in Japanese Higher Education," in *Distance Education*, 2012.

- [8] T. Anderson and J. Dron, "Three generations of distance education pedagogy. International Review of Research on Distance and Open Learning," *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn.*, vol. 3, no. Special Issue Connectivism: Design and Delivery of Social Networked Learning, pp. 80–97, 2011.
- [9] T. Anderson and J. Dron, "Three Generations of Distance Education Pedagogy Anderson and Dron," *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 80–97, 2011.
- [10] T. Anderson and J. Dron, "Learning technology through three generations of technology enhanced distance education pedagogy," *Eur. J. Open Distance ELearning*, pp. 1–14, 2012.

