

Impact of Advanced Manufacturing Technologies on Productivity of Indian Small & Medium Manufacturing Enterprises

¹Divanshu Gupta, ²Surjit Kumar Gandhi, ³Harmesh Lal

¹Assistant Professor, ²Professor, ³Professor

Mechanical Engineering Department

PCTE Institute of Engineering and Technology, Ludhiana, India

Abstract : Advanced manufacturing technology (AMT) has brought significant changes in manufacturing operations in overall industrial manufacturing scenario. Waste due to motion and transportation are effectively eliminated by implementing AMTs. Hard and soft technologies are capable to enhance the operations. Right time delivery of product is enhanced by these strategies. Research is still lacking to check the performance of such strategies in manufacturing organizations. Reliability analysis including measuring of reliability coefficient, testing of mean difference using ANOVA, descriptive testing and student *t* test have been employed for the analysis of filled questionnaire. Results of investigation recommended that clarity in goals of AMTs should be there for every employee in the organization. Moreover, robotics and computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing are highly utilized in manufacturing industry of Punjab.

Key words: AMTs(advanced manufacturing technologies); manufacturing; CAD/CAM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Advanced manufacturing technology (AMT) has brought significant changes in manufacturing operations in overall industrial manufacturing scenario. It is the main technique that is useful improving competitiveness and efficiency of an organization. It includes computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM), computer numerical controlled (CNC) equipment, robotics, flexible manufacturing systems (FMS), rapid prototyping, environmentally sustainable technologies, etc. (Rao, 2007). Advanced strategies involves implementation of new technologies and organizational structural changes and strategies like total quality management and just-in-time manufacturing that result in significant changes that are required in manufacturing operations. The adoption of AMT includes adoption of advanced technologies and application of computer system in production planning and control activities (Young and Selto, 1991). AMT include software and hardware based technologies that were properly controlled and implemented to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of manufacturing operations of the organization. Mize (1987) argued that AMT is a strategy of setting objectives and goals of the organization by all round development of the organization by solving problems and integration of computers and process planning. Hofmann and Orr (2005) claimed that as a manufacturing strategy framework that affects the manufacturing strategy in the “manufacturing process technology” decision area. The decision control not only includes manufacturing decision area but also production control area, and human resources and management and organizational processes revision

(organization). AMTs are used for manufacturing and design activities. Investment in implementing AMTs is associated with investment in supportive mechanisms such as preventive maintenance policies.

In global manufacturing scenario, manufacturing organizations are focused on narrow type of product and efficient mass production manufacturing operations through programs of productivity improvement (Huber, 1984). In order to anticipate markets and develop production systems quickly that is required to produce product that delivers the product that meet customer requirement, AMTs implementation is necessary (Hall, 1992). Continuous refinements of manufacturing processes is necessary in this high competitive environment. AMTs programs are useful tools to work continuously with suppliers in order to improve the performance of manufacturing operations (Hernandez-Matias et al., 2008). This type of environment is characterized by rapid changes in market and short product life cycles. Dramatic changes in customer demanding situations have led to adoption of such types of technologies (Singh and Khamba, 2010).

The changes in the manufacturing environment are characterized by competitive environment on supply side and increased volatility in customer requirement in the demand part. Such changes have remarkable marks on different aspects of organizations.

(Gomes et al., 2006). In order to pose the competitive environment, manufacturing organizations must apply AMT initiative in all aspects of their operations for improving the competitiveness (Pintelon and Gelders, 1992). In the era of globalization phase, fuelling of production manufacturing techniques has raised the need of new technologies in manufacturing organizations. Decrease in the life cycles of products, flexibility of manufacturing operations has become necessary (Mechling et al., 1995). AMTs help to provide foster changes in management organization both through its application to operations of the firm and managerial work. These changes results in production of smaller and more comprehensive management structures on the basis of control and integration offered by new technology. Research is still lacking to check the performance of strategies of AMTs in manufacturing organizations. So an attempt has been made to assess such technologies and impact of these technologies.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Kotha and Swamidass (2000) investigated the relationship among strategy, AMT and performance by conducting survey in 160 US manufacturing organizations. Multidimensional view of AMT has been studied by stressing the information processing capability in AMT. The survey confirms the fit between certain strategy-dimensions of AMT that will be associated with superior performance.

Laosirihongthong and Paul (2004) explained the role on management practices in implementing AMTs on 149 organizations in Thai automotive industry. Results of investigation demonstrated that investment in these technologies and adoption of quality management practices shows better performance that do not use these management practices including leadership, continuous improvement, improved vendor relationship and customer focus.

Dangayach and Deshmukh (2005) examined the competitive priorities of AMTs, implementation criteria of AMTs and degree of investment in AMTs for achieving the flexibility. Results indicated that SMEs of India are prioritizing quality and are giving less priority to flexibility. Post-implementation requirement and evaluation criteria implementation steps have got least attention from SMEs of India.

Small (2006) presented the investigation on investment justification in manufacturing unit of USA. The study adopted the complex technology portfolio using economic and strategic justification supported by ratio and discounted cash flow justification technique. Results indicated that functional level integration at different stages of AMT project has been reported.

Zhang et al. (2006) used additive, mediating and moderating models to conduct survey in 173 manufacturing organizations. It has been observed that from the three alternative models, best supportive model is moderating model. The results conclude that advanced technologies shows highly positive impact on operations improvement practices and flexible manufacturing competence that are needed to be implemented effectively in the organization.

Narain et al. (2007) provided the comprehensive perspective of the issues surrounding the problem of investment justification of AMTs and provides future research such as development and design of hardware elements of AMTs, popularize the use of activity based costing system and information from pooling from different users of AMT.

Liao and Tu (2008) determined responses of manufacturing strategy to different levels of uncertainty in environment, either integration of manufacturing strategy or automation strategy for manufacturing system plays the important role for a manufacturing organizations to achieve performance level under uncertainty. The study aimed at determining the impact of integration and automation on manufacturing performance. Survey of 303 companies indicated that under uncertainty environment, manufacturing automation system has positive impact on manufacturing performance, while in uncertainty environment integrated manufacturing system has significant positive impact on manufacturing performance.

Thomas (2008) conducted a survey in 300 manufacturing SMEs from different manufacturing industry sector. The survey investigated, for a period of three year period how many benefits these organizations have occurred after AMTs implementation. The purpose of survey is to educate academicians and industrialists for its significance. The capabilities of these companies to implement AMTs have been ascertained. SMEs attributes for development of AMTs have been explained. Finally strategic model for effective implementation of AMTs has been explained.

Chuu (2009) developed decision making model using fuzzy attributes to evaluate the suitability of AMTs. Different attributes have been considered in evaluating the suitability of new technologies. The approach involves development of fuzzy information for fusion method. The flexible manufacturing system utilized in the Taiwan bicycle industry is used in this study to illustrate the computational method of the proposed method.

Gouvea da Costa and Pinheiro de Lima (2009) presented the organizational design development rationality for adoption of AMT. The theoretical synthesis developed integrates two refined and tested framework. This paper

is a theoretical construction that organizes and synthesizes the issues that are being studied in the main theme: “The adoption of the automated systems,” focusing the discussion on the manufacturing strategy and organizational design domains..

Small et al. (2009) explained the results of investigation of implementation of AMTs strategies at 82 parts durable goods manufacturing plants in the USA. The results of investigation indicated that plants that are desirable of implementing integrated technologies must prepare of preplanning activities and justification stages of implementing AMTs in their manufacturing organizations.

Singh and Khamba(2010) assessed different success factors affecting utilization level of AMTs. Various difficulties faced by organizations have been assessed. Factor loading approach has been applied to determine the relationship among success factors. It has been observed that effective utilization of AMTs is not an easy task. To overcome the problems some enablers are employed in order to compete.

Goyal and Grover (2013) developed a model for the evaluation of AMT using fuzzy graph theoretic approach. Effectiveness of AMTs is evaluated by quantifying the intangible factors based on single numerical index which is useful to managers. The survey has been performed to rank the attributes which provides quality and reliability. The survey data is converted into crisp score by using 11-point fuzzy scale that is done by defuzzification.

Singh et al. (2015) utilized AMTs, Analytic Hierarchy Process and Quality Function Deployment to develop framework for AMT implementation. An industrial example of an aerospace supplier company that is trying to make a selection between three groups of AMTs. Moreover multiple requirements are identified and suggest the selection between three technologies.

Bhandari et al. (2018) gave the justification of AMTs in SMEs in auto sector. From literature survey and expert opinion the benefits identified includes flexibility improvement, production cost reduction, reduction in inventory, improvement in productivity and time delivery of product. Global desirable index of SMEs in connection with AMTs and traditional manufacturing is compared and calculated with AHP. The findings suggest that judicious application of AMTs can improve their performance in their processes.

Singh et al. (2019) determined the impact of AMTs in Indian organizations. The relationship of AMT and strategic factors has been ascertained. The organization success has been achieved by strategy formation. Finally the model for development of Indian Organization has been culminated for strategic success. The study is useful to academicians and professionals for better comprehension of relationship between AMTs and success attributes.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology has been discussed below:

- Literature survey has been performed
- Questionnaire Preparation
- Testing of Questionnaire

- Testing of filled Questionnaire
- Conclusions and limitations

The purpose of the study is to assess level of utilization of AMTs, to determine the role of determinants of AMTs towards performance improvement, to assess the barriers in implementing AMTs and to assess the benefits accrued after implementing AMTs

For this survey questionnaire has been designed, containing 4 sections as shown in Table 1.

General Organizational Information	
Level of utilization of AMTs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mature 2. Developing 3. Immature 4. Not Applicable
View points regarding determinants	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disagree 2. Neutral 3. Agree 4. Highly Agree
Benefits	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To a some Extent 2. To moderate Extent 3. To a Large Extent 4. To Extremely Large Extent

Table 1. Different Sections of AMT Questionnaire

A total of 20 organizations have been surveyed including pharmaceutical, chemicals, steel products, bicycle parts, spring makers, clothes, towel, engineering goods, chairs and tables, fasteners, iron forging, iron tools, auto parts, manufacturing .of wire drawing.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Reliability Analysis

The reliability analysis has been performed using SPSS 16.0v. Cronbach alpha has been calculated and value as been calculated at the output sheet of SPSS software. The results of reliability shows high reliability i.e above 0.7 as shown in table 2.

Self Interest Factors															
Employees morale enhancement is effective towards organization	<p style="text-align: center;">Case Processing Summary</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>N</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Cases</td> <td>Valid</td> <td>20</td> <td>95.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excluded^a</td> <td>1</td> <td>4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>21</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.</p>			N	%	Cases	Valid	20	95.2	Excluded ^a	1	4.8	Total	21	100.0
		N	%												
Cases		Valid	20	95.2											
	Excluded ^a	1	4.8												
	Total	21	100.0												
Employees's satisfaction with projects is compulsory															
Appropriate reward system is effective in enhancing employee morale	Reliability Statistics														

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Cronbach's Alpha</td> <td>N of Items</td> </tr> <tr> <td>.936</td> <td>3</td> </tr> </table>	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	.936	3										
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items														
.936	3														
Housekeeping factors															
Quality plan of actions are effective															
Quality technical support from technical team contributes towards planning	<p style="text-align: center;">Case Processing Summary</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>N</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Cases</td> <td>Valid</td> <td>20</td> <td>95.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excluded^a</td> <td>1</td> <td>4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>21</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.</p>			N	%	Cases	Valid	20	95.2	Excluded ^a	1	4.8	Total	21	100.0
		N	%												
Cases	Valid	20	95.2												
	Excluded ^a	1	4.8												
	Total	21	100.0												
Integration of business function is essential for housekeeping	<p style="text-align: center;">Reliability Statistics</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Cronbach's Alpha</td> <td>N of Items</td> </tr> <tr> <td>.872</td> <td>3</td> </tr> </table>	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	.872	3										
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items														
.872	3														
Literacy Factors															
Understanding of AMT capabilities is effective to the organization															
Clear understanding of business principles is essential to every employee	<p style="text-align: center;">Case Processing Summary</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>N</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Cases</td> <td>Valid</td> <td>20</td> <td>95.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excluded^a</td> <td>1</td> <td>4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>21</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.</p>			N	%	Cases	Valid	20	95.2	Excluded ^a	1	4.8	Total	21	100.0
		N	%												
Cases	Valid	20	95.2												
	Excluded ^a	1	4.8												
	Total	21	100.0												
Clarity of AMT goals and objective plays an effective role in performance improvement	<p style="text-align: center;">Reliability Statistics</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Cronbach's Alpha</td> <td>N of Items</td> </tr> <tr> <td>.890</td> <td>3</td> </tr> </table>	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	.890	3										
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items														
.890	3														
Cost															
Reduction in inventory level															
Effective utilization of capacity	<p style="text-align: center;">Case Processing Summary</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>N</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Cases</td> <td>Valid</td> <td>20</td> <td>95.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excluded^a</td> <td>1</td> <td>4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>21</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			N	%	Cases	Valid	20	95.2	Excluded ^a	1	4.8	Total	21	100.0
		N	%												
Cases	Valid	20	95.2												
	Excluded ^a	1	4.8												
	Total	21	100.0												

reduction in production costs	a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.														
Increase in labor productivity	<p style="text-align: center;">Reliability Statistics</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Cronbach's Alpha</td> <td style="width: 50%;">N of Items</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">.757</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> </tr> </table>	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	.757	4										
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items														
.757	4														
Quality															
Offering high performance products	<p style="text-align: center;">Case Processing Summary</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th style="text-align: center;">N</th> <th style="text-align: center;">%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3" style="vertical-align: top;">Cases</td> <td>Valid</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">95.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excluded^a</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			N	%	Cases	Valid	20	95.2	Excluded ^a	1	4.8	Total	21	100.0
		N	%												
Cases	Valid	20	95.2												
	Excluded ^a	1	4.8												
	Total	21	100.0												
Offering reliable product	<p>a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Reliability Statistics</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Cronbach's Alpha</td> <td style="width: 50%;">N of Items</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">.891</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> </tr> </table>	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	.891	3										
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items														
.891	3														
Low defective products															
Flexibility															
Fast change in design	<p style="text-align: center;">Case Processing Summary</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th style="text-align: center;">N</th> <th style="text-align: center;">%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3" style="vertical-align: top;">Cases</td> <td>Valid</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">95.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excluded^a</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			N	%	Cases	Valid	20	95.2	Excluded ^a	1	4.8	Total	21	100.0
		N	%												
Cases	Valid	20	95.2												
	Excluded ^a	1	4.8												
	Total	21	100.0												
Adjustment of capacity quickly															
Fast volume changes	<p>a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Reliability Statistics</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Cronbach's Alpha</td> <td style="width: 50%;">N of Items</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">.825</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> </tr> </table>	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	.825	4										
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items														
.825	4														
Changes in product mix															

Table 2. Reliability of determinants and benefits

4.2 Testing of mean difference

The testing of mean difference for various determinants has been done using ANOVA test applied on Excel 2007.

Anova: Single Factor						
SUMMARY						
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance		
Column 1	20	64	3.2	0.168421		
Column 2	20	64	3.2	0.168421		
Column 3	20	66	3.3	0.221053		
ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	0.133333	2	0.066667	0.358491	0.700294	3.158843
Within Groups	10.6	57	0.185965			
Total	10.73333	59				

Table 3: ANOVA for significance testing self interest factors

Anova: Single Factor						
SUMMARY						
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance		
Column 1	20	66	3.3	0.221053		
Column 2	20	66	3.3	0.221053		
Column 3	20	67	3.35	0.239474		
ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	0.033333	2	0.016667	0.073359	0.929355	3.158843
Within Groups	12.95	57	0.227193			
Total	12.98333	59				

Table 4 ANOVA for significance testing of housekeeping factor

Anova: Single Factor						
SUMMARY						
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance		
Column 1	20	66	3.3	0.221053		
Column 2	20	66	3.3	0.221053		
Column 3	20	67	3.35	0.239474		

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	0.033333	2	0.016667	0.073359	0.929355	3.158843
Within Groups	12.95	57	0.227193			
Total	12.98333	59				

Table 5 ANOVA for significance testing of Literacy Factors

4.2.1 Results Discussion of the findings

In this test, a null hypothesis is that there is no significant difference between the different determinants in terms of main determinant. Results indicated that since F value calculated for all the main determinants is less than F critical and p values are more than 0.05, which shows that null hypothesis is accepted which further indicated that no significant difference is there means the different determinants.

4.3 Student's t test on importance of each area

Student's t test has been performed to ascertain the significance of various factors. The t statistics and degree of freedom for each factor has been calculated using SPSS Software. The results of various factors are shown in Table 6.

Factor	t value	Degree of freedom
<i>Self interest factors</i>		
Employees morale enhancement is effective towards organization	3.508846	19
Employees's satisfaction with projects is compulsory	12.86577	19
Appropriate reward system is effective in enhancing employee morale	12.16601	19
<i>Housekeeping factor</i>		
Quality plan of actions are effective	12.16601	19
Quality technical support from technical team contributes towards planning	12.16601	19
Integration of business function is essential for housekeeping	12.13829	19
<i>Literacy Factors</i>		
Understanding of AMT capabilities is effective to the organization	8.404085	19
Clear understanding of business principles is essential to every employee	9.295783	19
Clarity of AMT goals and objective plays an effective role in performance	9.936871	19

improvement		
Cost		
Reduction in inventory level	10.60821	19
Effective utilization of capacity	7.16734	19
reduction in production costs	7.642628	19
Increase in labor productivity	6.180515	19
Quality		
Offering high performance products	8.760082	19
Offering reliable product	8.760082	19
Low defective products	6.180515	19
Reliability		
Fast change in design	5.391774	19
Adjustment of capacity quickly	5.844362	19
Fast volume changes	4.871045	19
Changes in product mix	4.871045	19
Tcritical=2.093(table value)		

Table 6 Student's *t* test

4.3.1 Results of *t* test

Results indicated that since calculated value of *t* is more than table value at 19 degree of freedom, all the factors are significant.

4.4 Importance of determinants and benefits achieved

Factor	mean	Standard deviation
<i>Self interest factors</i>		
Employees morale enhancement is effective towards organization	3.2	0.410391
Employees's satisfaction with projects is compulsory	3.2	0.410391
Appropriate reward system is effective in enhancing employee morale	3.3	0.470162
<i>Housekeeping factor</i>		
Quality plan of actions are effective	3.3	0.470162
Quality technical support from technical team contributes towards	3.3	0.470162

planning		
Integration of business function is essential for housekeeping	3.35	0.48936
<i>Literacy Factors</i>		
Understanding of AMT capabilities is effective to the organization	3.45	0.759155
Clear understanding of business principles is essential to every employee	3.45	0.686333
Clarity of AMT goals and objective plays an effective role in performance improvement	3.55	0.686333
Cost		
Reduction in inventory level	2.95	0.394034
Effective utilization of capacity	2.9	0.552506
reduction in production costs	2.85	0.48936
Increase in labor productivity	2.9	0.640723
Quality		
Offering high performance products	3.1	0.552506
Offering reliable product	3.1	0.552506
Low defective products	2.9	0.640723
Reliability		
Fast change in design	2.7	0.571241
Adjustment of capacity quickly	2.65	0.48936
Fast volume changes	2.65	0.587143
Changes in product mix	2.65	0.587143

Table 7 shows the mean and standard deviation

4.4.1 Result discussion of the important determinants

Appropriate reward system is effective in enhancing employee morale is rated most important followed by Employee morale and Employee satisfaction among self interest factors; Integration of business function is most essential followed by Quality plan of actions and quality technical support from technical team in terms of housekeeping; clarity of AMT goals is rated most important followed by understanding of AMT capabilities and clarity of business principles in terms of Literary factors; Reduction is inventory is rated most important followed by effective utilization of capacity and increase in labor capacity, and reduction in production cost in

terms of cost; offering high performance product and reliability product are rated most important followed by low defective products in terms of quality; and fast changes in design is rated most important followed by adjustment of capacity, and fast volume changes and changes in product mix in terms of reliability.

4.5 Extent of utilization of AMTs

AMT	Mature	Developing	Immature	Not Applicable
computer numerical controlled (CNC) equipment	4%	25%	55%	16%
computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing(CAD/CAM)	4%	40%	11%	45%
flexible manufacturing systems(FMS)	4%	35%	55%	6%
robotics	6%	4%	15%	75%
rapid prototyping	5%	30%	50%	15%
environmentally sustainable technologies	15%	55%	30%	0%
Just-in time manufacturing	10%	60%	20%	10%
Kaizen	40%	40%	20%	0%
Total productive maintenance	75%	25%	0%	0%
Six sigma	0%	55%	45%	0%

Table 8 shows the extent of utilization of AMTs

4.5.1 Result Discussion of the findings

Results demonstrated that utilization of CNC equipments is in immature state in 55% of organizations surveyed; CAD/CAM is not applied by 45% of the organizations surveyed; FMS is in immature use in 55% of the organizations surveyed; Robotics is not utilized by 75% of the manufacturing organizations; rapid prototyping is in immature state in 50% of these organizations; environmentally sustainable technologies are in developing stage in 55% of these organizations; JIT manufacturing is in developing state in 60% of these organizations; Kaizen is in mature and developing state in 40% of these organizations; TPM is in mature in their use in 75% of these organization; and Six Sigma is in developing state in 55% of the manufacturing organizations surveyed.

V. CONCLUSION, PRACTICAL IMPLICATION and LIMITATIONS

From the results, it is concluded that AMTs helps in improving cost, quality and reliability that is helpful in overall growth and development of the organization for enhanced competition. The study investigates the demonstration of AMTs towards performance improvement by achieving benefits in terms of cost, quality and reliability of manufacturing system processes.

- Organizations are applying robotics technology to a very less extent; total productive maintenance to a very high extent.
- Providing rewards to the employees helps to create self interest of employees; Business function integration is very essential for housekeeping; clarity of AM goals plays an important role.
- Inventory level reduction is reduced; high performance and reliable product is achieved and fast change in design is achieved by implementing AMTs in the organization.

The study will help the practitioners, engineers and industry consultants to know the significance of AMT implementation towards improving the performance of operations in manufacturing. The limitations of the study include:

- The study is limited to only Punjab based industry only.
- Sample size is small.
- There can be changes of method variance and little content validity as there is one respondent from each organization.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhandari, D., Singh, R. K. and Garg, S. K. (2018), “Justification of advanced manufacturing technologies for small and medium enterprises from auto component sector: AHP approach”, *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 1-24.
- [2] Chuu, S. (2009), “Group decision-making model using fuzzy multiple attributes analysis for the evaluation of advanced manufacturing technology”, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, Vol. 160, pp. 586-602.
- [3] Gouvea da Costa, S.E. and Pinheiro de Lima, E.(2009), “Advanced manufacturing technology adoption: an integrated approach”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 74-96.
- [4] Gomes, C.F., Yasin, M.M. and Lisboa, J.V. (2006), “Performance measurement practices in manufacturing firms: an empirical investigation”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 144-167.
- [5] Goyal, S. and Grover, S. (2013) ‘A fuzzy multi attribute decision making approach for evaluating effectiveness of advanced manufacturing technology – in Indian context’, *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp.150–178.
- [6] Hofmann, C. and Orr, S. (2005), “Advanced manufacturing technology adoption—the German experience”, *Technovation*, Vol. 25, No. 7, pp. 711-724.
- [7] Huber, G.P.(1984), “The nature and design of post-industrial organizations”, *Management Science*, Vol. 30, No. 8 ,pp. 928–951.
- [8] Hall, R.(1992), “ The strategic analysis of intangible resources”, *Strategic Management Journal* , Vol. 13, No.2, pp. 135–144.

- [9] Hernandez-Matias, J. C., Vizan, A. J. and Rios, P. (2008), “An integrated modelling framework to support manufacturing system diagnosis for continuous improvement”, *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp.187–199.
- [10] Kotha, S. and Swamidass, P. (2000), “Strategy, advanced manufacturing technology and performance: empirical evidence from U.S. manufacturing firms”, *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol.18, No. 3, pp. 257-277.
- [11] Laosirihongthong, T. and Paul, H. (2004) , “Competitive manufacturing strategy: an application of quality management practices to advanced manufacturing technology implementation”, *International Journal of Business Performance Management*, Vol. 6, Nos. 3–4, pp.262–286.
- [12] Liao, K. and Tu, Q. (2008), “Leveraging automation and integration to improve manufacturing performance under uncertainty: an empirical study”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp.38–51.
- [13] Mize, J. H.(1987), “Success factors for advanced manufacturing systems”, *Proceedings of the Institute of Industrial Engineers*, Spring Conference, 1987, IIE Press, Atlanta, GA.
- [14] Pintelon, L. and Gelders, L. (1992), “Maintenance management decision making”, *European Journal of Operations Research*, Vol. 58, No. 3, pp. 301-310.
- [15] Rao, R. V. (2007), *Decision making in the manufacturing environment using graph theory and fuzzy multiple attribute decision making methods*, Springer, London.
- [16] Singh, H. and Khamba, J. S. (2013) ‘A case study of Indian manufacturing organisation for utilisation of advanced manufacturing technologies’, *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp.226–239.
- [17] Small, M.H., Yasin, M.M. and Czuchry, A.J. (2009), “Enhancing competitiveness through effective adoption and utilisation of advanced manufacturing technology: implications and lessons learned”, *International Journal of Business and Systems Research*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp.34–57.
- [18] Narain, R., Yadav, R.C. and Sarkis, J. (2007) ‘Investment justification of advanced manufacturing technology: a review’, *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp.41–73.
- [19] Small, M.H., Yasin, M.M. and Czuchry, A.J. (2009), “Enhancing competitiveness through effective adoption and utilisation of advanced manufacturing technology: implications and lessons learned”, *International Journal of Business and Systems Research*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp.34–57.
- [20] Singh, H. and Khamba, J.S. (2011), “Utilisation of new technologies: a state-of-art-review and future prospective”, *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp.164–190.
- [21] Singh, H. and Khamba, J. S. (2010), “An empirical examination for enhancing the utilization level of advanced manufacturing technologies in India”, *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp.112–126.

- [22] Singh, M., Sarfaraz, A., Sarfaraz, M. and Jenab, K. (2015) ‘Analytical QFD model for strategic justification of advanced manufacturing technology’, *International Journal of Business Excellence*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp.20–37.
- [23] Singh, C.D., Singh, R. and Ali Khan, A. (2019) ‘Evaluating the strategic potential of AMT in Indian manufacturing industries’, *International Journal of Management Concepts and Philosophy*, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp.80–101.
- [24] Thomas, A.J., Barton, R. and John, E.G. (2008), “Advanced manufacturing technology implementation: a review of benefits and a model for change”, *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 57, No. 2, pp.156–176.
- [25] Young, S.M. and Selto, F.H. (1991), “New manufacturing practices and cost management: a review of the literature and directions for future research”, *Journal of Accounting Literature*, Vol. 10, No.2, pp..265–298.
- [26] Zhang, Q., Vonderembse, M. A. and Cao, M. (2006), “Achieving flexible manufacturing competence: the roles of advanced manufacturing technology and operations improvement practices”, *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp.580–599.

