The Transition from Recycling to Reducing Waste

Dr. Gopal Arora
SBAS, Sanskriti University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email id- drgopalarora.chem@sanskriti.edu.in

***ABSTRACT:** The new European trash strategy is not only directed at waste sources but focuses instead on the whole supply chain of a product. Prevention and reuse of waste have top importance and take place before the end-of-life stage of a product or material is reached. Recycling may contribute to reducing primary resource consumption, however merely the symptoms do not address the causes. In general, recycling procedures consume energy and generate side streams (i.e. waste). Furthermore, the difficulties are overwhelming and the activity is far from 100% recycled. As they genuinely talk about the reasons, the idea of waste reduction and reuse is completely different. It is obvious that a reduction in the usage of trash, energy, and money would also reduce. However, while European law is moving in the correct direction, the generation of trash has not so far decreased clearly. Unfortunately, trash generation is a good element in economic growth. Garbage production is essentially a large industry and many stakeholders are not engaged in waste disposal. More complex incentives are required to separate economic development from trash production.*

***KEYWORDS:** Recycling, Landfill, Total Quality Management, Waste Prevention, Zero Waste.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the years, waste management was considered a hygienic practice, and it was sufficient that trash be carried out of cities and disposed of somewhere in the countryside. However, as a result of the enormous economic development that occurred following World War II, the quantity of trash produced grew dramatically, and environmental and health issues such as air, soil, and groundwater pollution began to arise. The 1960s witnessed the commencement of contemporary environmental policymaking in industrialized nations, such as the United States and European countries, and the introduction of waste treatment technologies. In 1975, the European Community enacted Directive 75/442/EEC. It was the first trash law to place environmental and human health safety at the forefront of the conversation[1].

The Directive 75/442/EEC was modified three times: in 1991, 1996, and 2006. Waste management is regulated today by the updated Waste System Directive, which superseded all prior waste directives and is in force. Among other ideas, the Waste System Directive integrates the so-called waste hierarchy into its design. This establishes a priority order for waste reduction and management policy and practice in accordance with applicable laws. It was scheduled to go into force in all EU member states by the end of December 2010, if not sooner. In accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC, waste avoidance is given the greatest priority and is placed above all other policies in the organization. The prevention of waste is founded on a fundamental concept.

Waste reduction reduces resource consumption, and waste recycling and disposal need less time (money, energy, etc.) and money to complete the recycling or disposal process. After everything is said and done, full waste avoidance to zero would result in a trash-free world, and waste management would be rendered obsolete. Despite the fact that our economies are far from being completely trash-free, it is obvious that it will be impossible to completely eradicate waste in the near future[2].

In the waste hierarchy, the second place is taken by 'preparing for re-use' activities. Because it results in a reduction in the quantity of new products being created, reusing has a variety of unique advantages. As a consequence, less resources and raw materials are used, and the cost of disposal is decreased. It must be noted, however, that transportation, cleaning, and other activities would use energy and resources as well as other resources. Improved energy efficiency (for example, less washing machine power usage), reduced environmental impact (for example, an engine that produces less hazardous exhaust emissions), and improved safety standards are all possible benefits of new product development (e.g. vehicles with better crash performance).

1.1 Recycling:

The first documented usage of the term "recycling" was in the oil refining and associated sectors in 1924, and it was in the context of oil refining. It is a made-up term that unites the syllable with the word 'cycle,' which stands for the return to the original place once again. In contrast, even though the term "recycling" is a relatively new invention, the fundamental principles of recycling are centuries old[3].

When it came to trash management in the nineteenth century, London's "dust-yard" system had many similarities to the recycling programmes run by the informal sector that are still in operation in many poor nations today. However, it wasn't until the 1970s that the phrase began to be used more broadly. Despite the fact that the word conveyed a positive image and was/was often used to 'green-wash' products and services, there was no particular definition attached to the term recycling. A significant rise in its use occurred throughout the early 1990s, to be precise.

Since 2008, the European Union's Directive 98/2008/EC has defined recycling in greater or lesser detail. "Any recovery operation through which waste materials, whether for original or other uses, are recycled into products, materials, or substances," according to the dictionary definition of recycling[4].

There is a reprocessing of organic material involved, but it does not include the recovery of energy or the reprocessing of organic material into products that may be utilized as fuels or for backfilling activities. Recycling necessitates a series of procedures that may be further subdivided into categories based on their specific characteristics. On the one hand, the degree of processing that takes place results in the following categories:

The term "recycling" refers to any form in which a product's chemical and physical structure is preserved when the product is not utilized for its original purpose (e.g. using tires or glass bottles as building material).

1. Material recycling is defined as any phase in which the physical constitution is destroyed but the chemical constitution is not destroyed (e.g. melting and reprocessing of metals, or recycling of fertilizers from food waste to the farming land by digestion or composting).
2. A technique through which a substance's physical and chemical makeup is reprocessed back into its original components is referred to as recycling of feedstock (also known as raw material recycling or chemical recycling) (e.g. de-polymerization).

The recycling allocation method, on the other hand, makes a distinction between the two scenarios described below:

1. In closed-loop commodity systems, a closed-loop allocation mechanism is used to allocate resources. It also refers to closed-loop product systems in which the inherent characteristics of the recycled material are not altered in any way. When the use of secondary materials replaces the use of virgin (primary) resources in such circumstances, the requirement for allocation is eliminated.
2. Open-loop allocation processes occur in open-loop product systems where the material is recycled into other product systems and the material experiences a transition to its inherent characteristics as a result of the recycling process.

1.2 Metallurgy and Recycling:

Metals are often seen as renewable resources due to the fact that they are composed of indestructible atoms that can be readily and endlessly renewed. When it comes to the real world, however, losses and contamination are inevitable, and therefore such recycling limitations are necessary. During the course of its usage, a certain amount of material is already being lost to dissipation (e.g. corrosion). In addition, all of the stuff placed on the market is, of course, difficult to acquire, and the amount of the material obtained will always be less than the quantity of the material placed on the market[5].

1.3 Recycling of Plastics:

The situation is much worse in the case of plastics. They are composed of macromolecular molecules (i.e. polymers) that are very sensitive to mechanical treatment and high temperatures. In reality, each step of recycling results in an inherent loss of characteristics (e.g., a decrease in molecular mass), resulting in a restriction on the amount of material that may be recycled.

1.4 Goal of Recycling to Achieve "Zero Waste":

The idea of "zero waste" is one that is overstated and abused nearly frequently in today's waste management industry. A simple check of scientific and technological databases revealed that there has been an extraordinary

increase in the number of zero waste entries over the past 20 years. It is obvious, however, that the term does not have a single, unambiguous meaning.

Although there is no definitive evidence of the origins of the term "zero waste," it is known that Paul Palmer established Zero Waste Systems Inc. in Oakland, California, in 1973, which is still in operation today (ZWS). To save money, the initial ZWS effort was to utilize excess chemicals from the growing electronics sector rather than dumping them into landfill. Palmer went on to establish the Zero Waste Institute, which extended its efforts to include a broader range of issues.

A realistic attitude about how to utilize resources to reduce waste while maximizing efficiency, as defined by Palmer, is described as follows: According to Robin Murray, zero waste is an extension of the Japanese-based Total Quality Management (TQM) concepts into the environmental domain. TQM is an acronym for Total Quality Management (Total Quality Management). Initial objectives in the automobile sector were reducing failure and reject rates to zero in order to enhance economic performance. A natural by-product of this method is that it tries to remove any undesirable by-products, thereby attaining the goal of "zero waste." Unfortunately, the term "zero waste" is often taken more or less literally[6].

According to Palmer, "zero waste" does not always imply that trash is exclusively removed from landfills. It corresponds to the third stage of development in the production and consumption of goods. Incineration and recycling must be avoided at all costs, and this may be accomplished via clever design and re-use. The term "zero waste" is often used in a less precise manner. According to the Global Resource Recovery Network (GRRN), zero waste is a concept and a design standard for the twenty-first century (the grass roots recycling network).

Recycling is provided, but the programme goes above and beyond that. However, there are no legally enforceable statistics. According to the Zero Garbage International Alliance, "almost 90 percent of waste disposal from landfills and incinerators is considered excellent or very near to attaining zero waste." Zero waste initiatives have been launched in a number of areas and cities, each with a distinct set of objectives. Various zero-waste programmes are examined in more depth in Robert Krausz's thorough study. The degree of perceived ambition may be divided into four categories, according to Krausz, and each category can be distinguished[7].

1. The pursuit of zero waste as a long-term objective
2. Zero waste with a set of objectives that are relatively small
3. Zero waste with objectives that are not too ambitious
4. Zero trash to be disposed of in a landfill.

2. DISCUSSION

According to the most recent official Eurostat data, the total amount of trash generated in the EU-27 in 2008 was 2.62 billion metric tonnes (t), with a rising trend. Hazardous waste accounted for 98 million t, or 3.7 percent, of the total amount of trash produced. This implies that, on average, each EU person generated about 5.2 t of trash in 2008, of which 196 kg were hazardous waste. Trash prevention refers to the process of removing or decreasing the quantity and/or toxicity of waste, including recyclables, from the environment.

For businesses, government agencies, and other organizations, it includes processes that: conserve supplies and inventory; eliminate, reduce, and reuse products and packaging; deploy waste-reducing technology and equipment; use more durable, reusable, repairable, and less toxic products and packaging; leave grass clippings on the lawn to naturally decompose; and reduce food and yard waste, including food waste from composting and yard waste from mowing the lawn As for the general public, waste prevention includes a variety of activities such as purchasing products that require the least amount of packaging; purchasing only the amount of a product that is required; purchasing less harmful products; and recycling, refurbishing, or refurbishing items that would otherwise be discarded or recycled[8].

Identifying and measuring waste avoidance is a complicated and challenging task. It is not quite apparent what can be measured in the absence of the variable. The elimination of waste is frequently more effective than recycling since, unlike recycling, the quantity of material moved from the ‘‘garbage can" to the ‘‘recycling bin" can be measured. There is nothing to consider or analyze in this situation.

The purpose of monitoring and evaluating household waste prevention is to assist policymakers, local governments, and experts in the following ways: ensuring that sound decisions are made about where to allocate limited resources; collecting reliable, high-quality data; and certifying that waste prevention programmes are effective and resulting in the necessary behavioral change.

To address waste prevention issues in the United Kingdom, the government has sponsored a major research programme that has helped to integrate much of the dispersed information in the field, improve awareness of waste prevention, and stimulate relevant research and practice. It included a review of evidence analyzing the behavioral opportunities and barriers in household waste prevention, associated with the effectiveness of various policy measures, assessing the impact of waste prevention campaigns, and developing methods to monitor and evaluate waste prevention through mass reduction and behavioral studies, among other activities[9].

Today, waste reduction is becoming a top concern in many national governments across the globe, including those in the United States. In particular, the Waste Framework Directive in the European Union has established defined waste prevention processes, which include reporting, reviewing, monitoring, and assessing[10].

Also required are national waste prevention strategies, which must be developed by the end of 2013 and active trash prevention programmes, which must be developed by the end of 2014. Furthermore, the WFD imposes a legal duty on the state of Mississippi to adhere to the waste hierarchy, which states that prevention should be the first goal of any waste management strategy.

Due to the fact that waste prevention is becoming increasingly important for waste and resources management, both at the planning and implementation levels, it is essential to develop reliable methods for monitoring, measuring, evaluating, and assessing waste prevention and its benefits, as well as for assessing the effectiveness of actions aimed at promoting relevant awareness and behavioral changes. The purpose of this article is to examine the techniques that are currently being utilized for measuring, monitoring, and evaluating waste prevention efforts, as well as the related implementation programmes, within the context of household/consumer prevention programmes.

3. CONCLUSION

Waste is regulated by a variety of laws and regulations. A significant role in European waste management is played by the updated Waste System Directive, which specifies a hierarchy of wastes. Waste prevention is at the top of the priority list and is superior to all other options available. Several additional EU regulations put certain types of trash into effect across the Union (e.g. WEEE, packaging). Recycling and recovery quotas, on the other hand, have been established under the new legislation, but nothing has been done to promote waste avoidance.

Many nations have seen a significant rise in their recycling rates in recent years, particularly in Europe. It is certain, however, that there are such recycling limitations in place. Recycling is often motivated by financial considerations. Recycling of the 'goods' is now commonplace, but recycling of other waste sources is not competitive with alternative options, such as recovery, disposal, or exporting. Additionally, the laws of thermodynamics may be utilized to demonstrate the limitations of recycling. Even while recycling has many environmental advantages, it consumes energy and resources and does not, by itself, address the problem of trash production.

Reduced resource consumption and environmental impact due to trash reduction and re-use are unquestionably extremely helpful policies that help to decrease resource consumption and environmental impact since the quantity of garbage produced is reduced. Unfortunately, economic growth will result in the creation of even more trash in the short term. Essentially, it is difficult to measure waste reduction while also balancing the economic interests of many stakeholders. Producers and dealers, who are also trash producers, are actively engaged in increasing sales and turnaround times.

Additionally, in the waste management industry, if less trash is produced, collectors, landfill operators, incinerators, and recyclers would see a reduction in their revenues. It is essential to develop new and more complex drivers in order to match interests. Waste smuggling is a major problem that has serious consequences for the environment and human health. On the one hand, waste from developed nations is sent to developing countries, while on the other, waste from developing countries is exported to developed ones.

On the other hand, the transfer of production and associated trash creation (also known as 'indirect' trafficking) is transferred to third-world nations via international trade. There are unique environmental and social consequences to all kinds of garbage export, regardless of their destination.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. Cristóbal, V. Castellani, S. Manfredi, and S. Sala, "Prioritizing and optimizing sustainable measures for food waste prevention and management," *Waste Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2017.11.007.

[2] S. ichi Sakai *et al.*, "Waste prevention for sustainable resource and waste management," *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10163-017-0586-4.

[3] N. Johansson and H. Corvellec, "Waste policies gone soft: An analysis of European and Swedish waste prevention plans," *Waste Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2018.04.015.

[4] A. A. Zorpas and K. Lasaridi, "Measuring waste prevention," *Waste Manag.*, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2012.12.017.

[5] F. Corsini, N. M. Gusmerotti, F. Testa, and F. Iraldo, "Exploring waste prevention behaviour through empirical research," *Waste Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2018.07.037.

[6] H. Corvellec, "A performative definition of waste prevention," *Waste Manag.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2016.03.051.

[7] A. Bartl, "Moving from recycling to waste prevention: A review of barriers and enables," *Waste Management and Research*. 2014, doi: 10.1177/0734242X14541986.

[8] G. Martinho *et al.*, "Solid waste prevention and management at green festivals: A case study of the Andanças Festival, Portugal," *Waste Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.020.

[9] K. O. Zacho and M. A. Mosgaard, "Understanding the role of waste prevention in local waste management: A literature review," *Waste Management and Research*. 2016, doi: 10.1177/0734242X16652958.

[10] T. Matsuda *et al.*, "Monitoring environmental burden reduction from household waste prevention," *Waste Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.014.