Usage of Tie Strength within Social Media Advertising

Dr. Charu Wadhwa, Assistant Professor
Department of Commerce & Management, Arka Jain University, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand, India

ABSTRACT: ***Social media treats all users the same trusted friend or total stranger, with little or nothing in between. In reality, relationships fall everywhere along this spectrum, a topic social science has investigated for decades under the theme of tie strength. The social support offered by strong ties can actually improve mental health. Banks that find the right mix of weak and strong ties to other firms tend to get better financial deals. It has also been shown that weak ties, as opposed to strong ones, benefit job-seekers. However, socioeconomic class reverses this effect: job-seekers from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often rely heavily on strong ties. Our work bridges this gap between theory and practice. In this paper, we present a predictive model that maps social media data to tie strength. The model builds on a dataset of over 3,000 social media ties and performs quite well, distinguishing between strong and weak ties with over 86% accuracy. We complement these quantitative findings with interviews that unpack the relationships we could not predict. The paper concludes by illustrating how modeling tie strength can improve social media design elements, including privacy controls, message routing, friend introductions and information prioritization.***

KEYWORDS: ***Facebook, Model, Media Information, Tie Strength, Social Media.***

1. INTRODUCTION

Relationships make social media social. but, distinct relationships play one of a kind role. keep in mind the recent exercise of substituting social media friends for classic task references. As one hiring supervisor remarked, through the usage of social media "you've unfolded your rolodex for the entire global peer". To the dismay of applicants, employers now and again cold call social media pals awaiting a process reference "handiest to find which you were just consuming friends [1]." To the dismay of applicants, employers sometimes cold call social media friends expecting a job reference "only to find that you were just drinking buddies."

Although clearly not the norm, the story illustrates a basic fact: not all relationships are created equal. For decades, social science has made much the same case, documenting how different types of relationships impact individuals and organization. In this line of research, relationships are measured in the currency of tie strength. Even though in reality not the norm, the tale illustrates a simple fact: no longer all relationships are created the same. For many years, social technological know-how has made lots the identical case, documenting how unique varieties of relationships impact people and corporations. on this line of research, relationships are measured inside the forex of tie energy. free acquaintances, referred to as weak ties, can assist a friend generate innovative ideas or find a job [2]. They also expedite the switch of knowledge across workgroups. relied on pals and family, called robust ties, can have an effect on emotional fitness and often be part of collectively to guide organizations thru times of crisis [3].

In spite of many compelling findings alongside this line of research, social media does not now include tie power or its instructions. as a substitute, all users are the identical: buddy or stranger, with little or nothing in between. Relationships make social media social. Yet, different relationships play different roles. Consider the recent practice of substituting social media friends for traditional job references. As one hiring manager remarked, by using social media "you've opened up your rolodex for the whole world to see". Most empirical paintings analyzing huge-scale social phenomena follow health. A hyperlink between actors both exists or not, with the relationship having few properties of its own [4]. This paper objective to bridge the gap, merging the principle behind tie strength with the records behind social media.

We cope with one primary question. With principle as a manual, can social media information predict tie energy? This is more than a methodological or theoretical factor; a model of tie strength has the capability to significantly impact social media customers. recollect robotically allowing the pals of robust ties to get admission to your profile [5]. Or, as one participant cleverly recommended, remaking facebook's Newsfeed to take away "people from excessive school I do not supply crap approximately." It plays with unexpected accuracy, modeling tie strength to ten-point resolution and successfully classifying buddies as robust or weak ties more than eighty-five% of the time [6].

While Granovetter left the precise definition of tie strength to future work, he did characterize two types of ties, strong and weak. Strong ties are the people you really trust; people whose social circles tightly overlap

with your own. Often, they are also the people most like you. The young, the highly educated and the metropolitan tend to have diverse networks of strong ties. Weak ties, conversely, are merely acquaintances. Weak ties often provide access to novel information, information not circulating in the closely knit network of strong ties. Many researchers have adopted tie strength as an analytic framework for studying individuals and organizations.

The social support offered by strong ties can actually improve mental health. Banks that find the right mix of weak and strong ties to other firms tend to get better financial deals. It has also been shown that weak ties, as opposed to strong ones, benefit job-seekers. However, socioeconomic class reverses this effect: job-seekers from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often rely heavily on strong ties. Strong ties between employees from different organizational subunits can help an organization withstand a time of crisis. Yet, strongly tied coworkers are also the ones likely to create crises by pushing for institutional change. Employees who weakly tie themselves beyond organizational boundaries tend to receive better performance reviews and generate more creative ideas. Weak ties also act as a conduit for useful information in computer mediated communication. However, weak ties often rely on a few commonly available media, whereas strong ties diversify, communicating through many channels.

Granovetter proposed four tie strength dimensions: amount of time, intimacy, intensity and reciprocal services. Subsequent research has expanded the list. Ronald Burt proposed that structural factors shape tie strength, factors like network topology and informal social circles. Wellman and Wortley argue that providing emotional support, such as offering advice on family problems, indicates a stronger tie. Social distance, embodied by factors such as socioeconomic status, education level, political affiliation, race and gender, influences tie strength.

In theory, tie strength has at least seven dimensions and many manifestations. In practice, relatively simple proxies have substituted for it: communication reciprocity, possessing at least one mutual friend, regency of communication and interaction frequency. In a 1984 study, Peter Marsden used survey data from three metropolitan areas to precisely unpack the predictors of tie strength. While quite useful, Marsden pointed out a key limitation of his work: the survey asked participants to recall only their three closest friends along with less than ten characteristics of the friendship. The present research can be seen as updating Marsden's work for the era of social media. Our work differs primarily in setting and scale. By leveraging social media, participants no longer have to recall; we can take advantage of long friend lists and rich interaction histories. In this way, our work also overcomes the problem of retrospective informant accuracy. In addition, a tie strength model built from social media has the potential to feed back into social media, in ways that benefit its users.

Loose acquaintances, known as weak ties, can help a friend generate creative ideas or find a job. They also expedite the transfer of knowledge across workgroups. Trusted friends and family, called strong ties, can affect emotional health and often join together to lead organizations through times of crisis. Or, as one participant cleverly suggested, remaking Facebook's Newsfeed to get rid of "people from high school I don't give a crap about." The model we present builds on a dataset of over 2,000 Facebook friendships, each assessed for tie strength and described by more than 70 numeric indicators. Despite many compelling findings along this line of research, social media does not incorporate tie strength or its lessons. Instead, all users are the same: friend or stranger, with little or nothing in between. Most empirical work examining large-scale social phenomena follows suit. A link between actors either exists or not, with the relationship having few properties of its own. This paper aims to bridge the gap, merging the theory behind tie strength with the data behind social media. We address one central question.

It performs with surprising accuracy, modeling tie strength to 10-point resolution and correctly classifying friends as strong or weak ties more than 85% of the time. We begin by reviewing the principles behind tie strength, and then discuss its proposed dimensions. Using theory to guide the selection of predictive variables, we next present the construction of our tie strength model. It performs well, but not perfectly. To understand our model's limitations, we also present the results of follow-up interviews about the friendships we had the most difficulty predicting. The paper concludes by applying our findings toward implications for theory and practice.

We start by reviewing the principles behind tie electricity, after which discuss its proposed dimensions. Using the idea to manual the selection of predictive variables, we subsequently give the development of our tie strength version. It plays properly, but not flawlessly. To apprehend our version's obstacles, we also give the outcomes of observation-up interviews about the friendships we had the most problem predicting. With theory as a guide, can social media data predict tie strength? This is more than a methodological or theoretical

point; a model of tie strength has the potential to significantly impact social media users. Consider automatically allowing the friends of strong ties to access your profile. The paper concludes by means of making use of our findings in the direction of implications for principle and exercise. In this section we review tie strength and the substantial line of research into its characteristics. We then discuss four researchers' proposals for the dimensions of tie strength, laying a foundation for our treatment of it as a predictable quantity. The section concludes by introducing the research questions that guide the rest of this paper.

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 Definition and Impact:

The power of a tie is a (in all likelihood linear) combination of the amount of time, the emotional depth, the intimacy (mutual confiding), and the reciprocal offerings which signify the whilst Granovetter left the perfect definition of tie energy to destiny work, he did represent two styles of ties, strong and vulnerable. sturdy ties are the people you genuinely trust; human beings whose social circles tightly overlap together with your own. frequently, they're additionally the people most like you[7]. The young, the surprisingly educated and the metropolitan have a tendency to have various networks of sturdy ties. vulnerable ties, conversely, are simply pals. weak ties frequently provide access to novel information, facts no longer circulating in the carefully knit community of robust ties.

Many researchers have adopted tie electricity as an analytic framework for studying people and groups. (Google pupil, as an instance, claims that over 8,000 papers cite "The power of susceptible Ties".) The social aid presented by sturdy ties can without a doubt improve intellectual fitness. Banks that find the right blend of susceptible and sturdy ties to different corporations have a tendency to get better monetary offers[8]. It has additionally been shown that susceptible ties, rather than sturdy ones, advantage job-seekers. but, socioeconomic elegance reverses this impact: task-seekers from decreasing socioeconomic backgrounds regularly rely closely on sturdy ties. strong ties among employees from exclusive organizational subunits can assist a business enterprise withstand a time of disaster. yet, strongly tied coworkers also are those probable to create crises via pushing for institutional alternatives. personnel who weakly tie themselves beyond organizational obstacles generally tend to get hold of better performance critiques and generate extra creative thoughts. susceptible ties also act as a conduit for useful information in computer mediated conversation[9].

However, weak ties regularly depend on a few commonly available media, whereas robust ties diversify, communicating through many channels. The Dimensions of Tie Strength at what point is a tie to be taken into consideration vulnerable? This isn't always absolutely a question for the methodologically curious; the concept makes a curvilinear prediction. How will we know where we are in this theoretical curve? Do all 4 signs remember equally towards tie energy? Granovetter proposed 4 tie energy dimensions: amount of time, intimacy, depth and reciprocal services. subsequent research has improved the listing. Ronald Burt proposed that structural elements form tie energy, elements like community topology and casual social circle. Wellman and Wortley argue that providing emotional aid, along with presenting recommendations on own family issues, suggests a more potent tie[10].

Nan Lin, et al., display that social distance, embodied by using factors inclusive of socioeconomic popularity, training level, political affiliation, race and gender, influences tie power. In concept, tie power has at least seven dimensions and many manifestations. In practice, extraordinarily easy proxies have substituted for it: communication reciprocity, possessing as a minimum one mutual buddy, regency of conversation and interaction frequency. In a 1984 examination, Peter Marsden used survey information from 3 metropolitan regions to precisely unpack the predictors of tie power. Even as pretty beneficial, Marsden talked about a key difficulty of his paintings: the survey requested contributors to not forget their three closest pals together with much less than ten traits of friendship. The existing studies may be seen as updating Marsden's work for the generation of social media.

Our paintings differ on the whole in putting and scale. By leveraging social media, individuals now not must recollect; we can take advantage of long friend lists and wealthy interaction histories. In this way, our paintings also overcome the problem of retrospective informant accuracy. Similarly, a tie electricity model built from social media has the potential to feed lower back into social media, in ways that gain its users. Reciprocal Services Variables Facebook friends have extraordinarily few opportunities to change informational, social or financial goods. (those practices virtually fluctuate by using social media; remember a LinkedIn user who exploits his social capital with the aid of introducing commercial enterprise contacts to one another.) To seize Reciprocal services on Facebook, hyperlinks exchanged by using wall submit measures the number of URLs passed between pals thru the Wall, a not unusual Facebook practice. further,

programs in commonplace refers back to the number of fb programs a participant and pal proportion. fb packages usually provide a tightly scoped carrier (e.g., displaying a digital bookshelf on a profile) and regularly unfold among buddies by phrase of mouth.

2.2 Structural Variables:

Facebook allows users to join organizations prepared around specific topics and pastimes. organizations in common refers back to the variety of fb companies to which both the playerand the buddy belongs. Normalized TF-IDF of pastimes and about measures the similarity between the free text pastimes and about profile fields. It does so with the aid of computing the dot product among the TF-IDF vectors representing the textual content. TF-IDF is a preferred records retrieval method that respects the baseline frequencies of various words in the English language. We additionally measured the number of overlapping networks, the variety of Facebook networks to which both the player and the pal belong.

Facebook networks frequently map to universities, organizations and geographic regions. Emotional Support Variables in a way similar to the content analysis variables described above, Wall & inbox positive emotion words are two variables referring to matches against the LIWC category Positive Emotion. The Positive Emotion category includes words like birthday, congrats and sweetheart. Similarly, Wall & inbox negative emotion words are two variables counting matches in the Negative Emotion category, including words like dump, hate and useless. We also recorded the number of gifts given between a participant and a friend. A Facebook gift is a small icon often given to a friend to show support. Gifts sometimes cost a small amount of money. Social Distance Variables We measured the difference in formal education between a participant and a friend in terms of academic degrees.

It is computed by searching for the letters BS, BA, MS, MA, JD, MD and PhD in the education profile field. Educational difference measures the numeric difference between a participant and a friend along a scale: 0: None, 1: BS/BA, 2: MS/MA, 3: JD/MD/PhD. 1,261 people in our dataset completed the politics profile field. Of those, 79% reported their political affiliation as very conservative, conservative, moderate, liberal or very liberal. Assigning a scale in that order, Political difference measures the numeric difference between a participant and a friend. While the education and politics scales do not completely reflect the diversity of our sample, they do provide useful tools for assessing the importance of these variables for the majority of it. Demographic and Usage Variables Finally, in addition to the variables described above, we collected demographic and usage information on our participants and their friends: gender, number of applications installed, number of inbox messages, number of wall posts and number of photo comments.

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have revealed a specific mechanism by which tie strength manifests itself in social media. Many paths open from here. Social media designers may find traction fusing a tie strength model with a range of social media design elements, including privacy controls and information prioritization. Our follow-up interviews suggest profitable lines of future work. We hope that researchers in this field will find important new theoretical questions in this work, as well as opportunities to use tie strength to make new conclusions about large-scale social phenomena. We believe this work addresses fundamental challenges for understanding users of socio-technical systems. How do users relate to one another in these spaces? Do the data left behind tell a consistent story, a story from which we can infer something meaningful? We think this work takes a significant step toward definitively answering these questions.

In this paper, we have revealed a specific mechanism by which tie strength manifests itself in social media. Many paths open from here. Social media designers may find traction fusing a tie strength model with a range of social media design elements, including privacy controls and information prioritization. Our follow-up interviews suggest profitable lines of future work. We hope that researchers in this field will find important new theoretical questions in this work, as well as opportunities to use tie strength to make new conclusions about large-scale social phenomena.

REFERENCES:

[1] C. Haythornthwaite, “Social networks and internet connectivity effects,” *Information Communication and Society*. 2005, doi: 10.1080/13691180500146185.

[2] L. Ma, C. S. Lee, and D. H. L. Goh, “Understanding news sharing in social media: An explanation from the diffusion of innovations theory,” *Online Inf. Rev.*, 2014, doi: 10.1108/OIR-10-2013-0239.

[3] T. Spiliotopoulos, D. Pereira, and I. Oakley, “Predicting tie strength with the facebook API,” 2014, doi: 10.1145/2645791.2645817.

[4] E. Gilbert and K. Karahalios, “Predicting tie strength with social media,” 2009, doi: 10.1145/1518701.1518736.

[5] P. V. Marsden and K. E. Campbell, “Measuring tie strength,” *Soc. Forces*, 1984, doi: 10.1093/sf/63.2.482.

[6] X. Wang, C. Yu, and Y. Wei, "Social Media Peer Communication and Impacts on Purchase Intentions: A Consumer Socialization Framework," *J. Interact. Mark.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.intmar.2011.11.004.

[7] K. Panovich, R. Miller, and D. Karger, "Tie strength in question & answer on social network sites," 2012, doi: 10.1145/2145204.2145361.

[8] C. Haythornthwaite, "Strong, weak, and latent ties and the impact of new media," *Inf. Soc.*, 2002, doi: 10.1080/01972240290108195.

[9] P. V. Marsden and K. E. Campbell, "Measuring Tie Strength," *Soc. Forces*, 1984, doi: 10.2307/2579058.

[10] J. J. Jones, J. E. Settle, R. M. Bond, C. J. Fariss, C. Marlow, and J. H. Fowler, "Inferring Tie Strength from Online Directed Behavior," *PLoS One*, 2013, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052168.

[11] E. Gilbert, "Predicting tie strength in a new medium," 2012, doi: 10.1145/2145204.2145360.