

Beginnings in Addition to Importance of Mathematical Aspects in Day to Day Activities

Rajeev Kumar Sinha, Assistant Professor

Department of Commerce & Management, Arka Jain University, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand, India

Email Id: rajeev.s@arkajainuniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT: *As a preparation for college, one of the key goals of secondary school mathematics learning and teaching is to increase students' capacity to answer a wide variety of complicated mathematical problems. In light of this, the purpose of this research is to look into the topic knowledge that first-year college students bring to the mathematics classroom, as measured by their national test scores. This study addresses two common issues in mathematics education: first, students who have difficulties understanding and are too hesitant to join in conversations, resulting in their inability to resolve their doubts, and second, dull e-learning websites. Mathematical rules add to the complexity of the problem. We want to solve these issues by developing a gamified e-commerce-based Mathematics learning practice system for informal learning. E-commerce-oriented computational concepts are included in the game to stimulate online practice, focusing on principles of Information Systems Analysis and Design. The concept of the system, the design approach, and the results of user testing are all described. The significance of our hybrid approach in system development resides in obtaining perceptions of gamification and components that users enjoyed or disliked, as well as the efficacy of our hybrid approach in system development.*

KEYWORDS: *Maths, Learning, School Mathematics, Concepts, Problem Solving.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Combining learning methods is getting increasingly popular. Educators may not be able to directly assist students with their problems. As a result, two issues must be addressed [1]. To begin, students who have difficulties absorbing class concepts and who are bashful. Even if they don't understand what they're learning in class, they don't participate in activities or interact with their peers. Instead, they'd have to go through the material again. The second issue arises if the e-learning platforms are inept and mostly text-based or uninteresting.

Mathematics is the cradle of all creations, and the world would not move an inch without it. Everyone requires mathematics in their daily lives, whether they are a cook or a farmer, a carpenter or a mechanic, a shopkeeper or a doctor, an engineer or a scientist, a musician or a magician. Even insects employ maths in their daily lives to stay alive[2]. Math may be made easier and more enjoyable if we add mathematical exercises and games in our curriculum. Math puzzles and riddles assist children develop clarity in their thinking by encouraging and attracting an alert and open-minded attitude. From primary school onwards, the development of a coherent mathematical concept in a child should be emphasized.

If a teacher fails in this area, the youngster will acquire a fear of the subject as he progresses through the grades. A teacher should use drawings, sketches, diagrams, and models as much as possible to convey a mathematical topic. It is said that if our sense of hearing is complemented by our sense of sight, the learning process is complete. The youngster should be given open-ended questions to answer, and he or she should be encouraged to consider all possible solutions. Every time the child makes a good try, he or she should be praised. And any errors must be remedied right away, without any criticism.

The most difficult obstacle to overcome in the process of learning mathematics is a lack of practice. Students should solve at least 10 problems per day from various areas in order to learn the concept and improve their problem-solving speed and accuracy. In the lower grades, learning multiplication tables should be promoted. Peer-teaching is another very successful method of disseminating maths knowledge among children. When a pupil has grasped an idea from his teacher, the latter should ask him to describe it to his classmates. Furthermore, all of the students will be able to express their concerns about the topic and have them addressed through group discussions.

The current era is characterized by skill development and innovation. We will be more successful if we use a more mathematical approach[3]. Our thoughts are rationalized by mathematics. It is a tool in our hands that allows us to simplify and ease our lives. Let us recognize and appreciate the subject's beauty, and embrace it wholeheartedly. It is a skill that should be honed by everyone in all walks of life.

Mathematical knowledge is usually unsatisfactory due to the large number of rules that must be followed.

They make it tough for pupils to access and participate in their online learning materials for self-study. As a result, we plan to create Algebra, a computer-assisted learning system for external evaluation and reinforcement. Our objectives, which are based on a variety of learning methodologies, are as follows:

- to assess changes to existing linked systems and choose the best features to adopt;
- to look at the possibility of all-encompassing online learning.

To study the underpinnings and the links between college mathematics and other domains of mathematical application, such as physics and chemistry, college students must unpack and re-analyse their mathematical skills from school[4]. These A-string students create a large number and variety of algorithms in order to consistently get high grades on mathematics tests. Gamified learning is a term that refers to the inclusion of game mechanics into learning in order to make lessons more appealing and engaging. It implies that a sense of collaboration can be used as an educational strategy to build excitement in teaching by enabling learners to become involved in learning - through development and progress, recognition and rewards - by a higher goal to reach. Furthermore, students who use a gamified e-Learning platform achieve higher exam scores than students who use the non-gamified version.

However, the quality of this information is important, because the quality of a student's mathematical knowledge is always important. The only crucial factor to consider is the quality of the pupils' experience in increasing their knowledge. This unpacking can be accomplished by including problem solving into university mathematics instructional materials and adapting students' concepts and methods to the solution of fundamental mathematical challenges.

Symbolic algebra was invented circa 1500, but it wasn't until the 17th century that it totally supplanted rhetorical and syncopated algebra. Mathematicians have evolved multiple times in order to make marking more compact and efficient. The symbolic algebra used few symbols at first, but as time went on, they became more usable and standardised. A sense of this development Individual indicators, such as equal signs or operational signs, appear slowly and unpredictably. One author makes use of a symbol. Other authors use it when their work is widely read and the symbol is appealing.

The reason for choosing a particular symbol in the first place to convey a certain meaning is usually lost, and only historians who study extant copies of historical texts may speculate. The evolution of the symbol of equality will be researched in depth to give a sense of this steady and perilous progression. Some highlights will have less information regarding the evolution of a few other well-known symbols. Cajori's Mathematical Notation History provides a wealth of information [1].

Many instructors, parents, students, and even educators link difficult answers to "issues" in texts or exam levels. But are these "issues" actually "the" issues? Before we go into the details, we need to define an issue in mathematical contexts. When something has been described yet there is still nothing that matches the description, it is as a defined a problem solver as someone who recognizes and embraces a goal despite having no immediate means of reaching it. There is no algorithm that guarantees a solution to a problem. As Polya put it, "having a problem" is "looking for some action to achieve a clearly defined but not immediately attainable goal." Finding an activity like this is part of resolving a problem[5].

Wheatley also stated succinctly that fixing an issue necessitates a method for overcoming a barrier that cannot be overcome immediately. A difficulty, according to Reys et al., is "a scenario where a person doesn't know what to do right away to get it[6]." To solve the problem's complexity, Reys et al. believed that "creative effort and a higher degree of thinking" were required[9].

"The challenge should not be computational, but rather a philosophical stalemate," Schoenfeld continued. As a result, a question may be considered problematic if the methodology or method of response is not immediately clear, requiring the previously built information to be applied creatively in a new and unexpected situation.

Problem solving is particularly important in the study of mathematics. One of the primary goals of mathematics education is to develop the ability to solve a wide range of complex problems. Garfola and Lester also mentioned the following[10]:

The primary purpose of mathematical problem-solving training is to provide students with a limited set of skills and processes.

Teaching university students how to apply mathematics to understand, think about, and solve problems is a critical component of all mathematical curricula's success. Yes, effective mathematics students build a substantial number and variety of algorithms to ensure that good results in national mathematics tests are achieved. But how good is this mathematical knowledge? A variety of studies examining the relationship between mathematics and students have been conducted in Malaysia. Relational understanding, which can be used interchangeably with conceptual understanding, is concerned with "what and why" rather than "how to get the answer." Two independent study programs[11] determined the link between secondary (top and bottom) grades obtained in national tests and their mathematical skills, finding that grades did not reflect their expertise in mathematical problem solving. As a result, Malaysian students appear to have learnt how to use numerical computation to learn how to reason and solve problems. The tests were designed to focus on answering routine questions rather than non-routine ones. Students are expected to learn mathematics by listening and mimicking their teachers. Teachers teach students how they were taught and how to teach; teachers only teach what is in textbooks; and students only learn what is on the exam. Teachers must be toughened up in order to teach what is learned in a teaching class.

Despite the fact that difficulties are listed as a subject in the Malaysian mathematics curriculum, they are not routine problems. What distinguishes these two issues?

Because of their familiarity with the practice, mathematics students regard it as a routine. It's possible that this job will be difficult for low-level students because they won't be able to use it appropriately. However, for those children with sufficient experience, this can be a regular source of income. It also aims to "give students with practical knowledge of how to understand, think about, and solve mathematical issues using common mathematical processes, such as computer algorithms, algebraic manipulations, and formulation applications." Yes, successful math students create a significant number of algorithms to ensure that national math examinations are passed with flying colours[7].

Children who excel at arithmetic may more reliably recruit particular brain regions and have more grey matter volume in those regions than those who struggle. High-achieving children's brain areas implicated in greater math skills were linked to multiple cognitive activities involving visual attention and decision-making. While correlation does not necessarily imply causality, this research suggests that the same brain regions that aid in math are also used in decision-making and attentional functions.

Math may help you balance your budget since it will give you a better grasp of how to keep your costs beneath the amount of money you have. For example, balancing one's bank account is a vital life skill that necessitates the use of arithmetic to reduce balances. As a result, those who grasp math are less likely to get into debt since they don't know how much money they have vs how much money they spend.

To accomplish the most basic components of their work, such as test hypotheses, mathematicians and scientists rely on mathematical principles. While scientific jobs are well-known for requiring math, they are not the only ones. Even operating a cash register necessitates a rudimentary understanding of math. Factory workers must be able to use mental arithmetic to keep track of the parts on the assembly line and, in some situations, manipulate fabrication software to manufacture their goods using geometric features (such as the size of a part). Math is required in almost every employment since you must be able to understand your income and manage your budget[8].

What, though, is the quality of such mathematical understanding? A lot of research on the relationship between mathematics and students have been conducted in Malaysia. In reference to the notion of what is to be done rather than comprehending the relationship between secondary (top and bottom) grades received on national tests and their mathematical knowledge, which is frequently referred to as identical Conceptual comprehension. Two separate investigations found that their problem-solving expertise did not correspond to their degree of competence[2].

The end result appears to be that Malaysian students have learned to use numerical computation to comprehend and solve problems. This is due to the study's focus on dealing with everyday challenges rather than everyday problems[9]. Instructors want students to learn arithmetic; teachers are taught how to teach; teachers are taught simply what is in schoolbooks; students are aware of what is going on in the classroom today.

Though problem solving is recognized in the Malaysian Mathematical Education Program, it is routine, not routine. What is the distinction between the two issues?

Because of their familiarity with the practice, mathematics students regard it as a routine. It's possible that this job will be difficult for low-level students because they won't be able to use it appropriately. However, for those children with sufficient experience, this can be a regular source of income. Furthermore, the student program's goal is to "supply students with this type of knowledge who apply conventional mathematical processes (e.g. computer algorithms, algebraic operations, and formats").

The draught paper has been circulated by Diakonis and Sturmfels since 1993, and its summary plainly discloses the goal[10]. "We construct Markov chain methods based on sufficient sample statistics from discrete exponential families. Contingency tables, logistic regression, and permutation data spectrum analysis are some examples. Gröbner's basis in polynomial rings is used to calculate the methods."

This article assesses university students' fundamental mathematics understanding. The goal is to investigate the topic knowledge that first-year (freshman) students bring to the mathematics classroom based on their national exams. It investigates students' conceptual understanding and approaches for resolving non-routine mathematical issues, identifying the kind of experiences and understandings that are critical for task and problem resolution. The major goals are to comprehend students' arithmetic behaviour — to describe what goes on in their heads while they participate in tough mathematical problem solving assignments.

2. DISCUSSION

This study found that when mathematical students who receive an A on national exams are removed from their employment setting, they struggle to maintain their level of performance. As the results show, these first-year students had trouble connecting basic mathematical concepts to the problems at hand. The link between their national examination degrees and their mathematical talents has been explored, and inconsistency in the scientist's mathematical problem solving has been discovered. The studies started with a similar job, with the latter focusing on qualitative assessments and the former on quantitative analyses. When the students' degrees from the national test do not correlate to their mathematical content, the findings of this trial show a similar outcome. In this study, 98,5 percent of students received a passing grade on national mathematics exams.

Exam levels, such as those in national exams, reveal that many of these high school students can answer simple numeric questions. However, success in these areas does not guarantee that students will be able to apply the appropriate reasoning in contexts that they have not before seen in order to apply the correct concepts and principles. For many students, solving these problems is a pretty passive experience. Problems requiring novel activities, qualitative reasoning, or verbal explanation necessitate a higher level of intellectual engagement.

In their concepts, too many have adopted computational procedures that are unfamiliar to them (eg, cross-propagation technology). These approaches may be useful for solving problems, but they do not provide a situation with a wide range of learning opportunities. They prioritized learning how to perform quantitative calculations over learning how to analyze and solve problems. To maintain high results in mathematics exams, successful math students develop a wide range and variety of algorithms. However, many students leave school without a working understanding of some simple but crucial concepts, with an emphasis on algorithmic technique rather than conceptual understanding. The basic ideas that underpin mathematical knowledge become a secondary learning entity, while the algorithmic processes that produce the product become the primary learning entity.

3. CONCLUSIONS

This article assesses university students' fundamental mathematics understanding. The overall goal is to look into how well students know the topic in first-year mathematics classes based on their national test scores. It investigates students' conceptual understanding and approaches for resolving non-routine mathematical issues, identifying the kind of experiences and understandings that are critical for task and problem resolution. The primary goals are to comprehend mathematical behaviour—to describe what occurs to students when they engage in difficult mathematical tasks in order to solve issues.

This brief overview of algebra history and some of the most widely used symbols illustrates that humanity spent 3,000 years developing a compact, symbolic algebra. For good reason, learning to use algebraic symbols is challenging. Rather than the compact and relatively abstract approach found in most textbooks, the origins of several commonly used symbols provide fascinating insights into mathematics, which is a developing human endeavour. The fact that humans have been working to build it for 3,000 years may encourage students to keep trying to understand it for a little longer. The reference list is a wonderful way to learn more about how modern notation systems are created.

REFERENCES:

- [1] H. Hendriana, T. Johanto, and U. Sumarmo, "The role of problem-based learning to improve students' mathematical problem-solving ability and self confidence," *J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 291–299, 2018, doi: 10.22342/jme.9.2.5394.291-300.
- [2] A. S. Susanto and H. Bharata, "The effect of Cooperative Learning Model Think-Talk-Write Type on Mathematical Problem-Solving Abilities In Terms of Learning Habits," *Al-Jabar J. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 33, 2018, doi: 10.24042/ajpm.v9i1.2235.
- [3] A. H. Abdullah, S. N. S. A. Rahman, and M. H. Hamzah, "Metacognitive skills of Malaysian students in non-routine mathematical problem solving," *Bolema - Math. Educ. Bull.*, vol. 31, no. 57, pp. 310–322, 2017, doi: 10.1590/1980-4415v31n57a15.
- [4] A. Soni and S. Kumari, "The Role of Parental Math Anxiety and Math Attitude in Their Children's Math Achievement," *Int. J. Sci. Math. Educ.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 331–347, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10763-015-9687-5.
- [5] S. Mariam, E. E. Rohaeti, and R. Sariningsih, "Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa Madrasah Aliyah pada Materi Pola Bilangan," *J. Educ.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 156–162, 2018.
- [6] E. Surya, F. A. Putri, and Mukhtar, "Improving mathematical problem-solving ability and self-confidence of high school students through contextual learning model," *J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 85–94, 2017, doi: 10.22342/jme.8.1.3324.85-94.
- [7] I. Sierocki, "The algebraic algorithm A* For the action planning problems," in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 1992, vol. 585 LNCS, pp. 506–513, doi: 10.1007/BFb0021038.
- [8] D. I. Saragih, "Differences Between Student's Mathematical Problem Solving Ability and Learning Motivation Taught By Using Geogebra- Assisted Cooperative and Contextual Learning Model," *Adv. Soc. Sci. Res. J.*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 256–265, 2018.
- [9] N. Astriani, E. Surya, and E. Syahputra, "The Effect Of Problem Based Learning To Students' Mathematical Problem Solving Ability," *Int. J. Adv. Res. Innov. Ideas Educ.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 3441–3446, 2017.
- [10] "The mathematical problem solving ability of student on learning with Thinking Aloud Pair Problem Solving (TAPPS) model in term of student learning style," *Unnes J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2018, doi: 10.15294/ujme.v7i1.18870.