## JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# AN ANALYSIS OF OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR PLANT LAYOUTS IN IMPROVEMING THE PERFORMANCE – A CASE STUDY

Raju. G.S<sup>1</sup>, Paul Vizhian. S<sup>2</sup>, Vijay kumar. M<sup>3</sup> and Aswini. V<sup>4</sup>

1.	Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical engineering, University Visvesvaraya college of Engineering, Bangalore
	University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
2.	Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University Visvesvaraya college of Engineering, Bangalore
	University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
3.	Associate Professor, Department of Industrial Engineering and Management, JSS Academy of TechnicalEducation,
	Bangalore, Karnataka, India
4.	Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical engineering, University Visvesvaraya college of Engineering, Bangalore
	University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Abstract: Plant layout is the art and science of bringing together men, machines, materials, methods and supporting facilities in form of given arrangements that sits individual industrial activity to have benefits maximizations through economy, efficiency, effectiveness and productivity. To have better performanceoptimizing product movements is essential, for this the relative techniques are needed. There are optimizationtechniques are available namely simulation technique, Heuristic techniques such as Genetic Algorithms (G A). Simulated Annealing (SA) and Tabu Search are the fundamental and commonly used heuristic methods for optimization of the plant layout design. The idea of this paper is to analysis' the few plant layout techniques in order to improve the overall performance of the production system at large. The various measures of the plant layout viz; bottleneck rate, idle time and percentage utilization of men, machines, space and equipment can be improved by effective analysis of plant layout techniques. The researchers have been attempted in order to find optimum plant layout design by using the various plant techniques. At large the simulation abased optimization techniques is more suitable and preferable plant layout technique. This paper present literature, findings and important research direction on plant layout methods proposed a combination of heuristic method base on simulation.

Keywords: Plant layout, optimization techniques, review, simulation

## 1. Introduction

In any manufacturing facility, the layout is extremely important as it decides how the Assembly line will work and how the parts will flow. Plant layout is the essential part of any organization. Over the years, plant layouts have evolved into different types namely as:

i). Product Type .ii) Process Type .iii). Fixed Position.

iv) Combination Type.

Maximization of production with minimum cost is the main focus of the any industry, and production and demand goes together, for increasing the production there are some methods like increase in number of machines, by using automated machines, minimizing manufacturing time, and minimizing the distance movement etc. The main aspect is the arrangement of the facilities needed for poriction.Plant layout /facility layout directly affect the production rate. Therefore it is mandatory to be successful in today's competitive manufacturing scenario. It has become evident that every industry more so manufacturing industry where in look for new techniques or methods for facilities planning.

#### www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

Usually material handling activities account for 20 to 30percent of manufacturing company's total operating budgets .In otherwards if companies would arrange the facilities optimally, the costs of total product cost can be reduced. Slavendy stated that an effective layout may minimize the material flows and distance between the department locations which lead to the reduction of material handling costs and improvement in cycle time.

## 2. Plant Layout design

An Ideal Plant layout should provide the optimum relationship among output, floor area and manufacturing process. It facilitates the production process, minimizes material handling, time and cost and allows flexibility of operations, easy production flow, makes economic use of the building, promotes effective utilization of manpower, and provides for employee's convenience, safety, comfort at work maximum exposure to natural light and ventilation. It is also important because it affects the flow of material and process, labor efficiency, supervision and control use of space and expansion possibilities etc.

According to Huang facility layout design determines how to arrange, locate and distribute the equipment and support services in a manufacturing facility to achieve minimization of overall production time, maximization of operational and arrangement flexibility, maximization of turnover of work ;in process and maximization of factory output in conformance with productionschedules.

Facility layout is one way to reduce the cost of manufacturing and increase the productivity also creases good work flow in production rout. Facility layout design determines how to arrange, locate and distribute the equipment and support services in a manufacturing facility to achieve minimization of operational and arrangement flexibility, maximization of turnover of work in process and maximization of factory output in conformance with production schedules.

The modern facility layout experiences many changes in designs of product, process plans, market demand, product life cycles and production routings etc..Flexible manufacturing systems that possess process and product flexibilities are being extensively used improvements in shop floor control technology and material handling systems have reduced the impact of travel distance and inter operation separation on the type of physical layout designed for a facility. The traditional facility layouts are usually not suitable for these changes; manufacturers nowadays have been seeking for systematic and efficient methods to layout their facilities that were previously developed using traditional design strategy of process layout or the principle of place of where space is available.

## 3. Literature Review

Sanjeev B. Naik et al,[1] takes about Facility layout design involves a systematic physical arrangement of different departments, work stations, machines, equipments, storage areas and common areas in a manufacturing industry. In today's competitive global environment, the optimum facility layout has become an effective tool in cost reduction by enhancing the productivity. It has become very essential to have a well organized plant layout for all available resources in an optimum manner to achieve the maximum returns from the capacity of facilities. To achieve the optimization objectives a lot of techniques are developed by many researchers in the domain area. The objective of this paper is to review the contributions in the field not onlyfor plant layout but re-layout also.

Sanjeev B. Naik et al. [2] tells about the tools for optimizing layout design: heuristic methods, the most well known heuristic methods in optimizing layout design are Tabu Search (TS), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Genetic Algorithms (GA). The popularity of these heuristics has flourished in recent years and several published studies can be found in the literature. Arostegui, et al, classify heuristics methods into tailored and general. While tailored heuristics have a limited applicability to a specific problem while general algorithms define a strategy for obtaining approximate solutions and thus are widely applicable to various forms of combinatorial optimization problems. Tabu

#### www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

Search (TS) is a mathematical optimization method, belonging to the class of local search techniques. Tabu search enhances the performance of a local search method by using memory structures. Once a potential solution has been determined, it is marked as taboo, so that the algorithm does not visit that possibility repeatedly. A genetic algorithm (GA) is a search technique used in computing to find exact or approximate solutions to optimization and search problems. Genetic algorithms are categorized as global search heuristics. Genetic algorithms are a particular class of evolutionary algorithms (EA) that use techniques inspired by evolutionary biology such as inheritance, mutation, selection, and crossover. Simulated annealing (SA) is a generic probabilistic meta heuristic for the global optimization in a large search space . It is often used when the search space is discrete. Forcertain problems, simulated annealing may be more effective than exhaustive enumeration, provided that the goal is merely to find an acceptably good solution in a fixed amount of time, rather than the best possible solution.

Sanjeev B. Naik et.al [3] talks about Simulation technique is also recommended in the facility planning analysis. Nica, et al, reported that queuing phenomena of parts before entering assembly area is in uncertainty arrival time. Simulation is an appropriate tool to help the designer to define the storage spaces of assembly system in this stochastic situation. In this research, a concept of ordered parts buffer is proposed for sequencing parts arrived in the assembly process by robot systems. Simulation based on experimental design is developed by Ekren and Ornek, for the purpose of job-shop type of manufacturing. They investigated the effects of layout types and their interactions with other manufacturing parameters that can affect performance of the system.

A simulation model has been developed as an analysis tool for line reconfiguration to accommodate the future demand fluctuation. Line balancing becomes the main issue in the research and fuzzy knowledge base of technique was proposed to help define more realistic scenarios. The necessity of sequence coordination within a complex assembly system is reported. This is due to the fact that the complex assembly system generally includes preassembly section for part preparation and this can only be determined by computer aided simulation.

Amir Sadrzadeh [6] the paper presents a genetic algorithm-based meta-heuristic to solve the facility layout problem (FLP) in a manufacturing system, where the material flow pattern of the multi-line layout is considered with the multiproducts. The matrix encoding technique has been used for the chromosomes under the objective of minimizing the total material handling cost. The proposed algorithm produces a table with the descending order of the data corresponding to the input values of the flow and cost data. The generated table is used to create a schematic representation of the facilities, which in turn is utilized to heuristically generate the initial population of the chromosomes and to handle the heuristic crossover and mutation operators. The efficiency of the proposed algorithm has been proved through solving the two examples with the total cost less than the other genetic algorithms, CRAFT algorithm, and entropy-basedalgorithm.Greasley, 2008 [7] A discrete event simulation model was developed and used to estimate the storage area required for a proposed overseas textile manufacturing facility. It was found that the simulation was able to achieve this because of its ability to both store attribute values and toshow queuing levels at an individual product level. It was also found that the process ofundertaking the simulation project initiated useful discussions regarding the operation of the facility. Discrete event simulation is shown to be much more than an exercise in quantitative analysis of results and an important task of the simulation project manager is to initiate a debate among decision makers regarding the assumptions of how the system operates.

#### 4. Simulation Technique

Simulation is a tool for analyzing and testing solutions before implanting in the real system. Concept of simulation technique is to imitate the real system as a model and after that use the model to work in any conditions and study the effects to evaluate the solution strategies for the teal system, Arena, simu18, QUEST, IGRIP, PROMODEL, Flexsim and witness, are some simulation tools commonly use in facility layout planning.

WITNESS provides a powerful tool to implement simulation models de voted to analyze plant ;layouts and to perform feasibility analysis in particular it supports decision makers in formulating "what if" analysis

JETIRGB06033 Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) www.jetir.org 247

## www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

before performing significant investments like a new plant layout, Simu18 is used for the design of a steel works facility. This stochastic model allows handling the uncertainties that arise from stochastic elements in the environment and in the objective function evolution process. Flexsim is discrete event simulation software, use for evaluating planning or designing manufacturing, warehousing, logistics and other operational and strategic situations.

## 5. Analysis and Simulation of Factory Layout usingARENA

The arrangement of the machines is not according to the flow of work. The layout of the machineshop is shown below



Figure 1: Layout of New Machine Shop

## 6. Data collection

## Figure2: Flow of 4.5 KW alternator shaft



CUTTING TIME	INTERARRIVAL TIME
20mints 54s	2mints 01s
18mints 26s	1mints 57s
21mints 02s	2mints 13s
20mints 21s	2mints
18mints 47s	2mints 02s

Avg	20mints10s	2mints.05s
20mints 30s		
20mints 47s		2mints 02s
18mints 50s		1mints 55s
19mints 54s		2mints 10s

## Table 2: M2

MILLING TIME	INTER ARRIVAL TIME
51 mints.25s	1 mints.56s
50 mints.20s	1 mints.55s
50 mints.45s	2 mints.02s
51 mints.04s	1 mints.50s
50 mints.29s	1 mints.48s
50 mints.27s	1 mints.54s
51 mints.43s	1 mints.55s
50 mints.12s	1. mints 52s
51 mints.02s	
Avg 50 mints.56s	1 mints.59s

## Table .3: M3

TURNING TIME	INTER ARRIVAL TIME
88. mints 56	s1 mints 56s
89 mints.32	s1 mints 52s
90 mints 54	s1 mints 54s

## www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

Avg	90 mints 04s	1 mints.57s
	90 mints 34s	
	89 mints 43s	1 mints 55s
	88 mints 57s	1 mints 49s
	90 mints 39s	1 mints 46s
	90 mints 44s	2 mints 01s
	89 mints 24s	1 mints.45s

## Table 4: M4

28 mints.20s		
28 mints.21s		2 mints.12s
28 mints.10s		2. mints 16s
28 mints.25s		2 mints 09s
28. mints 13s		2 mints.17s
27. mints 58s		2. mints 10s
28. mints 17s		2. mints 15s
27. mints 53s		2 mints.14s
28 mints.26s		2. mints 02s
STACKING & PF	RESSINGTIME	INTER ARRIVAL TIME

Table	5:	<b>M5</b>
-------	----	-----------

Avg	57. mints 52s	2. mints 40s
	37 mints.29s	
	37 mints.54s	2. mints 37s
	37 mints.38s	2. mints 49s
	36 mints.58s	2. mints 22s
37. mints 4:	5s	2. mints 54s
	37 mints.35s	2 mints.45s
	37 mints.24s	2 mints.11s
38. mints 50	68	3. mints 01s
	38 mints.29s	2. mints 56s
BA	LANCING TIME	INTER ARRIVAL TIME

## 7. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The production efficiency depends on how well the various production facilities, employee's amenities and, machines are located in a plant. Only the properly laid out plant can ensure the smooth and rapid movement of material, from the raw material stage to the end product stage. Plant layout study helps much in improvement in the existing layout.

## The 4.5 kW shaft flow is simulated and the results are given below

Figure 3



## Figure 4

Usage						
Scheduled Utilizat	ion	Value				
BALANCING		0.1093				
LATHE 1	1E	0.2025				
MILL		0.1447				
POWER HACK SA	W	0.05787037				
piess		0.00104444				
0.280						
0.240					ſ	BALANCING
0.160						GRINDING MACHINE
0.120					_	■ MILL ■ POWER HACKSAW ■ press
0.080						
0.040						
			1 7			
42AM			Resources			September 10
42AM			Resources			September 10
42AM KUNDARA			Resources			September 10 Replications: 1
42AM KUNDARA	Start I			ton Time:	720.00	September 10 Replications: 1
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1	Start T	īme:	<b>Resources</b>	top Time:	720.00	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su	Start T J <b>mmary</b>	îme:	<b>Resources</b>	top Time:	720.00	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su	Start T J <b>mmary</b>	ïme:	<b>Resources</b>	top Time:	720.00	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su	Start T J <b>mmary</b>	ïme:	<b>Resources</b>	top Time:	720.00	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su	Start T J <b>mmary</b> Inst Util	ïme: <u>Num Busy</u>	Resources	top Time: <u>Num Seized</u>	720.00	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su sage	Start T J <b>mmary</b> Inst Util 0.11	īime: <u>Num Busy</u> 0.11	Resources	top Time: <u>Num Seized</u> 118.00	720.00 <u>Sched Uti</u> 0.11	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su sage BALANCING GRINDING	Start T J <b>mmary</b> Inst Util 0.11 0.20		Resources 0.00 S <u>Num Sched</u> 1.00 1.00	top Time: <u>Num Seized</u> 118.00 125.00	720.00 Sched Uti 0.11 0.20	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su age BALANCING GRINDING ATHE 1	Start T ummary Inst Util 0.11 0.20 0.26	"ime: <u>Num Busy</u> 0.11 0.20 0.26	Resources	top Time: <u>Num Seized</u> 118.00 125.00 125.00	720.00 Sched Uti 0.11 0.20 0.26	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA Dication 1 source Detail Su sage BALANCING GRINDING ATHE 1 MILL DOWED	Start T Jmmary Inst Util 0.11 0.20 0.26 0.14 0.20	Time: <u>Num Busy</u> 0.11 0.20 0.26 0.14	Resources	top Time: <u>Num Seized</u> 118.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00	720.00 Sched Uti 0.11 0.20 0.26 0.12	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours
42AM KUNDARA lication 1 source Detail Su age ALANCING iRINDING ATHE 1 11LL IVWER	Start T Jmmary Inst Util 0.11 0.20 0.26 0.14 0.06 0.20	Time: Num Busy 0.11 0.20 0.26 0.14 0.06	Resources	top Time: <u>Num Seized</u> 118.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00	720.00 720.00 <u>Sched Uti</u> 0.11 0.20 0.26 0.14 0.02	September 10 Replications: 1 Time Units: Hours

## Figure5: simulation results

The utilization of the different machines is given above. The results show that out of these machines lathe has highestutilization 26% which is very low. All other machines have very lowutilization

8. References

[1] Sanjeev B. Naik and Dr. Shrikant Kallurkar, A Literature Review on Efficient Plant Layout Design, International Journal of Industrial Engineering Research and Development, 7(2), 2016, pp. 43–51.

[2] Sanjeev B. Naik and Dr. Shrikant Kallurkar http://www.iaeme.com/IJIERD/index.asp 45 editor@iaeme.com

[3] Sanjeev B. Naik and Dr. Shrikant Kallurkar, Simulation method http://www.iaeme.com/IJIERD/index.asp 45 editor@iaeme.com

[4] De Carlo F., Borgia O., and Tucci. M, "Layout Design for a Low-Capacity Manufacturing Line:

A Case Study, Sage Publications, 2013

[5]Ali Taghavi aghavi and Murat," A heuristic procedure for the integrated facility layout design and flow assignment problem" Research Gate ,2011

[6] Amir Sadrzadeh, "Genetic algorithm with the heuristic procedure to solve the multi-line layoutproblem", Science Direct, 2012

[7]Brahmadeep Brahmadeep and Sébastien Thomassey, "A Discrete Event Simulation Model with Genetic Algorithm Optimisation for Customised Textile Production Scheduling", Artificial Intelligence for Fashion Industry, May 2018

[8] Logendran Aghavi R and Murat, "A Literature Review on Efficient Plant Layout Design",

Research Gate, 2011

[9]D. Maniya a, M.G. Bhatt ,"An Alternative multiple attribute decision making methodology for solving optimal facility layout design selection problems" Computers &Industrial Engineering,

October 2011, Pages 542-549

[10] Marvin A. Arostegui Jr., Sukran N. Kadipasaoglu b, Basheer M. Khumawala "An empirical comparison of Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing, and Genetic Algorithms for facilities location problems", International Journal of Production Economics Volume 103, Issue 2, October 2006,

Pages 742-754

[11] Xiaodan Wu and Chao Chu "Genetic algorithms for integrating cell formation with machine layout and scheduling" Computers & Industrial Engineering, September 2007,53(2):277-289

[12] Arlindo Gomes de Alvarenga, Francisco J. Negreiros-Gomes & Ma'rio Mestria "Metaheuristic methods for a class of the facility layout problem", Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, August 2000

[13] Ling Lin, Bobbie Foote, Simin Pulat, Chir-Ho Chang, John Y. Cheung, "Solving the failure-to-fit problem for plant layout: By changing department shapes and sizes" European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 89, Issue 1, 22 February 1996, Pages 135-146

[14] Farhad Zahedi and Mohammad H. Khalili; "Modeling and simulation of a mattress production line using ProModel", Winter Simulations Conference. IEEE *Xplore*, 2013

[15] Mohammad Iqbal and M. S. J. Hashmi, "Design and Analysis of a Virtual Factory Layout", Journal of Materials Processing Technology,2001