



Oceanic Impact: The Ecological Toll of Plastic Pollution

¹Meghana D, ²Dr. Sunitha N

¹Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor

¹Department of Applied Science,

¹City Engineering College, Bengaluru, India

Abstract :Plastic materials have brought immense social benefits to modern society, but their predominant presence in solid waste, particularly in marine environments, has become a global issue. This poses significant threats to marine biodiversity. The rise in single-use products and inadequate waste management practices has led to the accumulation of litter in the sea, causing harm to various marine species. Solutions to this problem necessitate collaboration across multiple sectors, including industry, science, NGOs. The introduction of man-made surfaces such as coastal structures and artificial reefs, has further contributed to marine debris. The escalating number of species listed on the IUCN Red List underscores the impact of anthropogenic factors on marine habitats and biodiversity. Addressing this complex issue requires a holistic approach that considers the entire lifecycle of items that become marine debris, emphasizing green chemistry, effective waste management, prevention and removal of marine litter. Furthermore, the accumulation and fragmentation of plastic in oceans pose ecotoxicology risks. The toxicology of plastic micro and nanoparticles on marine life, and their potential transfer up the food chain adds another layer of concern. The prevalence of plastic in marine environments presents multifaceted challenges with far-reaching consequences for ecosystems. Addressing this issue requires coordinated efforts across sectors, innovative solutions for waste management and prevention, and a deeper understanding of the ecological impacts of plastics on marine life.

IndexTerms - Marine, Biodiversity, ecotoxicology, anthropogenic, micro and nanoparticles.

I. INTRODUCTION

The commercial production of single-use plastics has seen a substantial increase in the world's oceans. Even if we were to cease plastic production now, plastic pollution would persist and continue to escalate over the coming decades. This is because larger macro-plastic items degrade into smaller particles, such as micro-plastics and nano-particles. These tiny particles pose significant harm to marine organisms through entanglement, ingestion, smothering, and the release of associated chemicals [1]. As of 2017, the total global production of plastic had reached 8300 million metric tons (MMT). Due to inadequate waste management practices, micro-plastics have entered the oceans, leading to an estimated increase to 19-23 MMT by 2016 [2]. Various types of plastics and additives are involved in the manufacturing processes of different products. Harmful chemicals are introduced into the marine environment throughout the entire lifecycle of plastics, including production, transportation, use, and disposal. These chemicals can enter marine ecosystems either directly through ingestion or indirectly through contact with water, air, sediment, or food sources. The intricate composition of plastic materials poses challenges to recycling efforts, primarily because they consist of various polymers containing thousands of chemical compounds, hundreds of which are toxic [1]. Marine pollution is increasingly ingested in significant quantities due to recent developments in marine ecosystems, influenced by their compositions and environmental conditions. Marine organisms frequently interact directly with plastics, which are consumed by various species including seabirds, sharks, turtles, mammals, and invertebrates. Plastic ingestion serves as the initial step in the contamination of the food chain, representing the root cause of pollution [3].

II. ORIGINS AND VARIETIES OF MICROPLASTICS IN THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT

Plastics, due to their chemical stability, endure in the environment for extended periods, fostering rapid growth in the global plastics industry since the 1950s, with production increasing by 4% annually. Approximately 10% of plastic waste ends up in the ocean, accounting for 60-80% of marine debris, rising to 90-95% in certain regions. The escalating demand for plastic products due to global population growth results in about 8 million tons of plastics entering the ocean yearly. Microplastics primarily originate from inland river flows, fisheries, and industrial sources, with polyurethane and polystyrene as major chemical components. Land runoff, coastal tourism, ship transportation, and fishing, particularly trawling, are significant sources of microplastics in marine environments. Inadequate maintenance and abandonment of fishing gear further contribute to marine microplastic pollution, closely linked to local fishery production activities [4].

III. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF MICRO-PLASTICS ON THE MARINE ECOSYSTEM

Experimental evidence has demonstrated the adsorption of pollutants onto micro-plastics, even from virgin plastic pellets in seawater. This suggests that plastics serve as both a transport medium and a potential source of toxic chemicals in the marine environment [5]. Micro-plastics present in marine ecosystems are ingested by organisms, leading to intestinal tract blockage, inflammation, oxidative stress, hormone disruption, reproductive impacts, and metabolic and behavioral changes. Additionally, there are alterations in nutrient cycles and the food chain. The chemicals found in marine environments, originating from micro-plastics, may stem from leachates from plastic debris, such as flame retardants, phthalates, and phenols, or diffuse sources such as wastewater, sewage, and atmospheric deposition, which result from the widespread global use of both plastic and chemicals [2].

IV. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PLASTICS ON THE HUMAN HEALTH

The direct effects of marine plastics on human health have not been well-established or thoroughly studied. However, exposure to chemicals in plastic products does have significant effects, including associations with diseases, endocrine disruption, cancer, developmental disorders, and reproductive abnormalities. Humans are exposed to these chemicals through additives and contaminants in plastic materials that come into contact with food packaging, storage containers, utensils, children's toys, and electronics. While micro-plastics likely play a minor role in the accumulation of chemicals in the food chain, the primary source of exposure for humans is through chemicals in materials used for food contact. Existing evidence on the uptake of micro and nano-plastics by humans and the impact of micro and nano-plastics originating from inhalation or released from wear debris from plastic prosthetic implants shows diverse effects. These effects include DNA damage, changes in gene and protein expression, cell clotting, necrosis, apoptosis, proliferation, loss of cell viability, bone osteolysis, and many others [2].

V. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PLASTICS ON MARINE BIODIVERSITY

Chronic exposure to micro-plastics has been associated with effects on populations, including reduced growth and survival of offspring in zooplankton [5].

VI. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF FOOD SAFETY AND AVAILABILITY AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

Plastics are already impacting marine biodiversity and raising additional concerns about food safety and security in the near future. Currently, the primary source of human exposure to micro-plastics is through filter-feeding shellfish, which directly ingest particles from the water column as they accumulate on their gills. The effects on marine biodiversity, food safety, and availability represent significant economic impacts globally, particularly in countries and islands where fish is a staple food. This exacerbates poverty, especially in the context of climate change and increasing competition for natural resources. In some island developing states, as well as in Bangladesh, Cambodia, Ghana, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, and Sierra Leone, fish contributes up to 50 percent of total animal protein intake. Coastal populations worldwide are facing unprecedented levels of plastic waste. Plastics are used and exposed in close proximity to a variety of economic and health factors affecting people worldwide. Coastal populations, such as those in the Bay of Bengal and Bangladesh, and industries such as tourism, transportation, fishing, aquaculture, and coastal agriculture, are among the most affected. Cleaning up the plastic waste is a costly endeavor [5].

VII. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The scale of plastic pollution entering the oceans has indeed increased dramatically over the past few decades. This surge in plastic waste poses significant environmental challenges for marine ecosystems, wildlife, and ultimately, human health. Plastic is transported in water due to its buoyancy and durability. Particles of low density tend to remain in surface water and can move horizontally while denser particles are more likely to sink vertically. For example, 5 mm polyoxymethylene particles with a density of 1.6 g cm⁻³ could settle through the water column of approximately 250 meters in less than 18 hours in the central Gotland basin. Additionally, biofouling the accumulation of organisms on surfaces, can also increase the rate of sedimentation of plastic particles [6]. Marine life entangled in plastic debris is a major threat. It became evident that the majority of entanglement incidents involved encounters with plastic rope and netting. Secondly, plastic created havoc involves ingestion incidents with plastic fragments [7,8]. Entanglement in marine debris can have severe consequences for marine life. It can lead to strangulation, hindered feeding efficiency, and in tragic cases, drowning [9,10]. Autopsies on sea turtles have consistently revealed that ingested plastic and tar are major contributors to stress and non-natural deaths among these magnificent creatures. The digestive tracts of turtles have been found to contain various types of debris, including fishing line, ropes, nets, six-pack rings, Styrofoam, and plastic bags. A review provides valuable insight into the concerning trend of plastic ingestion by green turtles. Their estimation is that plastic ingestion by green turtles increased by nearly 20% from 1985 to 2012 [11]. It is observed that ingested plastic particles found in turtles are often white or transparent suggests a potential explanation for why turtles might mistake plastic for prey such as jellyfish [12,13]. This underscores the urgent need for concerted efforts to reduce marine debris and protect sea turtle populations. In Paraiba, Brazil, a heartbreaking incident occurred where a turtle taken in for rehabilitation tragically died after excreting 11 pieces of hard plastic and 9 pieces of plastic bags. This serves as a poignant reminder of the grave threat that plastic pollution poses to marine life and the urgent need for conservation efforts to mitigate its impact [14]. Birds that primarily feed on zooplankton may face challenges in distinguishing between plastics and their natural food source. This difficulty can arise due to similarities in color or shape between plastic pieces and zooplankton. As a result, these birds may inadvertently ingest plastic, which can lead to various negative health effects and ultimately impact their overall well-being [15]. Adult birds often regurgitate what they have ingested as a means to feed their chicks, inadvertently passing on any ingested plastic to their offspring. Studies have shown that birds like albatrosses and shearwaters tend to accumulate more plastic in the first region of their stomachs and gizzards. This accumulation pattern suggests that when these birds regurgitate, the plastic would likely be transferred to their young during feeding [16]. Many plastic pieces found in marine environments are blue, white, or clear—colors that closely resemble plankton, the primary food source for many fish species. This similarity in coloration can lead to fish mistakenly consuming plastic instead of their natural prey. As a result, plastic ingestion can have far-reaching consequences throughout the marine food web [17]. In the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, a concerning study found that 9.2% of 141 examined fish had plastics in their stomachs [18]. There is a speculation that between 12,000 and 24,000 tons of plastic are consumed by fish each year [19]. A study highlights an interesting concern regarding the

release of flame retardants from buoys used in aquaculture facilities in Korea. While this finding underscores the potential for environmental contamination, modeling estimates suggest that the transfer of chemicals from water to organisms via plastic may not be the primary pathway for harm. It's crucial to continue researching and monitoring the impacts of such contaminants on aquatic ecosystems to develop effective mitigation strategies and protect environmental health [20,21]. The occurrence of synthetic polymers, particularly microplastics in the gastrointestinal tracts of fish is indeed a concerning issue highlighted by studies like the one conducted in the English Channel. The findings show a high percentage of fish ingesting plastic debris with a significant portion consisting of microplastics and fibers [22]. Accumulation of plastic debris in the digestive system can lead to blockages, which can have adverse effects on the health of organisms, similar to the effects caused by larger plastic particles in larger organisms [23]. Bisphenol A (BPA) is a chemical compound commonly used in the manufacturing of various consumer products including the inner linings of food cans, reusable water bottles and baby bottles [24]. The adverse effects of plastic pollution on reproduction and metabolism in marine organisms can vary depending on factors such as the concentration of the compounds present in the plastic and the species of the organism affected [25]. High concentrations of certain chemicals leaching from plastic debris into the environment can disrupt the endocrine system of marine organisms leading to reproductive abnormalities and hormonal imbalances. For example, substances like phthalates and BPA commonly found in plastics have been linked to reproductive issues in various marine species with reduced fertility like ovulation delays and reductions in sperm quality [26]. Endocrine disruption is caused by polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in short-tailed shearwaters [27].

VIII. CONCLUSION

Plastic materials have undeniably revolutionized modern society, offering numerous social benefits. However, their widespread use has led to significant environmental issues, particularly in marine ecosystems. Plastic pollution, primarily from single-use products and inadequate waste management, poses a severe threat to marine biodiversity, tourism, and fisheries, impacting both ecological and socioeconomic aspects. The proliferation of plastic waste in marine environments has become a pressing global concern. This issue stems from increased consumption of single-use products coupled with poor waste management practices. As a result, marine ecosystems face extensive litter accumulation, harming a wide range of marine organisms and ecosystems. Furthermore, the introduction of artificial structures, such as coastal defences and artificial reefs, has contributed to the proliferation of marine debris. This debris not only poses physical hazards to marine life but also serves as vectors for transporting organisms across vast distances. Consequently, the impact of anthropogenic activities on marine habitats and biodiversity has escalated, with numerous species facing threats of extinction. Effective solutions to marine litter require a holistic approach that considers the entire lifecycle of plastic products. This entails implementing strategies such as green chemistry, sustainable design and manufacturing, as well as robust waste management and removal practices. Additionally, addressing the ecotoxicology risks associated with plastic additives is crucial for safeguarding marine organisms and ecosystems. The toxicology of plastic micro and nanoparticles presents further challenges, as these particles can accumulate in marine organisms and transfer up the food chain, potentially impacting human health. Thus, mitigating the adverse effects of plastic pollution requires comprehensive research and regulatory measures to minimize environmental and human health risks. In summary, while plastic materials have provided numerous societal benefits, their pervasive presence in marine environments poses significant ecological and socio-economic challenges. Addressing marine litter requires collaborative efforts across sectors and a multifaceted approach that encompasses sustainable practices, effective waste management, and mitigating the ecotoxicological risks associated with plastic pollution. By implementing holistic solutions, we can strive towards preserving marine ecosystems and safeguarding the well-being of both marine life and human populations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tekman, Mine B & Walther, Bruno & Peter, Corina & Gutow, Lars & Bergmann, Melanie. (2022). Impacts of plastic pollution in the oceans on marine species, biodiversity and ecosystems. 10.5281/zenodo.5898683.
- [2] Borrelle, S. B., Rochman, C. M., Liboiron, M., Bond, A. L., Lusher, A., Bradshaw, H., Provencher, J. F., 2017. Opinion: Why we need an international agreement on marine plastic pollution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114 (38), 9994–9997.
- [3] Bhuyan, M. S., Senapathi, V., Selvam, S. B., Szabo, S., Hossain, M. M., Rashed-Un-Nabi, M., Paramasivam, C., Jonathan, M., & Islam, M. S. (2021). Corrigendum to “Plastics in marine ecosystem: A review of their sources and pollution conduits” [*Reg. Stud. Mar. Sci.* 41 (2021) 101539]. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 44, 101658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.risma.2021.101658>.
- [4] Li, Y., Sun, Y., Li, J., Tang, R., Miu, Y., & Ma, X. (2021). Research on the influence of microplastics on marine life. *IOP Conference Series. Earth and Environmental Science*, 631(1), 012006. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/631/1/012006>.
- [5] Gallo, F., Fossi, M. C., Weber, R., Santillo, D., De Sousa, J. G., Ingram, I., Nadal, Á., & Romano, D. (2018). Marine litter plastics and microplastics and their toxic chemicals components: the need for urgent preventive measures. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 30(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0139-z>.
- [6] L. Van Cauwenberghe, A. Vanreusel, J. Mees, C. R. Janssen, *Environ. Pollut.* **2013**, 182, 495
- [7] Laist, D., 1997. Impacts of marine debris: entanglement of marine life in marine debris including a comprehensive list of species with entanglement and ingestion records. In: *Marine Debris*. Springer, New York, pp. 99–139.
- [8] The impact of debris on marine life S.C. Gall, R.C. Thompson, *Marine pollution Bulletin*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.12.041>
- [9] Allen, R., Jarvis, D., Sayer, S., & Mills, C. (2012). Entanglement of grey seals *Halichoerus grypus* at a haul out site in Cornwall, UK. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 64(12), 2815–2819.
- [10] The Effects of Plastic Pollution on Aquatic Wildlife: Current Situations and Future Solutions, Michelle Sigler, *Water Air Soil Pollut* (2014) 225:2184, DOI 10.1007/s11270-014-2184-6

- [11] Schuyler, Q., Hatderty, B.D., Wilcox, C., Townsend, K., 2014. Global Analysis of Anthropogenic Debris Ingestion by Sea Turtles. *Conserv. Biol.* 28 (1), 129.
- [12] Bugoni, L., Krause, L., Virginia, P.M., 2001. Marine Debris and Human Impacts on Sea Turtles in Southern Brazil. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42 (12), 1330–1334.
- [13] Da Silva Mendes, S., de Carvalho, R.H., de Faria, A.F., de Sousa, B.M., 2015. Marine debris ingestion by Cheloniomydas (Testudines: Cheloniidae) on the Brazilian coast. *Mar.Pollut. Bull.* 92 (1–2), 8–10.
- [14] Mascarenhas, R., Santos, R., & Zeppelini, D. (2004). Plastic debris ingestion by sea turtle in Paraíba, Brazil. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 49(4), 354–355.
- [15] Avery-Gomm, S., Provencher, J. F., Morgan, K. H., & Bertram, D. F. (2013). Plastic ingestion in marine-associated bird species from the eastern North Pacific. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 72(1), 257–259.
- [16] Moser, M. L., & Lee, D. S. (1992). A fourteen-year survey of plastic ingestion by western North Atlantic seabirds. *Colonial Waterbirds*, 15(1), 83–94.
- [17] Boerger, C. M., Lattin, G. L., Moore, S. L., & Moore, C. J. (2010). Plastic ingestion by planktivorous fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60(12), 2275–2278.
- [18] Davison, P., & Asch, R. G. (2011). Plastic ingestion by mesopelagic fishes in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 432, 173–180.
- [19] Davison, P., & Asch, R. G. (2011). Plastic ingestion by mesopelagic fishes in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 432, 173–180.
- [20] N. A. Al-Odaini, W. J. Shim, G. M. Han, M. Jang, S. H. Hong, *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, 505, 290.
- [21] A. Bakir, I. A. O'Connor, S. J. Rowland, A. J. Hendriks, R. C. Thompson, *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, 219, 56.
- [22] Lusher, A.L., McHugh, M., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 67 (1–2), 94–99.
- [23] Hoss, D.E., Settle, L.R., 1990. Ingestion of plastic by teleost fishes. In: Shomura, R.S., Godfrey, M.L. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Marine Debris 2–7 April 1989, Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS, NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFC-154*, pp. 693–709.
- [24] Halden RU (2010) Plastics and health risks. *Ann Rev Pub Health* 31: 179-194.
- [25] Oehlmann, J.R., Schulte-Oehlmann, U., Kloas, W., Jagnytsch, O., Lutz, I., Kusk, K.O., Wollenberger, L., Santos, E.M., Paull, G.C., Van Look, K.J.W., Tyler, C.R., 2009. A critical analysis of the biological impacts of plasticizers on wildlife. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 364, 2047–2062.
- [26] Lahnsteiner, F., Berger, B., Kletzl, M., Weismann, T. (2005). Effect of bisphenol A on maturation and quality of semen and eggs in the brown trout, *Salmo trutta fario*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 75, 213–224.
- [27] Tanaka, K., Takada, H., Yamashita, R., Mizukawa, K., Fukuwaka, M.-A., Watanuki, Y., 2013. Accumulation of plastic-derived chemicals in tissues of seabirds ingesting marine plastics. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 69 (1–2), 219–222.