JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

NYROSPHERE

[1]Aman Rao M, [1]B.Vibha, [1]Bimal P B,[1]K.C Ayush,[2]Ajay Prinston Pinto
[1]AI & DS department,
[12]Srinivas Institute of Technology, Mangalore, India

Abstract : This extended study surveys comprehensive research on BB-8-like spherical robots, focusing on their mechanical architecture, control mechanisms, wireless communication systems, autonomous navigation using SLAM, and human-robot interaction (HRI). With advances in embedded systems, AI, and machine learning, spherical robots are achieving higher mobility, smarter interaction capabilities, and enhanced autonomy. The review consolidates insights from recent studies, aiming to guide the development of a fully autonomous, emotionally intelligent BB-8-inspired robot. Additionally, this paper highlights existing challenges and potential future directions for research in spherical robotics.

***IndexTerms* - BB-8 Robot, Spherical Robotics, Wireless Communication, SLAM, Human-Robot Interaction, AI Navigation, Embedded Systems, Adaptive Locomotion.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Spherical robots have become popular due to their mechanical stability, aesthetic appeal, and omnidirectional mobility capability, which enable them to be used in many applications in industrial and consumer markets [1][4][28]. Drawing inspiration from the iconic BB-8 of Star Wars, spherical robots seamlessly combine state-of-the-art mechanical design with state-of-the-art AI-based autonomy [1][10][9], opening up new opportunities in dynamic environments. Such complex capability can be attained only by a multi-disciplinary approach with capabilities in mechanical engineering, control theory, embedded systems, wireless communication, and human-robot interaction (HRI) [2][5][6][12][22].

Recent developments in fundamental fields have highly driven the realization of intelligent spherical robots. In mechanical design, technologies such as ball-and-socket joints and light but hard materials have made it possible to create robots that are agile but strong, able to traverse diversified terrain and perform complex tasks [3][4][6][7]. With these, light SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) algorithms have emerged as the key to enabling real-time mapping and navigation, assisting spherical robots in understanding and adapting to their environment autonomously [16][18][19]. These algorithms not only conserve computation regarding resources but also ensure the robot can survive in complex and unstructured environments without requiring support infrastructure [17][20][21].

Advances in communication technology have also played a significant role in enhancing the autonomy of spherical robots. Hybrid communication systems combining Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) and WiFi offer a compromise between power efficiency and data transfer rate [12][13][14], enabling remote control, real-time data streaming, and environmental sensing without draining the robot's finite energy reservoirs [28][29]. Such systems enable spherical robots to be continuously connected both indoors and outdoors, further enhancing their applicability in different applications [15]. Another groundbreaking development has been in the field of AI behavior models. Spherical robots have been designed to exhibit adaptive, intelligent behavior with the assistance of machine learning and reinforcement learning algorithms [9][10][31]. The models, typically lightweight Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have allowed the robot to learn patterns, detect anomalies, and forecast environmental changes [32][34][35]. Through continuous learning, the robots are able to refine their responses, offering a smoother and more naturalistic user interaction [23][24][26][27].

This article aims to review these advances and how they relate to forthcoming generations of BB-8-type robots, with an eye to the way that all these technologies integrate together to create intelligent, affectively sensitive devices [22][23][25]. The integration of mechanical, control, communication, and AI technologies will not only drive the advances in spherical autonomous robots but also increase their applications to fields such as service robots, exploration, and entertainment, opening new possibilities for human-robot interaction and collaboration [28][30][33].

II. MECHANICAL DESIGN AND PROTOTYPING

Substantial effort has been devoted to cost-efficient and effective mechanical designs. A study by Innes and Secco concentrated on modular designs via 3D printing, considerably minimizing prototyping expenses [1]. Akella et al. established propulsion models through internal actuation points, which improved movement accuracy [3]. Song et al. proposed a pendulum-based method to improve energy efficiency [4]. Ortega presented magnetic dome stabilization to keep BB-8's iconic head stabilized [2]. Servo-motor optimization innovations and advanced shell material (flexible but robust) further enhance mechanical reliability [6][7].

III. LOCOMOTION CONTROL AND STABILITY

Motion control of BB-8-style spherical robots poses special challenges due to their non-holonomic dynamics, internal actuation mechanisms, as well as decoupling among exterior and interior mass units. The optimal control ensuring robustness, reliability, and stability amid disturbances or imprecise environmental conditions remains an important objective in robotics control schemes. PID controllers are widely used in spherical robots because they are easy to tune and simple, and they are adequate for general path-following operations. For instance, Zeng et al. proposed a PID-augmented Model Predictive Control (MPC) system, which combined short-term predictions with feedback correction to reduce trajectory errors [17].

Yet, PID controllers are usually insufficient when robots are faced with dynamic ground, unforeseen disturbances, or unstructured surroundings. Feedback linearization methods [8] and sliding mode control methods [18] are more robust answers in such situations. These solutions algebraically transform nonlinear systems to linear equivalents so that standard linear control laws can be used, which becomes more efficient in terms of dealing with the nonlinear dynamics of rolling.

Slip detection and compensation are equally important in the preservation of motion accuracy. Real-time slip estimation based on IMUs and gyro feedback was proposed by Li et al. for detecting and compensating for traction loss [23]. Dynamic torque adjustment of motor to compensate for slippage allows the robot to prevent overcorrection and instability, giving smoother motion over low-friction surfaces such as wet or dusty ground.

Hybrid control strategies, that merge model-based controllers (like PID or MPC) with learning-based mechanisms (like DRL or fuzzy logic), have seen increasing interest in recent literature [21][22]. These hybrid solutions harness the predictability of conventional control along with the adaptability and flexibility of AI models. In actual implementations, these systems demonstrated impressive improvements in curvature tracking, path re-planning, and disturbance rejection, presenting a wellbalanced strategy for complex locomotion control.

IV. WIRELESS COMMUNICATION AND CONTROL SYSTEM

Wireless communication is central to BB-8-style robots, enabling not only remote control but also communication between modules, sensor data, and real-time execution of instructions. Considering the robot's mobility and requirement for autonomous use, the communications system has to be low-latency, robust, power-efficient, and capable of transferring control signals as well as high-bandwidth sensor information.

The most effective architecture in current research is the WiFi-Bluetooth hybrid. Bluetooth is usually reserved for short-range, low-power microcontroller-to-local-interface communication (for example, local applications or sensors), whereas WiFi enables longer-distance, higher-rate data exchange for activities like camera streams, SLAM data, or OTA firmware updates. Chen and Wang illustrated that by designating Bluetooth to low-priority tasks (like parameter settings or local joystick management) and WiFi to high-bandwidth telemetry, the system achieves stable data delivery without bandwidth overloading [25].

ESP32 platforms are preferred because of their dual-mode wireless capabilities (WiFi + BLE), low power usage, and affordability. Reddy and Kumar harnessed the capability of the ESP32 to support multiple communications threads using its dual-core processor. Its method supported simultaneous PWM motor control, sensor data collection, and bi-directional command acknowledgement with a latency of less than 100 ms despite having three active channels. Interrupt-based GPIO triggers guarantee timely execution of time-critical commands like emergency stops [27].

For remotely coordinated or modular robots, MQTT (Message Queuing Telemetry Transport) protocols offer lightweight, publish-subscribe messaging over TCP/IP efficiently. MQTT particularly works well in low-bandwidth and lossy networks, like those in field-deployed BB-8 robots. Silva and Santos demonstrated that MQTT was superior to HTTP in packet reliability and latency in broadcasting movement commands and sensor reading between modular robotic systems [14].

ROS2 (Robot Operating System 2) is more appropriate for autonomous control at a higher level. Its DDS-based real-time communication paradigm facilitates distributed, scalable, and secure messaging. Huang et al. utilized ROS2 to synchronize IMU, camera, and motor controllers of a BB-8 robot, providing seamless fusion of actuation and navigation data. The modularity of ROS2 also facilitates seamless integration with SLAM, localization, and path-planning modules within a common framework [15].

V. AUTONOMOUS NAVIGATION USING SLAM

For an autonomous operation of a BB-8-inspired spherical robot in intricate environments, it needs to perceive, map, and localize itself in the environment—capabilities enabled by Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) algorithms. SLAM systems allow robots to construct a map of an unfamiliar environment while keeping track of their own position in it in real-time using onboard sensor information.

A commonly used and effective solution of recent years is ORB-SLAM2 (Oriented FAST and Rotated BRIEF SLAM). ORBSLAM2 has been successfully deployed on embedded systems utilized in spherical robots, ensuring low-latency camera frame processing and robust pose estimation. ORB-SLAM2 is preferred due to its real-time capabilities, which provide full support for monocular, stereo, and RGB-D. It localizes features with keypoint descriptors and utilizes bundle adjustment for pose optimization. In BB-8-type robots, this gives precise localization even in high-speed rolling motion, where conventional wheel odometry fails [1], [4], [9], [14].

Sensor fusion, specifically the combination of stereo vision and LiDAR, is another innovation important to improving navigation accuracy. Liu and Chen created a fusion model that integrates stereo camera depth maps and LiDAR distance measurements to enhance both obstacle detection and 3D mapping accuracy. The stereo camera allows richer texture-based depth perception, while LiDAR covers up low-visibility areas and augments structure mapping in low-feature scenes. This combination greatly minimizes the risk of SLAM failure caused by visual degradation and enhances loop-closure detection—a key step for long-trajectory drift correction [2], [5], [11], [15].

In order to deploy real-time SLAM on spherical robots, Garcia et al. adapted the computational pipeline to be run on low-resource microcontrollers such as the NVIDIA Jetson Nano and Raspberry Pi 4. Their system utilized ROS2 to orchestrate data streams between visual sensor, IMU, and LiDAR modules, balancing compute load and maintaining frame-rate consistency greater than 20 FPS. Effective resource management and sensor priority ensured that the robot was able to respond to moving obstacles with an accurate localization estimate [6], [7], [16], [18].

In dynamic environments, standard SLAM pipelines may fail. Dynamic SLAM methods are thus becoming popular. Han and Lee proposed a motion-segmentation module to remove moving objects from the SLAM input, which enhances accuracy in dense crowds or cluttered environments [13], [17], [21]. Wong and Tanaka then went on further by introducing semantic mapping, which allows the robot not only to map environments but to recognize objects (e.g., walls, humans, furniture) so that decisionmaking and path planning can be enhanced [19], [22], [24].

VI. HUMAN-ROBOT INTERACTION(HRI)

Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) is a central aspect in the creation of socially conscious and emotionally intelligent BB-8-type robots. In order for such robots to function successfully in shared human spaces like homes, museums, or public areas, they need to be able to sense, understand, and react to human signs via multiple modalities like speech, touch, and sight. Such interactions should be intuitive, empathetic, and contextually relevant to establish trust and usability [2], [6], [8], [11].

1. Speech Interaction and Voice Control
   The most natural way of human communication is still speech, and embedding voice recognition and natural language processing (NLP) in robots has become more and more attainable with the development of light-weight deep models. Sato and Morimoto used voice-controlled modules in mobile robots, allowing them to perform commands, converse, and provide feedback. For BB-8 robots, the integration of speech input enables users to provide commands such as "follow me," "go to the kitchen," or "stop," which add real-time command-based control without a graphical interface. Local processing on microcontrollers like ESP32-S3 or Jetson Nano guarantees privacy and low-latency operation [4].

2. Vision-Based Interaction and Emotion Recognition
   Robot cameras have double functions: navigation and interaction. With computer vision models, BB-8 robots can recognize faces, follow gaze direction, identify gestures, and understand emotional states. Yamashita and Nakamura used eye-tracking functions where a robot can continuously engage visually with individuals, mimicking attention. Nishimura et al. developed fuzzy logic-driven emotional interaction models to respond to emotions sensed, like excitement when a child smiles or slowing down when a user seems upset. These characteristics allow robots to display emotionally intelligent behavior, which is important in therapeutic and companion use [13], [15], [17].

3. Tactile and Touch-Based Input
   BB-8-style robots are supported by tactile sensing modules—soft touch pads or capacitive touch panels—that react to user taps, swipes, or pressure variations. Roy and Paul studied touch and voice integration for increasing responsiveness and minimizing misinterpretation in noisy spaces. A tap on the robot's dome might, for example, create a light response or activate a follow-me mode. Such interactions are particularly appropriate in spaces where speech is impractical (e.g., libraries or noisy factories) [16], [20].

4. Multimodal Interaction and Context Awareness
   Multimodal HRI integrates speech, vision, and touch data into a comprehensive interaction model. Bhatia and Sharma showed a system in which user affect (vision), audio commands (audio), and tap sequences (touch) were combined with a light-weight neural network in order to facilitate context-aware behaviors. For example, when a user utters "come here" with a smile and an outstretched hand, the robot understands the gesture, emotion, and command together to react more meaningfully [21], [22], [23].

5. Social Engagement and Personalization
   In addition to interaction, robots such as BB-8 are also being developed to learn about user preferences, recall past interactions, and change behavior based on that. These involve facial recognition to address known users by name, recalling frequent commands, and varying motion velocities around older users. Emotionally intelligent BB-8 platforms are therefore not merely reactive but adaptive [12], [14], [19].

VII. ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Power management is a key characteristic of self-sustaining spherical robots, particularly in prolonged use outdoors when recharging does not exist. For continuous operation without human interaction, the robots utilize intelligent systems that monitor, allocate, and maximize power consumption in all hardware and software components. By dynamic adjustment of the power consumption by sensors, processors, communication devices, and motion systems, the robot can maximize operating time and respond to different environmental conditions [3], [7], [14].

Battery life is handled through high-density lithium-based batteries, and context-aware energy profiling, enabling spherical robots to optimize power consumption and adjust according to tasks and environments. Non-essential systems are turned off during standby times, and predictive analytics are used to plan for energy-consuming actions. Reinforcement learning also optimizes energy consumption by learning from experience, while task prioritization and thermal management enable optimal and safe distribution of power [8], [15], [16], [17],.

For longer outdoor deployments where extended operation is needed, solar harvesting modules can be installed in spherical robots. Bent or curved photovoltaic panels housed inside the robot body charge the battery passively during illumination and minimize dependence on charging stations. MPPT algorithms are installed in the solar panels to optimize energy harvesting by responding to changing light conditions and controlling the harvesting process. Some robots can autonomously position themselves to capture optimal sun angles through the assistance of environmental sensors for solar energy harvesting maximization [18], [19], [21].

Self-audit energy control and decision-making capability enable the robot to track its energy status in real time against mission goals. It can redirect, postpone operations, or find charging stations as needed depending on power availability. The combination of SLAM and AI planning guarantees the robot adjusts its path planning and decision-making to save energy, avoiding highenergy paths or operations during low-battery conditions. In the long term, the system learns a personalized energy consumption model, enhancing mission planning precision and energy estimation, thus making the robot more efficient and autonomous [13], [22].

VIII. AIINTEGRATION FOR BEHAVIORAL MODEL

AI integration is the key enabling factor in allowing autonomous spherical robots to reach wise decisions through dynamic environmental input, making them adaptable and efficiently functional in various situations. Path planning is among the fundamental elements of such integration where the robot employs sophisticated algorithms to independently move within its surroundings. Using Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM), the robot maps its environment in real-time and determines the most optimal routes, while navigating around obstacles and compensating for changes in terrain. This is aided by AI that enables the robot to update its route continuously based on new information so that the most optimal route is always taken [6], [9], [12].

Human intent recognition is another essential aspect enabled by AI. Spherical robots that have to interact with humans need to be able to comprehend and anticipate human behavior in order to work together efficiently. Employing light-weight Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), the robot interprets visual inputs like gestures, body movements, and facial expressions to estimate human intentions. These CNNs are optimized to run on the robot's onboard hardware, allowing them to quickly interpret visual data without overloading the system. This ability to interpret human behavior is critical for collaborative tasks and enhances the robot's role in environments where interaction with humans is frequent, such as healthcare, service, or industrial settings [14], [18], [20].

Onboard AI decision-making increases the robot's autonomy even further by allowing it to make contextual, informed decisions based on its knowledge of the environment and mission objectives. The decision-making process is fueled by AI algorithms that take into account several inputs—such as sensor data, energy reserves, and task priorities—allowing the robot to change its behavior in accordance with real-time conditions. For example, the robot can opt to postpone non-critical operations or redirect its course if energy levels are low or a safer path is discovered. With the inclusion of AI, the robot is able to manage multiple goals, like efficiency, safety, and mission accomplishment, in order to optimize performance in different conditions [5], [17], [21].

The incorporation of AI in behavior modeling enables spherical robots to learn continuously from their surroundings and enhance their decision-making. With time, as the robot gains experiences and data, it enhances its capability of predicting and reacting to both normal and aberrant situations. This improvement in self is facilitated by reinforcement learning methods, which enable the robot to improve behavior from rewards or punishments obtained through previous interactions so that the future tasks are carried out more efficiently with greater autonomy. With development of the system, the robot becomes better suited to manage complicated environments with limited human control [8], [19], [22].

IX. CONCLUSION

This literature review brings together major developments in several fields, such as mechanical design, control systems, wireless communication, autonomy, and human-robot interaction, which are all critical in the construction of BB-8-type spherical robots. Based on key references [3], [12], [14], [18], [20], [22], this questionnaire presents the state-of-the-art technologies that help design a completely autonomous, emotionally intelligent BB-8 robot. The incorporation of state-of-the-art mechanical engineering enables the development of spherical robots that can move accurately in dynamic conditions, and sophisticated control systems guarantee stable and effective movement [5], [7], [8], [19]. Concurrently, wireless communication technologies facilitate real-time data transfer and remote control, required to preserve smooth interaction between the robot and other devices or users [4], [13], [16].

Autonomous features such as path planning, decision-making, and sensor integration constitute the cornerstones of the robot's freedom to act by itself in cluttered environments [6], [8], [9], [12]. Secondly, the engineering of human-robot interaction paradigms, particularly those supported by emotional intelligence, guarantees the robot not just moves around within its environment, but also understands the users by communicating with them intuitively as well as emotionally [14], [18], [20]. Through the integration of emotional signals and adaptive reactions, the robot can form a more natural and human-like relationship with its surroundings and users, thus becoming more efficient in collaborative tasks or service applications [19], [21]. Collectively, these developments lay the groundwork for the development of a BB-8-like robot that not only autonomously navigates but also detects and responds to human emotions, allowing for more rich and meaningful interactions in real-world, dynamic situations [22].

REFERENCES

[1] M. Innes and E. L. Secco, “Design of an Interactive BB8-Like Robot,” Springer, vol. 1, 2023.

[2] P. Akella, O. O'Reilly, and K. Sreenath, “Controlling the Locomotion of Spherical Robots,” J. Mech. Robot., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2019.

[3] Y. Song, J. Li, and Y. Xu, “Pendulum-Driven Spherical Robot: Design and Control,” Int. J. Adv. Robot. Syst., vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 1–10, 2021.

[4] A. Ortega and P. Ramos, “Magnetic Dome Stabilization for BB-8 Robots,” Robotics, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1–15, 2023.

[5] N. Kumar et al., “Servo Motor Optimization for Spherical Robots,” Mechatronics, vol. 85, pp. 101–115, 2022.

[6] R. Singh and P. Kaur, “Shell Materials for Mobile Robots,” Mater. Today Proc., vol. 47, pp. 3451–3458, 2021.

[7] L. Zhao, “Durable Flexible Shells in Robotics,” IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 986–993, 2022.

[8] R. Gupta and M. Kumar, “Feedback Linearization for Spherical Robots,” Control Eng. Pract., vol. 98, pp. 104381, 2020.

[9] Y. Jin, X. Wang, and H. Zhang, “Deep Reinforcement Learning for Spherical Locomotion,” IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 10483–10495, 2022.

[10] T. Zeng et al., “Model Predictive Control for BB-8 Movement,” Robotics, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1–11, 2021.

[11] F. Li et al., “Slip Detection and Compensation Algorithms,” Sensors, vol. 22, no. 19, p. 7152, 2022.

[12] S. Chen and D. Wang, “Hybrid Communication Systems for Robots,” Int. J. Commun. Syst., vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2023.

[13] A. Reddy and S. Kumar, “ESP32 Wireless Control Systems,” Embedded Syst. Lett., vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 22–29, 2023.

[14] B. Silva and C. Santos, “MQTT for Robotic Communication,” IEEE Internet Things J., vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 3712–3720, 2022.

[15] Z. Huang et al., “ROS2-based Wireless Frameworks,” J. Robot. Syst., vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1–13, 2022.

[16] K. Zhang et al., “Embedded ORB-SLAM for Spherical Robots,” Robotica, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 475–490, 2023.

[17] S. Patel and M. Mehra, “Visual Odometry Enhancements,” J. Intell. Robot. Syst., vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 381–392, 2022.

[18] X. Liu and P. Chen, “LiDAR-Vision Fusion for SLAM,” IEEE Sens. J., vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 5821–5832, 2022.

[19] J. Garcia et al., “Microcontroller SLAM Optimization,” Microprocess. Microsyst., vol. 89, pp. 104388, 2022.

[20] M. Han and S. Lee, “Dynamic Environment SLAM,” Sensors, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2023.

[21] T. Wong and H. Tanaka, “Adaptative Mapping in SLAM,” IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 14820–14830, 2023.

[22] E. Hanson, “Human Sensing Expansion for BB-8 Robots,” J. Human-Robot Interact., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1–10, 2019.

[23] Y. Nishimura, T. Tanaka, and A. Sano, “Emotional Interaction Models,” IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 389–400, 2021.

[24] K. Sato and J. Morimoto, “Voice-Activated Robot Control,” Appl. Sci., vol. 12, no. 8, p. 3815, 2022.

[25] K. Yamashita and Y. Nakamura, “Eye-Tracking Based Engagement,” J. Eye Mov. Res., vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1–12, 2021.

[26] A. Bhatia and K. Sharma, “Multimodal Interaction Models,” Human-Centric Comput. Inf. Sci., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2022.

[27] A. Roy and S. Paul, “Touch and Voice Fusion for Robots,” Multimodal Technol. Interact., vol. 7, no. 1, p. 9, 2023.

[28] M. Kaur and A. Singh, “Energy Optimization in Mobile Robots,” Sustain. Comput. Inf. Syst., vol. 36, pp. 100767, 2022.

[29] Z. Yan et al., “Energy-Efficient Algorithms for Spherical Robots,” IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 13560–13569, 2022.

[30] A. Sharma and R. Garg, “Solar-Powered Spherical Robots,” Renew. Energy, vol. 205, pp. 1021–1030, 2023.

[31] L. Xie and F. Zhou, “Deep Learning-Based Path Planning,” Neural Comput. Appl., vol. 34, pp. 15709–15720, 2022.

[32] S. Wang et al., “Anomaly Detection in Robot Navigation,” IEEE Trans. Cogn. Dev. Syst., vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 716–726, 2022.

[33] D. Kim and Y. Park, “Human Intent Recognition for HRI,” Int. J. Soc. Robot., vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1345–1356, 2022.

[34] V. Ramesh and R. Patel, “Lightweight CNN Models on Jetson,” J. Real-Time Image Process., vol. 19, pp. 775–784, 2022.

[35] G. Thomas and P. Michael, “AI-Driven Decision Making in Robotics,” Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 167, p. 104411, 2023.