



AI AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT IN INDIA: LEGAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

1 CS Vihasi Shah, 2 Nikhil Harikumar

1 Assistant Professor of Law, 2 Assistant Professor of Law

1 Faculty of Law, 1 Indus University, Ahmedabad, India

Abstract : Artificial Intelligence (AI) is aiding in improving urban mobility in India through better efficiency, improved congestion management, and enhanced enforcement. Intelligent Traffic Signals, Real-Time Traffic Congestion Monitoring, Automated E-Challan Systems, Predictive Analytics, and several other AI-powered solutions are being implemented in major cities like Bengaluru, Delhi, and Mumbai. However, these developments pose serious legal and policy challenges. As a result, this research paper analyzes the current legal control over AI implementation in traffic management, such as the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, Information Technology Act, 2000, and the data protection regulations. It addresses issues of data privacy, surveillance, liability, algorithmic bias, and transparency in the decision-making process of AI. The research paper calls for more robust regulations specific to AI, improved accountability mechanisms, and rolling policy suggestions for ethical AI directly into traffic management practices. Overcoming these challenges can enable India to create a strong legal framework that can accelerate transparent, accountable, and efficient AI-enabled traffic management and aid India on its path towards smart and sustainable urban mobility.

Index terms - AI in traffic management, legal framework, data privacy, algorithmic bias, smart mobility

I. INTRODUCTION

The alarming rate of urbanization and increase in vehicular density in India has resulted in exponential growth of traffic congestion, road safety, and environmental issues. Traditional traffic management systems often cannot handle these issues in an efficient way. Traffic Management systems are therefore harnessing the power of AI to improve mobility. Tech-enabled solutions like Smart traffic signals, real-time congestion monitoring, automated enforcement (e-challan) systems, and predictive analytics are revolutionizing the way traffic is managed and controlled. AI-based technologies have been adopted by cities such as Bengaluru, Delhi, and Mumbai to make roads safer, bring down travel time, and maximize efficiency.

AI is important in traffic management because it can process large volumes of real-time data, predict congestion patterns, and help in real-time adaptive decision-making to manage traffic flow more effectively. All of these developments are in line with India's Smart Cities Mission which advocates for technology-led urban mobility solutions. Yet, these benefits have been accompanied by the manifest legal and policy issues that the deployment of AI in traffic management entails, such as data privacy risks, regulatory gaps associated with the integration of AI into transportation systems, liability principles, and the need for algorithmic transparency. (IndiaAI, 2025) The objective of this research paper is to analyze the legal and policy hurdles surrounding the implementation of AI in traffic management in India. The adequacy of extant laws, ethical dilemmas, and the necessity for a robust regulatory structure to regulate AI use in traffic supervision are discussed.

II. AI IN TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT: AN OVERVIEW

Problems like congestion, road safety, and enforcement efficiency, are the challenges that we are trying to solve using artificial intelligence (AI) that can continuously learn from its own mistakes. AI-based traffic management is the application of complex algorithms, better-known machine learning models, and data analytics to real-time

monitoring, prediction, and control of vehicular movement. It also improves decision-making, decreases manual intervention, and boosts urban mobility. AI-based Intelligent Traffic Management applications typically encompass intelligent traffic signal control automation, congestion prediction, automated traffic law enforcement, and vehicle flow optimization, thereby fulfilling the broader goal of smart mobility. (Clickworker, 2025)

Many AI solutions have been built to help traffic operate more intelligently. AI algorithms are used in intelligent traffic lights to adjust signal timings according to real-time traffic conditions, minimizing congestion and avoiding unnecessary delays. AI-powered retrofitting through real-time congestion monitoring equipped with cameras and sensors to analyze traffic density gives authorities the right insight to control the traffic. Automated enforcement systems like the e-challan mechanism rely on AI-based surveillance to detect traffic violations and impose penalties electronically, ensuring better compliance with traffic laws. Moreover, predictive analytics also aid in predicting the upcoming traffic congestion, contributing to the proactive measures taken for traffic management. Autonomous vehicles could also enable an entirely new paradigm of traffic flow and safety, albeit they are still a fledgling technology for Indian roadways. (Akhtar et al., 2023)

2.1 Case Studies: AI-Driven Traffic Solutions in Indian Cities

In India cities like Mumbai, Bengaluru, Delhi, Gurugram, Patna, Chennai, and Ahmedabad are using these systems based on AI to harness urban mobility for better roads and improved traffic management. (Hindustan Times, 2024) To eliminate congestion, Bengaluru has adopted an AI-based adaptive traffic signal which, according to claims, has been able to save travel time. AI is being used by the Delhi Traffic Police to detect traffic violations in the city and improve the enforcement of road safety rules. AI-based predictive analytics have been used to understand patterns of congestion and optimize vehicle movements along high-traffic routes. These case studies reflect AI's transformative potential in controlling traffic, while also shedding light on the legal and policy considerations that hypothetical its deployment.

As AI adoption in traffic regulation is on the rise, this trend fuels the demand for a well-defined legal and regulatory framework to govern the ethical deployment of AI, protect data privacy, and resolve issues related to liability. We will then unpack these legal and policy challenges in the sections that follow.

III. LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING AI IN TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT IN INDIA

The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, and its Applicability to AI-Driven Systems

In India, the primary legislation on road transport and traffic regulation is the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. The legislation provides guidelines in areas including vehicle registration, driver licensing, road safety, and penalties for violating traffic regulations. (Motor Vehicles Act, 1988) Even more so, while creating a legal framework for traditional traffic management, it does not contain direct mention of relevant AI-based technologies like intelligent traffic signals, auto enforcement systems, or AI-augmented navigation. However, the lack of clear guidelines surrounding AI-powered transportation leaves open critical issues related to accountability, liability, and compliance in the event of AI-enabled traffic offenses or accidents.

The Information Technology Act, 2000, and AI-Based Traffic Surveillance

Information Technology (IT) Act, 2000 was primarily enacted to regulate electronic governance and cybercrime, but it also remains key to the implementation of AI-based traffic surveillance. In this sector, we leverage artificial intelligence for effective traffic monitoring with high-resolution cameras, automated number plate recognition (ANPR) systems, and facial recognition technologies to ensure law enforcement for drivers and all journey-associated criminals. Although the IT Act offers legal recognition to electronic records and signatures, along with various cybersecurity provisions, it does not effectively address aspects related to algorithmic bias, automated decision-making, ethical surveillance practices in the traffic law enforcement sector, and other facets of AI usage that foster a safe environment. (GIGA Institute, 2025)

Data Protection Laws and Their Relevance in AI Traffic Monitoring

AI traffic monitoring systems are capable of capturing large amounts of personal data, which results in serious privacy issues. When there is no comprehensive data protection law, it becomes difficult to regulate data ranging from its collection, storage, and processing. The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, has been passed by the Parliament and is expected to provide a framework for data privacy once it is more broadly implemented

enabling data fiduciaries with obligations to ensure that the data of individuals are rightly handled. In contrast, AI-enabled traffic monitoring, in particular facial recognition and real-time data analytics, requires extra precautions from misuse and unauthorized access to the data. (Vignesh & Nagarjun, 2024)

National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence (NITI Aayog) and AI Adoption in Traffic Systems

The National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence, created by NITI Aayog, guides India's AI policy framework, proposing avenues for AI applications across sectors, including transportation. Place by highlighting the potential of AI in smart mobility, with use cases such as intelligent traffic management and congestion prediction, as well as the improvement of road safety. Though its general provisions imply regulatory governance around traffic enforcement, it does not specifically govern AI-based traffic enforcement and needs additional legal provisions to ensure the responsible use of AI technologies for this use case

3.1 AI-Integrated Traffic Management Systems under Smart Cities Mission

The Smart Cities Mission launched by the Government of India aims for sustainable and inclusive cities through technology-driven urban mobility solutions. The introduction of AI-powered adaptive traffic signals, real-time congestion monitoring, and automated law enforcement systems represent some of the innovative tools being deployed by many smart cities to enhance road safety and tackle traffic bottlenecks. Although these initiatives are tailored to improve an eco-friendly, urbanized environment through their respective efficiencies, the lack of standardized legal guidelines for AI governance based on different jurisdictions brings concerns about consistent adoption, legal accountability, and interoperability of AI-based systems. (IAPP, 2025)

3.2 Policing the Law of the Road: The Gaps in AI Governance

However, the existing legal framework is not sufficient to accommodate the challenges posed by AI governance. Although AI-powered applications like intelligent traffic lights, real-time congestion tracking, and automated enforcement systems have increased traffic management efficacy, the lack of a clear regulatory framework raises questions about accountability, fairness, and consistency in their implementation. An important gap in the current legal architecture is the absence of traffic rules specific to AI. For instance, provisions of laws like the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, and Information Technology Act, 2000 are silent on the role, scope, and limits of AI in traffic management. This leads to confusion in identifying responsibility when system errors, unjust rewards, or AI-related mishaps occur. In the absence of a transparent legal structure, it remains difficult to determine liability for AI developers, enforcement agencies, and traffic authorities. (White & Case, 2025)

A major problem is the lack of ethical AI guidance in traffic law enforcement. There is no clear legal mandate that requires AI algorithms to be fair, transparent, or free from bias. Automated traffic enforcement systems, including AI-powered facial recognition and predictive analytics, could show algorithmic bias and unfairly target specific demographics or types of vehicles. This brings to attention the issue of the lack of legal defeat mechanisms to avoid such discrimination in AI systems enabling penal mechanisms. Furthermore, there are differences in the implementation of AI traffic regulations across the Indian States resulting in inconsistency in enforcement and oversight. Some states and metropolitan areas, including Bengaluru, Mumbai, and Delhi, have adopted AI-powered traffic solutions but many still rely on non-standardized frameworks for AI integration. Fragmented regulation means that some states have better systems of governance for AI than others creating challenges for national shaping of uniform law. (The Economic Times, 2025a)

These AI traffic governance gaps can only be addressed through a comprehensive and harmonized legal framework that defines AI, establishes standards of ethical AI actions, and ensures consistent enforcement of those standards across the jurisdictions. If legal reforms are not adopted ahead of time, the rapid adoption of AI in traffic management could potentially create legal grey zones, enforcement inconsistencies and difficulties in accountability. In the light of these challenges, there is an immediate need for the existing legal framework for AI in traffic management to be reformed to enable it to ensure a balanced approach that neither stifles innovation nor risks legal and ethical considerations. The next sections will detail the major legal and policy issues following the way of the traffic managed by AI and provide the basis for a comprehensive governance model.

IV. KEY LEGAL AND POLICY CHALLENGES IN AI-BASED TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

The integration of While AI in traffic management contains much efficiency and innovation, it also poses vital legal and policy questions. The challenges include data privacy, liability, algorithmic transparency, and

differences in regulation across jurisdictions. It is indeed imperative to address these aspects in order to ensure that traffic systems based on AI can function within a clearly defined legal and ethical framework, while also promoting public confidence and transparency.

AI-driven traffic management systems use a large amount of data, such as information about cars, driving behaviors, location, and identifiers. Real-time data collection through automated number plate recognition (ANPR), sensor-based traffic monitoring, and AI-powered predictive analytics can lead to concerns regarding data security and misuse. (The Times, 2025) Besides, there are no laws that are specific to AI systems in the traffic sector, meaning that the current data protection laws might not be sufficient in light of this new technology, thus leading to the risk of unauthorised access, profiling and surveillance overstepping. With the introduction of the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, India is moving towards a robust data privacy mechanism, however, there remains to be a comprehensive framework of regulation specifically for AI-based traffic enforcement. (Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology [MeitY], 2023)

Facial Recognition Technology (FRT) has been in use in several cities in India for AI enforcement to track down violators and enhance law enforcement efficiency. However, FRT has elicited serious legal and ethical issues of concern, such as mass surveillance, wrongful identification, and invasion of privacy. The lack of specific laws that govern the use of FRT within traffic management creates uncertainty about citizens' rights, the authority of law enforcement, and data retention policies. Moreover, the issues with bias violate ethics and fairness; when over-policing occurs, law enforcement can be biased against certain demographics, which can lead to excessive scrutiny of their movements and behavior and often implies an even faster path to jail for these people. (The Economic Times, 2025b)

The AI-powered traffic systems work autonomously, processing real-time data to understand optimum signal controls, how to manage congestion, law enforcement, and so on. But errors, like misleading drivers as traffic violators by wrong e-challans, and faulty signals have legal implications for these systems. Whether this means AI developers, municipal authorities, or technology vendors are liable remains an open question in the Indian legal framework. Enacted in 1988, the Motor Vehicles Act was primarily drafted with human-driven and operated traffic ecosystems in mind; as a result, it does not address legal culpability in the event of AI malfunction. (Kalagi, Gubbewad, & Aayush, 2024)

As AI-controlled intersections become a reality and autonomous car trials ramp up, the legal framework must ensure that accountability is triggered in the event of a collision. So if an AI-enabled system fails to detect a car or a pedestrian and the result is a collision then who is legally responsible? Unlike human drivers, AI can't be held legally responsible, raising questions of whether the software designer, city leaders, and vehicle makers had a responsibility. The Indian law does not have clear liability provisions, hence there is ambiguity regarding how such scenarios can be dealt with. (Khanderia, 2023)

The use of AI algorithms for traffic enforcement relies on data patterns and machine learning models, which can inadvertently result in discriminatory enforcement. For example, AI-based systems underpinned by historical traffic data may reinforce bias against particular locations or vehicle types, resulting in disproportionate enforcement actions in some areas. Furthermore, the special bias of facial recognition technology can incorrectly identify and penalize individuals, further harming already marginalized communities. No regulation required fairness and bias audit of AI traffic enforcement.

Most AI-based traffic systems fall into the category of black-box models, where it is not possible to see how decisions are made. Transparency in AI algorithms is also considered a concern to be addressed as this raises numerous questions about the fairness, accuracy, and accountability of automated decisions. This issue is further exacerbated when AI algorithms are proprietary or black boxes, resulting in a lack of visibility into the reasoning behind penalty decisions, which makes it difficult for citizens or legal authorities to challenge wrongful penalties. AI-based traffic systems should ensure trust and fairness outcomes from automated enforcement by implementing mandatory explainability and transparency standards. (Geotab, 2024)

Given that India has a federal structure, states can enact localized traffic rules, resulting in who is subject to inconsistent governing of AI across states. Few states have embraced AI in traffic management, while others trail in tech adoption. Regulatory harmonization is urgently needed because the lack of uniform AI traffic laws means that national-level AI law enforcement mechanisms will encounter operational challenges. (Financial Express, 2024)

V. SUGGESTIONS FOR LEGAL AND POLICY REFORMS

Given that integration into traffic systems raises new challenges around data privacy, accountability, algorithmic bias, and cross-jurisdictional inconsistencies, it is crucial to develop a comprehensive legal and policy framework. These are some suggestions that seek to create a holistic model of AI governance that balances the right and the efficiency of AI implemented on traffic use.

There should be a separate AI regulatory framework for traffic management, focusing on specified realms, norms, and ethical practices that ought to be followed for such AI implementations. The framework should include:

Changes in laws to come in (like The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988) in legislation to provide for an AI-based enforcement system.

Traffic regulation processes that rely on AI must be clearly defined and ensure fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.

AI developers, local traffic authorities, and law enforcement agencies will be able to use data from these AI systems based on compliance standards to deploy AI responsibly.

Regular audits and risk assessment of the effectiveness and fairness of AI-driven traffic systems;

Such regulations should be implemented across the country, and a national-level AI governance body should be created to make it possible.

AI-based systems for traffic management depend largely on the collection, processing, and storage of real-time data, which brings significant questions regarding data privacy and security. Although the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 provides a high-level framework for data protection, its provisions do not cover the use of AI-powered mobility in any significant way. AI-based traffic enforcement is an evolving field. To further promote data privacy:

Sector-specific guidelines for data protection must be established that govern the collection, processing, and storage of information about vehicles as well as about persons and their location.

Use data anonymization and encryption standards at all stages of the data processing pipeline to avoid unauthorized access and profiling.

Ensure data retention policies that apply to the traffic data generated by AI do not store personal information indefinitely.

Facilitate informed consent and transparency provisions for AI-based traffic monitoring systems to safeguard individual privacy rights.

Ethical considerations regarding mass surveillance, wrongful penalization, and bias arise from the use of AI-based surveillance mechanisms, including facial recognition technology (FRT), predictive policing, and automated traffic enforcement. Hence, it is important to:

Establish an ethical AI framework in line with international best practices for AI, promoting the values of non-discrimination, transparency, and proportionality in the use of AI in enforcement.

Ensure systems for detection of bias to prevent algorithmic discrimination in automated traffic penalties.

Implement a system of human oversight over key AI judicial functions, both to guarantee fairness and accountability.

Establish stringent legal safeguards around FRT and biometric-based surveillance in traffic enforcement to prevent overreach and misuse.

Using AI-driven traffic management systems, these ethical guidelines must be ensured by legal mandates, so that fundamental rights are not leftovers or exceptions.

AI-based traffic management systems are designed to function independently, making decisions with respect to traffic signal controls, monitoring, and automated challan issuance all without human intervention. But errors like wrong traffic fines and bad signal coordination system errors cause accidents that compel big legal challenges. To improve accountability, the law should:

Have clear liability provisions specifying the accountability of technology builders, law enforcement agencies, and municipalities for AI errors.

Establish grievance redressal mechanisms and citizen representation in the appeals process to challenge erroneous AI traffic violations.

Establish comprehensive risk assessments for AI systems, ensuring that AI-driven traffic systems are subjected to rigorous scrutiny before their implementation.

The judiciary shapes AI governance, for instance, by interpreting applicable existing legal provisions, adjudicating disputes that arise from the utilization of AI, and establishing judicial precedent. In the light of the evolving nature of AI in the context of traffic management, the judiciary must:

Ensure judicial oversight in cases of AI wrongful penology, surveillance overreach, or automated decision-making failure, and encourage the adoption of legal principles that align the mandate of developing technology with ensuring the basic rights of the people.

Advocate for multi-stakeholder collaborations among legal scholars, technologists, and policymakers to articulate regulations that are consistent with our constitutional norms.

Intervene to fill such gaps, interpret legal conflicts surrounding artificial intelligence, and gain a foothold in establishing precedents to protect public rights as traffic management proceeds through artificial intelligence.

VI. CONCLUSION

Leveraging Intelligent AI Systems is a game changer for Urban Mobility, Traffic Flow Optimization, and Enforcement While this promises to be a major advance, its introduction will also create major legal, ethical, and policy challenges that will have to be met to ensure that the new technology is met with an approach that is balanced between technology and regulation. Improving AI integration into India's traffic management system requires a multi-pronged legal and policy approach which includes drafting an AI traffic enforcement framework, which sets forth legal definitions, standards of compliance ethical practices guidelines as well as Implementing and enforcing comprehensive data protection laws with special attention to AI mobility systems that includes privacy safeguards, informed consent, and secure data storage. It is also needed to Strengthen accountability frameworks by specifying the responsibility of AI developers, law enforcement agencies, and local traffic departments in matters of AI-related failures or conflicts. Standardizing AI traffic regulations across various states in India and synchronizing these with international best practices for uniformity in AI-based traffic regulation. There is also a need for promoting adjudication in AI disputes, helping prevent legal approaches that are counterproductive to fundamental rights and values. In this way, India can build an efficient, transparent, and legally sturdy AI-based traffic management ecosystem.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] IndiaAI, "Revolutionizing City Transportation: The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Traffic Management," available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [2] Clickworker, "AI in Traffic Management: Artificial Intelligence Solves Traffic Control Problems," available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [3] Md. Shamim Akhtar et al., "Artificial Intelligence-Based Traffic Congestion Prediction Using Machine Learning Techniques," 14(4) Electronics 719 (2023), available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [4] Hindustan Times, "Bengaluru Introduces AI Traffic Management Systems Across 41 Junctions: Report," available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [5] The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, Act No. 59 of 1988, available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [6] GIGA Institute, "Digital Surveillance and the Threat to Civil Liberties in India," available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [7] Siva Vignesh and Nagarjun D.N., "Legal Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in India's Cyber Law Framework: Examining Data Privacy and Algorithmic Accountability via a Comparative Global Perspective," 6 International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (2024), available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [8] IAPP, "India's Surveillance Landscape After the DPDPA," available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [9] NITI Aayog, "National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence," (2023), available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [10] Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, "Smart Cities Mission: Transforming Urban Landscape," (2023), available at: (last accessed 4 Mar. 2025).
- [11] White & Case, "AI Watch: Global Regulatory Tracker – India," available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).
- [12] The Economic Times, "Bengaluru Police Turns to AI to Beat Traffic Jams, Reduce Travel Time with Fewer Stops," available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).
- [13] The Times, "Amsterdam Abandons Smart Traffic Lights Amid Privacy Fears," available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).

- [14] Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, “Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023,” available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).
- [15] The Economic Times, “NITI Aayog Calls for Policy and Legal Reforms to Regulate Use of Facial Recognition Technology (FRT) in India,” available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).
- [16] Sunil Kalagi, Renuka S. Gubbewad & Aayush, “Legal Liabilities of Artificial Intelligence: An Overview,” *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development* (2024).
- [17] Saloni Khanderia, “Driverless Cars and the Determination of the Manufacturer's Liability for Injuries: Is India Ready?” 32 *Information & Communications Technology Law* 356 (2023), available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).
- [18] Geotab, “Lifting the Curtain: Why Transparency and Accountability Are Crucial in AI,” Geotab Blog (31 October 2024), available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).
- [19] Financial Express, “Sikkim First State in India to Introduce AI-Powered Traffic Management System,” (2024), available at: (last accessed 6 Mar. 2025).

