



COMMUNITY KNOWLEDGE TO CAMPUS INCUBATORS: ETHICAL CHALLENGES IN UNIVERSITY-LED INDIGENOUS ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Ms. K. Krithi Shree,

Ph.D. Research Scholar,

Department of Commerce, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women
Coimbatore.

&

Dr. C. Gomathy,

Assistant Professor,

Department of Commerce, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women
Coimbatore.

ABSTRACT

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) are increasingly being integrated into university-led entrepreneurship and incubation initiatives as sources of sustainable and context-specific innovation. While such engagement creates opportunities for enterprise development and community livelihoods, it also raises critical ethical challenges. Indigenous knowledge is collective, culturally embedded, and intergenerational, whereas campus incubators operate through formalization, codification, and individual ownership models. This structural mismatch often results in ethical tensions related to knowledge extraction, ownership, consent, and benefit sharing. This paper examines the ethical challenges that arise when community-based indigenous knowledge is translated into campus incubation frameworks for entrepreneurial outcomes. . It argues that universities must move beyond their conventional role as startup facilitators and function as ethical intermediaries that mediate between community knowledge holders and market-oriented enterprise systems. By adopting a descriptive approach, the paper contributes to address on indigenous entrepreneurship by specifying the need for ethically grounded institutional practices within higher education-led incubation models.

Keywords

Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Entrepreneurship, Knowledge extraction, University-led incubation.

INTRODUCTION

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) are progressively recognized as valuable sources of sustainable and locally grounded entrepreneurial opportunities. Traditionally rooted in community practices and intergenerational transmission, indigenous knowledge has largely remained outside the formal institutional and market frameworks. However, the expansion of university-led incubation and entrepreneurship initiatives has brought indigenous knowledge into closer engagement with higher education institutions.

Universities, through campus incubators and innovation center's, are now actively facilitating enterprise creation based on traditional practices in areas such as agriculture, food processing, handicrafts, and wellness. While this engagement creates new livelihood opportunities, it also raises important ethical concerns. Indigenous knowledge is collective, context-specific, and culturally embedded, whereas university incubation models are built on codification, individual ownership, intellectual property regimes, and market scalability. This structural mismatch can lead to knowledge extraction, dilution of cultural meaning, and unequal benefit sharing.

In spite of growing academic and policy interest in indigenous entrepreneurship, limited attention has been paid to the ethical role of universities in mediating between community knowledge holders and market-oriented startup systems. This paper addresses this gap by examining the ethical challenges that arise when community-based indigenous knowledge is translated into campus incubators. It argues that universities must function as ethical intermediaries, ensuring responsible knowledge translation while enabling entrepreneurial outcomes that respect community ownership and cultural integrity.

INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE AND ENTREPRENEURIAL TRANSLATION

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) are collective, practice-based, and tied to community identity, making them different from formal scientific knowledge. Translating this knowledge into entrepreneurial products or services known as entrepreneurial translation involves codifying and adapting it for market use. While this creates economic value, it risks detaching knowledge from its cultural context and shifting control from communities to individuals or institutions. Ethical sensitivity turns crucial if translation prioritizes the commercialization over cultural integrity, IKS may be reduced to a mere resource rather than recognized as a living system of practice. Universities play a key role here, as how they manage translation determines whether enterprise creation empowers communities or facilitates appropriation.

CAMPUS INCUBATORS AND INDIGENOUS ENGAGEMENT

University incubators support startups through mentoring, funding, infrastructure, and market access, often favoring individual-driven, tech-focused models. When indigenous knowledge enters these incubators, it must conform to formal business norms like documentation, IP ownership, and commercialization metrics. This can strip knowledge of its collective, cultural, and ecological context, leaving community contributors invisible in ownership structures. Without IKS-specific ethical guidelines, incubators may unintentionally stand-in extractive practices, despite sustainability or inclusion goals. Recognizing these limitations is essential to address the ethical challenges in university-led indigenous entrepreneurship.

ETHICAL CHALLENGES

1. Knowledge Extraction versus Knowledge Partnership

One of the primary ethical challenges arises from the tendency to treat indigenous knowledge as a resource to be extracted rather than as a system requiring partnership. In many university-led entrepreneurial initiatives, community knowledge is accessed through short-term engagements, fieldwork, or documentation exercises without sustained collaboration. This approach risks converting indigenous knowledge into an academic or commercial input, weakening community agency. Ethical engagement requires shifting from extractive models to long-term partnerships that recognize communities as co-creators rather than knowledge suppliers.

2. Ownership, Consent, and Benefit Sharing

Indigenous knowledge is collectively owned and governed by customary norms, whereas campus incubators rely on formal ownership structures and intellectual property claims. This creates ethical tensions regarding consent and benefit sharing. Often, consent is assumed once knowledge is documented or shared, without mechanisms for continuous community approval. Moreover, entrepreneurial benefits frequently accrue to individual founders or institutions, leaving communities marginally rewarded. The absence of clear benefit-sharing arrangements undermines ethical legitimacy and threatens trust between universities and indigenous groups.

3. Individual Entrepreneurship versus Collective Knowledge

University incubation models emphasize individual entrepreneurs and founder-centric success, which conflicts with the collective origins of indigenous knowledge. When community-generated knowledge is transformed into individual ventures, collective contributors become invisible. This not only raises ethical concerns but also disrupts traditional norms of shared responsibility and knowledge stewardship. Ethical challenges emerge when individual recognition overrides collective ownership, leading to misrepresentation of indigenous innovation processes.

4. Commercial Pressure and Cultural Erosion

Entrepreneurial incubation prioritizes market readiness, standardization, and scalability. These pressures can result in the simplification or modification of indigenous practices to suit commercial demands. Such transformations risk eroding cultural meaning and ecological balance embedded in indigenous knowledge. When market logic dominates, the entrepreneurial outcome may undermine the very sustainability and authenticity that indigenous knowledge represents.

5. Power Asymmetry and Institutional Authority

University-led entrepreneurship initiatives operate within strong institutional hierarchies, where academic credentials, funding control, and legal expertise are concentrated within the university system. Indigenous

communities, by contrast, often engage from positions of limited institutional power. This asymmetry influences whose knowledge is validated, whose decisions shape entrepreneurial pathways, and whose interests dominate outcomes. When universities act as gatekeepers to incubation resources and market access, indigenous knowledge holders may have limited influence over how their knowledge is interpreted or commercialized. Ethical challenges arise when institutional authority overrides community autonomy, resulting in symbolic inclusion rather than meaningful participation.

6. Temporal Misalignment between Academic Incubation and Indigenous Knowledge Systems

Campus incubators operate within fixed timelines defined by academic calendars, funding cycles, and startup milestones. Indigenous knowledge systems, however, evolve gradually through long-term practice, seasonal rhythms, and intergenerational transmission. This temporal misalignment creates ethical tensions when communities are pressured to accelerate knowledge sharing or enterprise formation to fit institutional schedules. Rushed translation can lead to incomplete understanding, inappropriate adaptation, and loss of contextual depth. Ethical engagement requires recognizing that indigenous knowledge cannot always be compressed into short-term incubation frameworks without compromising its integrity.

UNIVERSITIES AS ETHICAL INTERMEDIARIES

1. Mediating Knowledge Sharing and Ethical Entrepreneurship

Universities serve as critical intermediaries between indigenous communities and the entrepreneurial ecosystem, balancing knowledge dissemination with ethical responsibility. By acting as facilitators rather than mere knowledge extractors, universities ensure that indigenous knowledge is co-created and jointly leveraged for entrepreneurial outcomes. This involves implementing ethical guidelines for informed consent, documentation, and benefit-sharing, ensuring that communities retain agency over how their knowledge is used. Additionally, universities can design incubation programs that respect traditional practices, prevent misappropriation, and integrate community perspectives into venture development. Through workshops, collaborative research, and participatory business model design, universities provide a structured platform for indigenous communities to engage in entrepreneurship without compromising cultural integrity.

2. Protecting Indigenous Rights while Promoting Commercialization

Universities also play a pivotal role in safeguarding intellectual property rights and ensuring fair economic returns for indigenous knowledge holders. They can establish frameworks for collective IP ownership, licensing agreements, and ethical commercialization strategies that recognize the contributions of entire communities rather than individual entrepreneurs. At the same time, universities can support the market readiness of IKS-based startups by providing incubation, mentorship, and access to funding, ensuring ventures are commercially viable while culturally sensitive. By balancing market pressures with ethical stewardship, universities help prevent cultural erosion, maintain long-term sustainability, and advocate for institutional policies that promote equity, accountability, and the responsible growth of indigenous entrepreneurship.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, university-led initiatives can serve as ethical intermediaries that bridge traditional knowledge and modern entrepreneurial practices, provided that they address power asymmetries, temporal misalignment, and commercialization pressures. By embedding ethics, capacity building, and cultural respect at the core of incubation programs, universities can transform indigenous knowledge into viable entrepreneurial outcomes without compromising community rights or values. Future research and policy design must continue to refine mechanisms that balance innovation, ethics, and equity, ensuring that IKS-driven entrepreneurship remains both profitable and socially responsible.

REFERENCE

1. Ondari-Okemwa, E. (2014). *Ethical issues and indigenous knowledge production and use in sub-Saharan Africa in the 21st century*. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 5(23), 2389.
2. Ayandibu, E. O. (2025). *Integrating indigenous knowledge systems into entrepreneurship education: A South African perspective*. International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science, 14(6), 380-392. <https://ssbfnet.com/ojs/index.php/ijrbs/article/view/4263>
3. Sui, S., Bull, J., & Tahsin, S. 2025. *Navigating Barriers and Opportunities: Indigenous-owned SMEs Driving Sustainable International Trade*. *AIB Insights*, 25(5).

4. Gonzales, S. M. (2025). *Indigenous entrepreneurship: Its status, challenges, and sustainability*. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 3(8), 56-62.
5. Lal, S. K., Srivastava, S., Narayan, V., Pal, N., Kumar, R., & Sinha, S. (2024). Indian knowledge system challenges and its application in higher education for sustainable future development. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, 44(3).
6. Pandey, A., Jayarathne, P. G. S. A., Yadav, A. K., & Chandel, A. (2025). Role of innovation and entrepreneurship in economic diversification. In *Entrepreneurial Ecosystems Driving Economic Transformation and Job Creation* (pp. 57–92). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9486-1.ch003>

