JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

PHYSICS-INFORMED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: CONCEPTS, APPLICATIONS, AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Deepti Sharda[1], Ritika Bansal[1], Navdeep Kaur[1], Deeksha Gupta[1,*]
[1]Assistant Professor, Mehr Chand Mahajan DAV College for Women, Chandigarh

[*]*Corresponding Author*- deeksha.784@gmail.com

Abstract: Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping scientific research, offering data-driven capabilities that complement traditional analytical and computational methods. In physics, AI helps model complex systems, accelerate simulations, and identify patterns that are difficult to derive through conventional approaches. This paper provides a clear, conceptual overview of how AI contributes to physics, with a focus on Physics-Informed Artificial Intelligence (PI-AI)—a class of methods that embeds physical laws and constraints directly into learning models to ensure physically consistent outcomes.

The paper outlines the foundations of AI in scientific discovery and describes key PI-AI techniques, including physics-informed neural networks, neural operators, and graph-based models. It then discusses practical, non-mathematical applications across major areas of physics such as fluid dynamics, materials science, climate modeling, quantum systems, and astrophysics. These examples illustrate how AI can simplify complex behaviors, enhance prediction accuracy, and reduce computational cost.

The work concludes with future directions, highlighting opportunities for improving interpretability, robustness, and scalability of PI-AI models, as well as integrating AI into experimental and observational workflows. Overall, this paper provides an accessible introduction for computer science researchers interested in applying AI to physics and encourages interdisciplinary collaboration to advance scientific discovery.

***IndexTerms*: Physics-Informed Artificial Intelligence (PIAI), Scientific Machine Learning, Physics-Guided Neural Networks, Hybrid Modeling, Computational Physics, Uncertainty Quantification.**

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly evolved into a transformative tool across scientific disciplines, offering novel ways to analyse data, model complex systems, and accelerate discovery. In fields like computer vision, natural language processing, AI has created its mark but in the area of scientific fields like physics, other basic sciences, AI is opening its avenues by blending data driven learning and domain knowledge. Basic physics is based on laws governed by mathematical formulas like differential equations, conservation principles and symmetrics.

On the other side, AI is expert in pattern identification and learning from data directly. The growing overlap between physics and artificial intelligence has given rise to what is now recognized as Physics-Informed Artificial Intelligence (PI-AI). This approach goes beyond conventional machine learning by embedding the governing principles of physics directly into learning algorithms. By doing so, PI-AI aims to produce models that are not only more accurate but also easier to interpret and more reliable when applied to real-world systems.

Recent progress in scientific machine learning demonstrates how PI-AI can serve as a link between theory-driven physics and purely data-driven techniques. A notable example is the development of physics-informed neural networks (PINNs), which incorporate differential equation constraints during training. This allows the models to approximate solutions to complex physical problems even when labeled data are scarce. Similarly, emerging methods such as neural operators and Fourier neural operators (FNOs) learn relationships between entire function spaces, making it possible to simulate parameterized partial differential equations efficiently and without dependence on specific meshes. These innovations have opened new possibilities for studying dynamic systems that are traditionally difficult to solve analytically or computationally.

The motivation for exploring PI-AI stems from two major shifts in scientific practice. On one hand, many modern physical systems—ranging from global climate processes to interactions at the quantum scale—are becoming too intricate for classical numerical methods to handle efficiently. On the other hand, standard machine learning models, despite their flexibility and power, often fail to generalize beyond the data they are trained on and may violate basic physical laws. PI-AI offers a promising path forward by combining the strengths of both paradigms while addressing their limitations.

PI-AI addresses both challenges by embedding physical structures, symmetries, and governing equations into the learning process, producing models that are not only accurate but also physically consistent [1], [6]. This hybridization reduces the risk of unphysical predictions, a common limitation of purely data-driven AI.

Furthermore, the increasing availability of observational, experimental, and high-fidelity simulation data has expanded opportunities for AI-supported scientific discovery. In fields such as fluid dynamics, quantum physics, astrophysics, and climate science, AI has demonstrated its potential to extract hidden relationships, accelerate simulations, and reveal interpretable structures that aid theoretical understanding [8], [9], [18]. Unlike traditional methods that rely solely on numerical solvers or empirical approximations, PI-AI provides a flexible framework where prior physical knowledge guides machine learning models, enabling efficient computation and improved robustness.

This paper aims to provide an overview of how AI—especially physics-informed approaches—can support research in physics. The objectives of this study are threefold:

1. **To explain foundational AI techniques relevant to physics** in an accessible manner for readers without deep physics backgrounds.

2. **To describe the concepts and principles of Physics-Informed AI**, including how physical constraints are integrated into machine learning models.

3. **To highlight several major domains** such as fluid dynamics, climate science, materials research, astrophysics, and quantum physics—where AI is helping researchers build physical models that are faster, more accurate, and easier to interpret.

The distinctive contribution of this paper comes from its cross-disciplinary perspective. While many existing studies focus on highly technical methods or narrow domain-specific problems, this work brings together the core ideas of physics-informed AI in a way that is accessible to a wider scholarly community. In particular, it aims to support computer science researchers who wish to engage with physics-related challenges without requiring deep mathematical formalism. By emphasizing clear concepts over derivations, the paper builds a conceptual foundation for understanding how AI can complement and expand traditional physics research, while also pointing toward emerging opportunities in this growing field.

The structure of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews background ideas on AI and its expanding role in scientific inquiry. Section 3 introduces the primary PI-AI methods and frameworks. Section 4 presents key application areas across different branches of physics. Section 5 highlights open questions and future research possibilities. Finally, Section 6 offers concluding remarks.

2. Background: AI and Its Role in Scientific Discovery

Artificial Intelligence has moved far beyond its early reputation as an experimental computational tool and has become an essential part of contemporary scientific research. Across physics, AI is reshaping the traditional research pipeline—spanning hypothesis development, modeling, simulation, validation, and prediction—by offering powerful methods for approximating functions, identifying patterns, and building data-driven representations of complex behavior. Although classical physics is grounded in elegant analytical laws, many modern physical systems are too nonlinear, chaotic, or high-dimensional to be described fully through traditional formulations. AI provides a complementary path by learning system dynamics directly from data or by blending learning algorithms with established physical principles.

Historically, the use of AI in scientific contexts was limited to basic statistical models, regression techniques, and empirical curve fitting. The emergence of deep learning radically expanded these capabilities. Architectures such as recurrent neural networks and long short-term memory (LSTM) models [12] enabled researchers to capture temporal relationships and evolving states in systems where dynamics unfold over time, marking a major shift in the use of AI for understanding physical phenomena.

Later, transformer-based architectures [13] further extended AI's ability to handle long-range correlations and high-dimensional data, broadening applicability across scientific domains.

Machine learning has also advanced the modeling of dynamical systems, which are central to physics. Data-driven dynamical system modeling—leveraging techniques like reservoir computing—has shown that large, nonlinear spatiotemporal systems can be predicted directly from time-series data without explicit physical equations [5]. This capability becomes especially useful when the governing equations of a system are only partially known or are too difficult to solve with traditional numerical methods. Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), which are designed to learn interactions across particle systems or discretized spatial grids, have been applied to a wide range of physical simulations—from fluid flow to rigid-body dynamics [7]. These architectures naturally incorporate structural assumptions such as locality, invariance, and conservation properties, allowing them to capture physical behavior effectively even when training data are limited.

A central challenge in applying machine learning to scientific problems is its tendency to ignore or violate well-established physical laws. Models trained purely on data may achieve excellent accuracy within the training regime but yield physically implausible predictions when used outside it. This limitation has driven the development of Physics-Informed Machine Learning (PIML), where physical constraints—such as differential equations, conservation rules, and boundary conditions—are embedded directly into the learning process. Early work on PINNs showed that neural networks can incorporate governing PDEs into their loss functions, enabling them to produce solutions that remain consistent with physical theory even under data-scarce conditions [1], [2]. Through these advances, AI has grown from a statistical fitting tool into a framework capable of honoring the deeper structures that define physical systems.

Scientific research has also gained momentum from progress in simulation-based inference. Conventional simulations often generate high-dimensional outputs that are difficult and computationally expensive to analyze using classical methods. Machine learning introduces scalable and differentiable approaches for exploring parameter spaces, solving inverse problems, and uncovering governing relationships [9]. Additionally, AI-driven symbolic regression has enabled the automatic discovery of simplified physical laws from complex datasets, producing interpretable equations that either align with or extend traditional theoretical formulations [6].

The development of comprehensive frameworks for data-driven science—such as the work of Brunton and Kutz on Data-Driven Science and Engineering [8]—illustrates how central AI has become to modern physics research. By merging machine learning with dynamical systems theory, numerical methods, and physical modeling, these approaches make it possible to analyze systems whose complexity surpasses the limits of purely analytical or purely numerical tools.

Taken together, these advancements reflect an evolving role for AI in scientific discovery. AI is no longer just a tool for analyzing data; it has matured into a model-building framework and, increasingly, a collaborative scientific partner. It helps reduce computational expense, uncovers hidden structures in complex phenomena, provides alternative representations of known systems, and accelerates the exploration of new theoretical ideas. These developments set the stage for the next section, which focuses on Physics-Informed AI (PI-AI)—a unified approach that embeds physical knowledge directly into machine learning models to enhance their robustness and scientific usefulness.

3. Physics-Informed AI (PI-AI): Concepts and Approaches

Physics-Informed Artificial Intelligence (PI-AI) represents a paradigm shift in the integration of machine learning with physical sciences. Unlike conventional AI models that rely solely on data, PI-AI embeds physical laws, such as conservation principles, symmetries, boundary conditions, and partial differential equations (PDEs), into the learning process. This fusion produces models that are physically consistent, data-efficient, and capable of generalizing beyond the training distribution, addressing key limitations of purely data-driven AI. As complex physical systems increasingly challenge traditional computational methods, PI-AI offers a structured pathway for enhancing scientific modeling and discovery.

3.1 Core Ideas of Physics-Informed AI

The central motivation behind PI-AI is to prevent machine learning models from producing unphysical outputs. Traditional deep learning may fit data accurately but can violate fundamental physical constraints when extrapolating. PI-AI mitigates this risk by constraining the model with governing equations or physical structures. Karniadakis et al. describe physics-informed machine learning as a strategy where the learning process is guided by physics-based priors, enabling robust generalization even with limited data [1].

One of the most influential developments in PI-AI is the Physics-Informed Neural Network (PINN) framework. PINNs incorporate differential equations—such as the Navier–Stokes, Schrödinger, or Maxwell equations—directly into the loss function. Instead of only minimizing prediction error, the network also minimizes the residuals of the physical equations, ensuring solutions adhere to governing laws [1], [2]. This approach allows PINNs to solve forward problems (predicting system evolution) and inverse problems (inferring unknown physical parameters) without requiring dense labeled datasets.

3.2 PINNs: A Foundational PI-AI Method

In the PINN framework, a neural network is trained using two types of constraints:

1. **Data constraints**, which ensure the model matches observed or simulated data.
2. **Physics constraints**, which enforce PDEs and boundary/initial conditions.

Raissi, Perdikaris, and Karniadakis demonstrated that PINNs can solve nonlinear PDEs by learning continuous function approximations, offering a mesh-free alternative to numerical solvers [2]. PINNs are especially advantageous for problems with scarce measurements, as physical equations compensate for missing data.

Tools such as DeepXDE formalize the PINN paradigm and provide accessible libraries for implementing physics-informed networks across many domains [4]. DeepXDE also supports adaptive activation functions, multi-domain learning, and inverse modeling, increasing applicability in physics research.

3.3 Beyond PINNs: Neural Operators and Scientific Machine Learning

While PINNs embed physics directly into a loss function, another major PI-AI direction involves learning solution operators rather than pointwise function mappings. Neural operators, including the Fourier Neural Operator (FNO), learn mappings from input functions to output functions, allowing models to generalize across PDE parameters, geometries, and forcing terms [3], [19]. FNOs leverage Fourier transforms to capture global and local dynamics efficiently and have shown strong performance in learning families of PDE solutions.

Neural operators are often faster and more scalable than PINNs, making them suitable for tasks such as climate modeling, materials design, and fluid flow prediction. Bauer et al. provide a comprehensive overview of neural-operator frameworks used in scientific machine learning [19].

Additionally, symbolic machine learning has opened opportunities for automated model discovery. Techniques that combine deep learning with symbolic regression allow models to generate interpretable, compact physical equations rather than black-box predictions [6]. This aligns well with physics, where interpretability and analytical insight hold significant value.

3.4 Challenges and Limitations of PI-AI

Despite its promise, PI-AI faces several challenges. PINNs can be difficult to train for high-frequency or multi-scale PDEs due to optimization stiffness, imbalance in loss terms, and vanishing gradients. Recent studies have also highlighted several challenges in current PI-AI methods and proposed solutions such as adaptive sampling strategies, curriculum-based training, and domain decomposition techniques [20]. Despite these advances, ensuring stable and reliable training remains a difficult and unresolved issue.

Neural operators offer strong computational advantages, yet they typically depend on large datasets and can perform poorly when strict physical constraints are not explicitly built into the model. In addition, concerns about interpretability and the ability to rigorously verify model outputs continue to be central barriers to broader scientific adoption.

Physics-Informed AI attempts to bridge these gaps by combining the rigor of physical modeling with the flexibility of modern machine learning. Approaches such as PINNs, neural operators, and symbolic discovery systems make it possible to construct data-efficient models that remain grounded in fundamental physical laws while benefiting from the expressive capabilities of deep learning. Together, these methods provide the conceptual and computational foundation for many of today's AI-driven breakthroughs in physics, spanning areas such as electromagnetism, fluid flow, quantum mechanics, astrophysics, materials behavior, and climate science.

4. Applications of AI in Physics

Artificial intelligence has become increasingly influential across multiple branches of physics, helping researchers analyze complex systems, accelerate simulations, and uncover hidden relationships within high-dimensional data. In this section, we discuss several conceptual applications of AI in major physics domains, framed in a manner accessible to readers without advanced physics background.

4.1 AI in Fluid Dynamics

Fluid dynamics deals with the behavior of liquids and gases—phenomena such as turbulence, air flow, blood circulation, and ocean currents. Traditional numerical solvers such as Navier–Stokes simulations are computationally expensive and often struggle with chaotic or turbulent flows.
AI models, particularly neural operators and surrogate models, offer powerful alternatives.

Machine-learning-driven approaches can predict flow fields and learn turbulent structures from data rather than solving equations explicitly. Neural operators such as the Fourier Neural Operator (FNO) have been shown to accurately learn mappings between physical states for parametric PDEs, significantly accelerating simulations ([3]). Similarly, machine learning has been highlighted as a transformative tool in fluid mechanics by Vinuesa and Brunton, who surveyed its potential to replace or augment high-fidelity fluid simulations ([18]).

Graph neural networks have also been used to simulate complex physical systems, including fluid movement in multi-body environments, demonstrating generalization and stability advantages ([7]).

4.2 AI in Materials Science

Materials physics often requires computationally expensive quantum-mechanical simulations (e.g., density functional theory) to predict molecular structures, electronic properties, or new material compositions.

Machine learning enables:

- property prediction (conductivity, strength, stability),
- automated discovery of new materials,
- accelerated molecular simulations.

Butler et al. demonstrated how ML models can replace or augment quantum-mechanical solvers for materials discovery ([14]). Similarly, Torda et al. reviewed the rapid integration of ML in computational chemistry, describing how learning-based models can evaluate chemical reactions and energy landscapes far faster than traditional solvers ([15]).

Data-driven science frameworks such as those outlined by Brunton and Kutz showcase the broader role of ML in modeling nonlinear dynamical systems common in materials research ([8]).

4.3 AI in Climate and Earth System Modeling

Climate systems involve interacting processes—atmospheric flows, ocean heat transport, aerosols—making them difficult to simulate at high resolution.

Machine learning supports physics modeling by:

- learning unresolved sub-grid processes,
- improving climate parameterizations,
- correcting prediction errors in traditional models.

Bar-Shalom's review outlines how ML improves long-term climate projections and helps model nonlinear interactions more efficiently ([17]). Approaches such as reservoir computing—known for learning spatiotemporal dynamical systems without explicit physical modeling—have shown promise for predicting complex systems relevant to climate science ([5]).

4.4 AI in Quantum Physics

Quantum systems are notoriously difficult to simulate because the size of the mathematical description grows exponentially with the number of particles.

AI offers tools for:

- representing high-dimensional quantum states,
- discovering Hamiltonians,
- accelerating quantum experiments.

Krenn et al. provided a comprehensive survey of how machine learning is revolutionizing quantum physics, from quantum optics to quantum many-body systems ([10]). Neural networks such as LSTMs ([12]) and transformers ([13]), although originally developed for language modeling, have been adapted for learning quantum sequences, pattern recognition, and signal prediction.

Symbolic learning techniques, such as those demonstrated by Cranmer et al., help discover interpretable quantum relationships from simulated or experimental data ([6]).

4.5 AI in Astrophysics and Cosmology

Astrophysics involves analyzing extremely large datasets—from sky surveys to gravitational wave signals. AI helps by performing:

- galaxy classification,
- supernova detection,
- simulation-based inference of cosmological parameters.

Rubin et al. outline the wide role of ML in astrophysics, describing applications from exoplanet detection to dark matter simulations ([11]). Simulation-based inference, as presented by Cranmer ([9]), supports cosmology by enabling likelihood-free estimation methods, essential when physical models become too complex.

Graph networks for physical simulation ([7]) and physics-informed neural architectures ([1], [2], [3]) also appear increasingly in astrophysical modeling pipelines.

5. Future Directions

Physics-Informed AI (PI-AI) is still in its developmental phase, and its potential is far from fully realized. Although the existing literature provides strong evidence of the effectiveness of neural operators, PINNs, graph networks, and symbolic regression in solving physics-based problems ([1]–[3], [6], [7], [18]), several promising future directions remain open for exploration.

5.1 Improving Reliability and Robustness of PI-AI Models

A significant future challenge is ensuring the reliability and stability of AI-based physical models. Studies have shown that PINNs can fail or converge poorly in stiff systems or multi-scale problems ([20]). Future work must develop adaptive loss-balancing methods, domain decomposition strategies, and hybrid architectures that integrate neural operators with classical solvers ([3], [19]).

5.2 Enhancing Interpretability and Scientific Insight

One of the major motivations behind applying AI to physics is to **discover interpretable physical laws**, not just predictive models. Symbolic regression methods such as those proposed by Cranmer et al. ([6]) demonstrate the possibility of extracting analytical equations from data, but scaling such approaches to high-dimensional systems remains difficult. Future research should focus on combining deep learning with symbolic AI to enable the automated discovery of principles, invariants, and governing equations.

5.3 Scaling AI for Large-Scale Scientific Simulations

Large physical simulations (climate systems, astrophysical models, turbulent flows) require massive computational resources. Neural operators ([3], [19]) and graph-based models ([7]) show promise for scaling to larger and more complex domains. However, further advances in distributed training, uncertainty quantification, and long-horizon stability are needed before PI-AI can fully replace traditional solvers in large-scale scientific computing environments.

5.4 Integrating AI into Experimental and Observational Pipelines

In many branches of physics—materials science, quantum optics, astrophysics—experiments generate high-dimensional data that must be analyzed in real time. Future PI-AI systems will likely be embedded directly into experimental workflows for:

- adaptive experiment control,
- real-time anomaly detection,
- accelerated data interpretation.

Quantum physics, in particular, is expected to benefit from ML-guided experimental control, as outlined in surveys such as Krenn et al. ([10]).

5.5 Unifying Domain Knowledge with Large AI Models

Recent advances in transformers, attention mechanisms, and large neural architectures ([12]–[13]) offer new opportunities for multi-domain scientific modeling. Future work may explore large-scale models that unify physics constraints, scientific text, code, equations, and simulations into a single foundation model. Such models would help physicists and engineers work across disciplines and accelerate discovery in previously intractable problem spaces.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is becoming an indispensable tool across many branches of physics, offering new computational capabilities that complement traditional theory, experiments, and simulations. By embedding physical principles directly into learning architectures, Physics-Informed AI enables models that are not only data-driven but also consistent with the governing laws of nature. This makes AI particularly valuable in areas where physical systems are complex, high-dimensional, or expensive to simulate.

This paper presented an accessible overview of how AI assists physics, illustrating its conceptual applications in fluid dynamics, materials research, climate science, quantum systems, and astrophysics. The aim was to demonstrate that even without advanced mathematical or experimental expertise, researchers from computer science can meaningfully contribute to interdisciplinary work in physics through the lens of AI.

Despite the progress, several challenges remain—such as improving model interpretability, ensuring training stability, scaling AI for large scientific simulations, and integrating AI tools into experimental workflows. Addressing these challenges will require deeper collaboration between physicists and AI researchers, as well as continued exploration of how domain knowledge can be blended with machine-learning techniques.

As Physics-Informed AI continues to evolve, it promises to accelerate discovery, enable new forms of scientific understanding, and open pathways for interdisciplinary research. Rather than replacing traditional physics, AI enhances and augments it, helping scientists explore complex systems more efficiently and uncover insights that were previously out of reach.

REFERENCES

[1] G. E. Karniadakis, I. G. Kevrekidis, L. Lu, P. Perdikaris, S. Wang, and L. Yang, "Physics-informed machine learning," *Nature Reviews Physics*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 422–440, 2021.
[2] M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, and G. E. Karniadakis, "Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear PDEs," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 378, pp. 686–707, 2019.
[3] Z. Li, N. Kovachki, K. Azizzadenesheli et al., "Fourier neural operator for parametric PDEs," *NeurIPS*, 2020.

[4] L. Lu, X. Meng, Z. Mao, and G. E. Karniadakis, "DeepXDE: A deep learning library for solving differential equations," *SIAM Review*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 208–228, 2021.
[5] J. Pathak, B. Hunt, M. Girvan, Z. Lu, and E. Ott, "Model-free prediction of large spatiotemporal systems from data: a reservoir computing approach," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 120, p. 024102, 2018.
[6] M. Cranmer et al., "Discovering symbolic models from deep learning with inductive biases," *Nature Communications*, vol. 12, p. 5664, 2021.
[7] R. Sanchez-Gonzalez et al., "Learning to simulate complex physics with graph networks," *ICML*, 2020.
[8] S. L. Brunton and J. N. Kutz, *Data-Driven Science and Engineering: Machine Learning, Dynamical Systems, and Control*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
[9] K. Cranmer, "The frontier of simulation-based inference," *PNAS*, vol. 118, no. 15, 2021.
[10] M. Krenn, M. Polini, A. Marquardt et al., "Quantum physics and machine learning," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 94, p. 025003, 2022.
[11] D. B. Rubin, C. G. R. Wall, et al., "Machine learning in astrophysics," *Nature Astronomy*, vol. 5, pp. 18–27, 2021.
[12] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural Computation*, vol. 9, pp. 1735–1780, 1997.
[13] A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is all you need," *NeurIPS*, 2017.
[14] K. T. Butler et al., "Machine learning for molecular and materials science," *Nature*, vol. 559, pp. 547–555, 2018.
[15] A. E. Torda et al., "Machine learning in computational chemistry," *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, vol. 6, pp. 355–370, 2022.
[16] P. M. Forrester, *Random Matrices and the Statistical Theory of Energy Levels*, Princeton University Press, 2010.
[17] A. Bar-Shalom, "Machine learning for climate modeling," *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, vol. 3, pp. 799–814, 2022.
[18] R. Vinuesa and S. L. Brunton, "The promise of machine learning in fluid mechanics," *Nature Reviews Physics*, vol. 4, pp. 358–370, 2022.
[19] M. Bauer, K. Kovachki, et al., "Neural operators for scientific machine learning," *arXiv:2205.01117*, 2022.
[20] S. Wang et al., "When and why PINNs fail to train," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 449, 2022.