



ASSESSING TALENT MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS IN PROMOTING DIVERSITY AT THE WORKPLACE.

SUBMITTED BY

Name of student

ADITYA BAWA -11901266

SHAMBHAV SUMAN-11810751

AKSHITA ROHILLA -11916704

Name of Supervisor

VANDNA MUNJAL-30885

ABSTRACT

This abstract presents a comprehensive examination of talent management strategies aimed at fostering diversity within organizational settings. In today's globalized and interconnected world, diversity has emerged as a crucial aspect of workplace dynamics, impacting organizational performance, innovation, and competitiveness. Despite its recognized importance, many organizations struggle to effectively manage diversity within their talent pool. This study seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of talent management practices in promoting diversity at the workplace.

The research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analyses to gain a multifaceted understanding of the subject matter. Qualitative methods involve in-depth interviews with HR professionals, diversity officers, and organizational leaders to explore their perspectives on talent management strategies and their impact on diversity initiatives. Additionally, quantitative surveys will be distributed among employees to assess their perceptions of diversity-related practices and their alignment with organizational goals. Key dimensions of talent management effectiveness, such as recruitment and selection processes, training and development programs, performance management systems, and leadership initiatives, will be examined in

relation to diversity promotion. The study will also investigate potential barriers and challenges hindering the successful implementation of diversity initiatives within organizations.

Through a systematic analysis of data collected from multiple sources, this research aims to provide insights into best practices for talent management in promoting diversity and fostering inclusive workplaces. The findings will contribute to the existing body of knowledge on diversity management and offer practical recommendations for organizations striving to create diverse and equitable work environments. Ultimately, the study seeks to inform HR practitioners, organizational leaders, and policymakers about effective strategies for enhancing diversity and promoting organizational success in today's diverse workforce landscape.

CHAPTER -1

JETIR

INTRODUCTION.

Cultivating a truly inclusive and diverse workplace demands a holistic approach to talent management. This starts at the recruitment doorstep, where diverse sourcing strategies should cast a wide net, attracting talent from various backgrounds through multiple channels. Inclusive job descriptions, free from biased language, broaden the applicant pool. To combat unconscious biases in selection, diverse hiring panels become essential. But shouldn't end there. Comprehensive training programs must address diversity, equity, and inclusion for all employees, fostering cultural competency and understanding. Mentorship and sponsorship initiatives empower individuals from underrepresented groups to thrive. Performance management needs a makeover too, adopting objective criteria and embracing 360-degree feedback to recognize and reward inclusive behavior. Finally, succession planning must actively consider a diverse talent pool for leadership roles, coupled with transparent promotion processes that ensure equal opportunities for advancement. By infusing every stage of the talent management lifecycle with these principles, organizations can weave a tapestry of differences, where innovation flourishes and success thrives. When evaluating how well talent management encourages diversity in the workplace, the employees involved in the evaluation process can vary depending on the organization's structure and practices.

Here are key stakeholders who might be involved:

- Human Resources Professionals.
- Diversity Officers or Managers.
- Executive Leadership.
- Managers and Supervisors.
- Employees at Various Levels.
- Employee Resource Groups.
- Training and Development Professionals.
- Recruitment Team.

- Legal and Compliance Experts.
- External Consultants or Auditors.
- Customer or Client Representatives.
- Union Representatives.

TALENT

Talent, that hidden spark within, ignites exceptional performance across all fields. It's not just a brushstroke or a touchdown; it's the architect's vision, the scientist's breakthrough, the leader's rallying cry. From the canvas to the boardroom, talent blooms in diverse forms – creativity, intellect, leadership, and technical wizardry. Recognizing this inherent magic is the key to unlocking individual and organizational potential. Effective talent management is a nurturing dance. We identify unique abilities, fan the flames of their development, and then strategically match skill to purpose. In recruitment, it broadens our search. In development, it fuels growth. In leadership, it builds bridges to success. By understanding and leveraging talent, we spark innovation, achieve goals, and write a legacy of excellence in every field.

OR

- Talent is a multifaceted concept that can be defined in various ways depending on the context and perspective.

Here are some key definitions:

- I. **Natural aptitude or ability:** This definition focuses on innate or inherited characteristics that predispose an individual to excel in specific areas. This often refers to exceptional skills, intelligence, or creativity.
- II. **Acquired skill or knowledge:** This perspective emphasizes the role of learning and practice in developing talent. Individuals acquire skills and knowledge through various means like education, experience, and training.
- III. **Potential for growth and development:** This definition highlights the individual's ability to learn, adapt, and improve over time. It recognizes that talent is not fixed but rather dynamic and can be cultivated and nurtured.
- IV. **Unconventional or exceptional characteristics:** This definition acknowledges that talent can manifest in diverse forms, not necessarily conforming to traditional standards. It encompasses unique strengths, unusual abilities, and unconventional perspectives.
- V. **Holistic combination of factors:** This definition recognizes that talent arises from a complex interplay of various factors, including natural abilities, acquired skills, personality traits, and environmental influences.

TALENT MANAGEMENT

Talent management is a strategic organizational approach that involves attracting, developing, and retaining individuals with exceptional skills and potential. It goes beyond traditional human resource practices by placing a strong emphasis on recognizing and nurturing unique capabilities within the workforce. Talent management encompasses various stages of an employee's lifecycle, from recruitment to retirement. It begins with the

identification of high-potential individuals during the hiring process, ensuring that the organization brings in diverse talents that align with its goals.

Once talent is onboarded, effective talent management involves continuous development through training programs, mentorship, and targeted assignments that help individuals reach their full potential. This process not only benefits the employees but also contributes to the overall success of the organization by cultivating a skilled and engaged workforce.

WORKPLACE DIVERSITY

Workplace diversity is a critical aspect of modern organizational dynamics, encompassing a rich tapestry of differences among employees that goes beyond traditional demographic categories. Inclusive workplaces recognize and celebrate the unique qualities and perspectives individuals bring based on factors such as race, gender, age, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability, and more. Embracing diversity is not merely a matter of compliance but a strategic imperative that cultivates a dynamic and innovative environment. Diverse teams offer a broad spectrum of skills, experiences, and ideas, driving creativity and adaptability. Moreover, a diverse workplace enhances decision-making processes, as varied perspectives contribute to more comprehensive problem-solving. Organizations that prioritize workplace diversity often experience increased employee engagement, improved talent attraction and retention, and enhanced relations with a diverse customer base. Creating an inclusive culture involves fostering a sense of belonging, implementing equitable policies, and promoting ongoing education on diversity and. Ultimately, workplace diversity is a cornerstone for organizational success in an ever-evolving global landscape.

KEY ASPECTS OF WORKPLACE DIVERSITY.

DEMOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY:

- **Gender Diversity:** Ensuring a balance between male and female employees.
- **Age Diversity:** Embracing a mix of generations, from younger to older workers.
- **Racial and Ethnic Diversity:** Fostering an environment that includes individuals from various racial and ethnic backgrounds.

INCLUSIVE CULTURE:

- Creating a workplace culture that values and respects differences.
- Promoting open communication and a sense of belonging for all employees.

BENEFITS OF DIVERSITY:

- **Innovation:** Diverse teams bring a variety of perspectives and ideas, fostering creativity and innovation.
- **Problem-Solving:** Different viewpoints enhance the ability to tackle challenges and solve problems effectively.
- **Global Perspective:** Reflecting diverse backgrounds is particularly important for organizations with a global reach.

DIVERSITY AND INITIATIVES:

- Implementing programs and policies to actively promote diversity and.
- Providing training to raise awareness and build cultural competence among employees.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES:

- Ensuring that all employees have equal opportunities for career development, advancement, and leadership roles.

EMPLOYEE RESOURCE GROUPS (ERGS):

- Establishing ERGs or affinity groups to support and connect employees with shared characteristics or backgrounds.

MITIGATING BIAS AND DISCRIMINATION:

- Implementing measures to address unconscious bias and prevent discrimination in hiring, promotions, and day-to-day interactions.

MEASURING AND TRACKING PROGRESS:

- Establishing metrics to assess the effectiveness of diversity initiatives.
- Regularly reviewing and adapting strategies based on feedback and outcomes.

VALUING DIVERSITY:

- ⇒ Recognizing and appreciating the unique qualities, experiences, and perspectives that everyone brings to the group.

EQUITABLE TREATMENT:

- ⇒ Ensuring that all individuals have equal access to opportunities, resources, and benefits, regardless of their background.

CREATING A SENSE OF BELONGING:

- ⇒ Establishing an environment where everyone feels accepted, respected, and comfortable being themselves.

OPEN COMMUNICATION:

⇒ Encouraging and facilitating open and honest communication among all members of the group.

FAIR POLICIES AND PRACTICES:

⇒ Implementing policies and practices that are fair and unbiased, addressing systemic barriers and promoting equal access.

COLLABORATION AND TEAMWORK:

⇒ Fostering a collaborative and supportive atmosphere where individuals work together, leveraging their diverse strengths.

ADAPTING TO DIVERSITY:

⇒ Being flexible and adaptable to different needs and styles, recognizing that diversity extends beyond visible characteristics.

DISMANTLING STEREOTYPES AND BIASES:

⇒ Actively challenging and dismantling stereotypes, prejudices, and biases that may exist within the group.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION:

⇒ Providing education and training to raise awareness about diversity and to build cultural competence among members.

LEADERSHIP COMMITMENT:

⇒ Demonstrating leadership commitment to both in words and actions, to set an example for the entire organization.

FACTORS AFFECTING TALENT MANAGEMENT DIVERSITY.**ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS:**

⇒ Leadership commitment: Visible champions, accountability for inclusive workplace.

⇒ Goals and metrics: Clear targets and tracking progress on diversity and .

⇒ Inclusive culture: Welcoming and respectful environment for all backgrounds.

⇒ Unconscious bias training: Addressing biases to foster fairer treatment.

⇒ Mentorship and sponsorship: Connecting diverse employees with senior leaders for career advancement.

⇒ Employee resource groups: Providing support and networking opportunities.

TALENT ACQUISITION FACTORS:

⇒ Diverse talent pool: Reaching out to various sources beyond usual channels.

⇒ Inclusive recruitment practices: Diverse interview panels, bias-free job descriptions.

⇒ Unbiased hiring process: Meritocratic approach based on qualifications.

TALENT DEVELOPMENT FACTORS:

⇒ Equal access to training and development: Opportunities for all, regardless of background.

⇒ Career development programs: Supporting diverse employees' career progression.

⇒ Unbiased performance management: Free from bias and focused on fair evaluation.

TALENT RETENTION FACTORS:

⇒ **Work-life balance programs:** Helping employees manage personal and professional commitments.

⇒ **Flexible work arrangements:** Remote work, flexible hours to accommodate diverse needs.

⇒ **Fair compensation and benefits:** Equitable pay and benefits for all employees.

⇒ **Positive work environment:** Free from harassment and discrimination.

⇒ **Opportunities for advancement:** Open access to career growth for all.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS:

⇒ **Industry trends:** Keeping pace with changing expectations and best practices.

⇒ **Legal and regulatory environment:** Compliance with relevant laws and regulations.

⇒ **Technology:** Leveraging tools to promote diversity and initiatives.

⇒ **Data analysis:** Measuring and tracking progress towards D&I goals.

CHAPTER -2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

TITLE: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-DRIVEN TALENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM: EXPLORING THE RISKS AND OPTIONS FOR CONSTRUCTING A THEORETICAL FOUNDATION.

AUTHORS: ALI FAQIHI, SHAH JAHAN MIAH (2023)

AI (Artificial intelligence) has the potential to improve strategies to talent management by implementing advanced automated systems for workforce management. AI can make this improvement a reality. The objective of this study is to discover the new requirements for generating a new AI-oriented artefact so that the issues pertaining to talent management are effectively addressed. The design artefact is an intelligent Human Resource Management (HRM) automation solution for talent career management primarily based on a talent intelligent module. Improving connections between professional assessment and planning features is the key goal of this initiative. Utilizing a design science methodology, we investigate the use of organized machine learning approaches.

TITLE: THE IMPORTANCE OF WORKFORCE DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT AS A GLOBAL IMPERATIVE – A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

AUTHORS: MISHLIN THAHER NWEISER, KRISZTINA DAJNOKI (2022)

Workforce diversity is an international phenomenon in developed and developing countries. Diversity is an issue that has gotten a lot of attention in many fields; diversity and inclusion have become accepted as a global business topic. Workplace diversity (WPD) is a holistic concept that refers to company employees' disparities. It refers to the complex physical, sociological, and psychological characteristics characterizing an individual or group, such as gender, race, sexual orientation, and religious or political beliefs. Academics and practitioners alike are paying increased attention to workforce diversity. This research aims to investigate and provide a conceptual understanding and significance of diversity, especially workplace diversity in different countries; the literature also examines their methodology to investigate workforce diversity.

TITLE: MANAGING WORKFORCE DIVERSITY: A CRITICAL REVIEW AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.

AUTHORS: CHRISTINA MORFAKI, ALEXANDRA MORFAKI (2022)

This document highlights the need for organizations to move beyond superficial diversity efforts and focus on true diversity, which requires significant cultural and operational changes. Organizations need to address the unique experiences and challenges faced by individuals from diverse and marginalized groups, requiring a more thoughtful approach to diversity that goes beyond simple categorization. This document examines human resources practices related to diversity. We recommend inclusive hiring, diversity training, and combating discrimination. This highlights the benefits of diversity for organizations. Managing diversity is difficult due to barriers such as bias, resistance, accountability, and limited resources.

TITLE: EFFECTS OF WORKPLACE DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT ON ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS.

AUTHORS: OTIKE, FREDRICK; MESSAH, OMBOI BERNARD; MWALEKWA, FRANCIS KITATU (2022)

The study sought to establish effects of workforce diversity management on the organizational effectiveness selected branches of Kenya commercial bank limited. Specifically, the study sought to establish the extent of demographic diversity, extent of social-cultural diversity, the management of diversity at the bank's branches and how the management of the workforce diversity affect organizational effectiveness. The Study adopted a descriptive research design. The study population consisted of all branches of KCB limited in five countries in the East African region. A sample size of 100 respondents was selected. The internet mail survey had a 60% response rate. Data collection was by use of questionnaire through internet mail. Analysis was by use of descriptive and inferential statistics.

TITLE: THE ROLE OF CULTURAL DIVERSITY AND HOW THEY IMPACT WORK TEAM PERFORMANCE

AUTHORS: JACOB CHERIAN, VILAS GAIKAR (2020)

Cultural diversity plays a major role in the productivity of a corporation in this thriving business world. The workplace is a platform where individuals from different backgrounds come together to work and share an

organization's goals and objectives. This research focuses on the impact of cultural diversity on work team performance in Abu Dhabi University and whether the multicultural environment results in a positive, negative or dual impact. Quantitative and qualitative approaches were used in order to determine the impact and the research findings showed that a dual impact of culturally diverse teams is present in Abu Dhabi University. The results that were analyzed are specific to Abu Dhabi University and will differ from business to business because of cultural diversity.

TITLE: CONTROLLING MANAGEMENT TO DELIVER DIVERSITY: PROSPECTS AND LIMITS.

AUTHORS: Mike Noon, Emmanuel Ogbonna (2020)

This research explores how leaders prioritize diversity within an organization, with the aim of closing the gap between statement and achievement. It focuses on executive control over managers to promote diversity, particularly in relation to gender and ethnicity in personnel development. Drawing on agency theory, this study interviewed managers and senior managers of the UK division of a multinational organization. The results highlight how mandatory diversity training, goals, and monitoring can motivate managers to adopt progressive actions. However, this influence is ultimately limited by management discretion. The actions and stories of managers reveal the limitations of control mechanisms and the tensions in approaches that combine attitude change with behavioral control. Management autonomy reduces the gap in the implementation of equality, but it is still difficult to achieve complete closure.

TITLE: WHAT WORKS TO INCREASE DIVERSITY? A MULTI-LEVEL APPROACH

AUTHORS: NICOLE M. STEPHENS, LAUREN A. RIVERA, AND SARAH S. M. TOWNSEND (2019)

Bias is a pervasive problem that organizations must address if they want to increase the diversity of their employees. Although many organizations rely on a one-time bias or diversity training to address this problem, research clearly shows that diversity training does not work to reduce bias over time, nor does it increase diversity. What, then, can well-intentioned organizations do to make long term changes that will increase the diversity of their employees? To answer this important question, we reviewed research across disciplines to propose a multilevel model for reducing bias--one that addresses bias at both the individual level (e.g., attitudes and behavior) and organizational level (e.g., hiring and promotion practices).

TITLE: IMPLEMENTING TALENT MANAGEMENT AND ITS EFFECT ON EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

AUTHORS: NARMEEN KAMEL (2019)

Over the next decade, attracting and retaining skilled workers will be one of the biggest challenges to oil and gas industry success. Talent management is a business strategy that organizations believe will enable them to retain their top talented employees and improve organization's performance. It is the process of effectively hiring the right talent, preparing them to take up top positions in future, assessing and managing their performance and preventing them from leaving the organization. The performance of every organization depends on the performance of their employees. If the employees have unique competencies which the competitors cannot replicate, the organization automatically gains a competitive edge over its competitors. So, for managing this unique human capital, the organizations are focusing on creating effective systems and processes for talent management and the approaches to assessing current talent management efforts, identifying gaps and opportunities, and developing integrated action plans that is results-oriented and that can be aligned with each company's unique structure and long-term goals.

TITLE: CONCLUSION: DIVERSITY INTELLIGENCE AS A CORE OF DIVERSITY TRAINING AND LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT

AUTHORS: CLARETHA HUGHES (2018)

Leadership development stands as a fundamental component within organizational training, education, and career management strategies. Despite its integral role, there exists a noticeable gap wherein leaders are not effectively applying the knowledge acquired about protected class employees during leadership development initiatives within the workplace. The disconnect between the insights gained through leadership development and their practical implementation in organizational settings poses a challenge to the intended transfer of skills and understanding. This phenomenon underscores the need for a more seamless integration of leadership development outcomes into day-to-day leadership practices within the workplace.

TITLE: DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION IN THE WORKPLACE: EMPLOYEE PERCEPTION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR INTERNAL BRAND MANAGEMENT.

AUTHORS: ANETA SZYMANSKA, DENIS ALDIGHERI (2017)

The authors highlight the importance of these topics in today's rapidly changing world and the need for organizations to leverage inclusion as a strategy for success. The authors argue that internal brand management plays an important role in creating a favorable psychological environment within an organization and suggest that companies need to leverage communication strategies to turn employees into brand ambassadors. This includes conducting brand research with customers and employees to understand what makes your brand stand out and how people emotionally connect with it. The document also highlights the need for companies to promote diversity and inclusion through a variety of strategies, including: Education, open policies, accurate assessment of staffing needs, integration of diversity into corporate strategy, and effective communication to make diverse employees brand ambassadors.

TITLE: DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT AS A PART OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY POLICY.**AUTHORS: MARTA STAROSTKA-PATYK, PIOTR TOMSKI, MARCIN ZAWADA (2015)**

Diversity management remains a peripheral concern within transportation and logistics companies, hindering the industry from fully capitalizing on the substantial advantages offered by gender and cultural diversity. Despite this, certain enterprises within the logistics sector demonstrate commendable practices that prioritize and maintain diversity. Notably, Deutsche Post DHL (DP-DHL) stands out as a company actively managing diversity at an advanced level. This paper seeks to underscore the significance of diversity management within the framework of corporate social responsibility and responsible human resource management. Additionally, it endeavors to shed light on the specific diversity management practices employed by DP-DHL, showcasing them as exemplary models in the industry.

Title: IS DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT SUFFICIENT? ORGANIZATIONAL TO FURTHER PERFORMANCE.**Author: Meghna Sabharwal (2014)**

This study examines organizational beyond diversity management in public administration. Although previous research has acknowledged the importance of, no research has empirically examined its relationship with performance. Data from a Texas study shows that diversity management alone does not improve workplace performance. What is needed is an approach that prioritizes employee engagement by considering their opinions

and promoting self-esteem. A productive workplace occurs when employees are encouraged to express their opinions and are consulted when making important decisions. This requires supportive leadership and the provision of information and resources as employees make work-related decisions.

TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCE DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN THE AUSTRALIAN MANUFACTURING SECTOR.

AUTHORS: BRIAN D'NETTO, JIE SHEN, JOHN CHELLIAH, MANJIT MONGA (2014)

This study examines employee perceptions of the benefits and challenges associated with workforce diversity, as well as human resources (HR) diversity management practices in place in Australian manufacturing industries. In contrast to overt discrimination, our findings demonstrate that among Australian employers who have not yet recognized and exploited workforce diversity as a potential source of competitive advantage, this suggests that the law-abiding approach is

widespread. This research highlights the untapped potential for Australian manufacturing to embrace and realize the benefits of workforce diversity.

TITLE: CONCEPTUALIZING INCLUSIVE TALENT MANAGEMENT: POTENTIAL, POSSIBILITIES, AND PRACTICALITIES.

AUTHORS: STEPHEN SWAILES, YVONNE NOVAKOVIC, KEVIN ORR (2014)

This study examines the potential and capabilities of comprehensive human resource management as an alternative to traditional approaches. The aim is to define inclusive human resource management by examining in detail the term 'inclusive' in the human resource context. This text proposes a typology of human resource management strategies and provides clarity for researchers in this field. This framework utilizes positive psychology and capability approaches to balance theoretical foundations with practical implications. This study examines the practical outcomes of comprehensive talent management strategies and deepens our understanding of talent management in modern organizations.

CHAPTER -3

Research Methodology

The research methodology for assessing talent management effectiveness in promoting diversity at the workplace encompasses the systematic framework and procedures employed to investigate and analyse the relationship between talent management practices and diversity promotion within organizational settings. It involves the selection and application of appropriate research methods, techniques, and tools to collect, analyse and interpret data in order to address the research objectives and answer the research questions posed by the study.

This methodology outlines the overall approach, design, data collection methods, sampling techniques, data analysis procedures, and ethical considerations that will guide the research process. It aims to ensure the rigor, validity, reliability, and ethical integrity of the study, facilitating the generation of meaningful insights and conclusions regarding the effectiveness of talent management strategies in fostering diversity and inclusivity in the workplace.

By employing a mixed-methods approach that integrates qualitative and quantitative techniques, this research methodology seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of talent management practices and their impact on diversity outcomes. It aims to contribute to the existing body of knowledge on diversity management and offer practical recommendations for organizations striving to create diverse, equitable, and inclusive work environments.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this research study are as follows:-

- To identify the impact of diversity on employee's engagement, productivity, and retention.
- To identify the challenges faced by companies on talent management at workplace.
- To study the strategies that can be used to promote diversity.

NEED OF THE STUDY

Organizational Imperative for Diversity: In today's globalized world, organizations recognize diversity as a key driver of innovation, creativity, and competitive advantage. Diverse teams bring together varied perspectives, experiences, and skills that can lead to better problem-solving and decision-making. Therefore, there is a growing imperative for organizations to cultivate diverse workforces to remain relevant and successful.

Legal and Ethical Considerations: Many jurisdictions have regulations and laws in place that mandate or encourage diversity and inclusion in the workplace. Beyond legal compliance, there is a growing awareness of the ethical imperative to create environments where all individuals feel valued, respected, and empowered to contribute their best.

Talent Management's Role in Diversity: Talent management practices, including recruitment, selection, training, development, and performance management, play a crucial role in shaping the composition and culture of an organization's workforce. Effectively aligning these practices with diversity goals is essential for achieving meaningful progress in diversity and inclusion initiatives.

Potential Benefits and Challenges: While diversity offers numerous potential benefits, such as improved employee engagement, innovation, and market responsiveness, realizing these benefits requires intentional and effective talent management strategies. However, organizations often face challenges in implementing and sustaining diversity initiatives, including bias in recruitment and promotion, lack of inclusive leadership, and resistance to change.

Gap in Knowledge and Practice: Despite the growing emphasis on diversity and inclusion in organizational discourse, there remains a gap between rhetoric and practice. Many organizations struggle to assess the effectiveness of their talent management practices in promoting diversity and may lack actionable insights to drive meaningful improvements.

Strategic Advantage: Organizations that successfully leverage diversity as a strategic asset gain a competitive edge in attracting and retaining top talent, accessing new markets, and enhancing their reputation as socially responsible entities. Understanding the effectiveness of talent management in promoting diversity can provide organizations with a roadmap for achieving strategic advantage through diversity and inclusion.

SCOPE OF STUDY

The scope of the study on assessing talent management effectiveness in promoting diversity at the workplace encompasses an in-depth examination of talent management practices and their impact on diversity initiatives within organizational settings. This study will focus on various dimensions of talent management, including recruitment, selection, training, development, performance management, and leadership initiatives, evaluating their alignment with diversity goals and objectives. Additionally, the research will explore potential barriers and challenges hindering the successful implementation of diversity initiatives, aiming to provide insights into best practices for creating diverse, equitable, and inclusive work environments. By employing a mixed-methods approach and considering perspectives from HR professionals, organizational leaders, diversity officers, and employees, the study seeks to offer practical recommendations for organizations striving to enhance diversity promotion strategies and foster organizational success in today's diverse workforce landscape.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design: Evaluating Talent Management's Contribution to Diversity.

Sample Size:

- Survey: A sample size of 100 employees will be targeted, aiming for a representative distribution across different demographics.
- Focus groups: Three focus groups will be conducted, each consisting of 8-10 participants.
- Interviews: 10-15 semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders.

SAMPLE TECHNIQUES

Sampling techniques in research vary widely, offering researchers a range of options to select participants for their studies. Simple random sampling involves randomly selecting individuals from a population, ensuring an equal chance for everyone to be included. Stratified sampling involves dividing the population into subgroups based on specific characteristics and then randomly selecting samples from each subgroup. Systematic sampling selects individuals at regular intervals from a randomly chosen starting point. Cluster sampling involves dividing the population into clusters and randomly selecting entire clusters for study. Convenience sampling and purposive

sampling are non-random methods where participants are chosen based on availability or specific criteria, respectively. Snowball sampling relies on existing participants to recruit others in a chain-like fashion. Quota sampling sets predetermined quotas for different subgroups, while judgmental sampling relies on researchers' judgment to select participants. Each technique has its advantages and limitations, requiring careful consideration based on research objectives, population characteristics, and available resources to ensure a representative and reliable sample for study.

DATA COLLECTION TECHNIQUE: VOLUNTARY RESPONSE SAMPLING

This research employed Voluntary Response Sampling to gather data. Participants were invited to participate through [indicate the medium used, e.g., online postings, social media, announcements], and their participation was solely based on their own willingness.

While this method offers advantages such as ease of access and potentially higher response rates, it is crucial to acknowledge its inherent limitations.


- **Self-selection bias:** Individuals with specific opinions or experiences may be more likely to participate, leading to an overrepresentation of certain viewpoints and potentially skewing the data.

Non-representativeness: The voluntary nature of the sampling method may result in a sample that doesn't accurately reflect the characteristics of the broader population, limiting the generalizability of the findings.

DATA INTERPRETATION

1. Age

100 responses




Out of the 100 individuals surveyed, it was found that 50% of them fall into the age category of under 25 years old. Additionally, 34% of the respondents are aged between 25 and 34, while 13% fall within the age range of 34 to 44. Moreover, 1% of the participants are aged between 45 and 54, and interestingly, none of the surveyed individuals are 55 years old or above.

Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Under 25	52	52%
25 -34	34	34%
35-44	13	13%
45-54	1	1%
55 and above	0	0 %
Total	100	100

2. Gender

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Male	63	63%
Female	36	36%
Others	0	0%
Prefer not to say	1	1%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset comprising 100 individuals' gender information, it was observed that 63% identify as male, while 36% identify as female. Additionally, a noteworthy 1% of the participants preferred not to disclose their gender identity. Strikingly, none of the respondents identified with any other gender category.

3. Education

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
School	1	1%
Undergraduate	5	5%
Graduate	26	26%
Master's degree	65	65%
PhD	3	3 %
Total	100	100%

In the dataset encompassing information on the education levels of 100 individuals, it was revealed that a substantial majority, comprising 65% of the participants, held a master's degree. Moreover, 26% of the surveyed individuals reported having attained a graduate degree, while 5% indicated that they were currently pursuing or had completed undergraduate studies. Furthermore, a noteworthy 3% of the respondents had achieved the highest academic accolade of a Ph.D. Additionally, 1% of the participants reported having completed education up to the school level.

4.Designation

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Executive	55	55%
Manager	25	25%
Supervisor	8	8%
Administrator	12	12%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset comprising information on the professional designations of 100 individuals, it was found that a majority, constituting 55% of the respondents, held the title of executive. Furthermore, 25% of the participants were identified as managers, indicating a significant portion of the surveyed population. Moreover, 8% of the individuals held the role of supervisor within their respective organizations. Interestingly, 12% of the surveyed individuals were classified as administrators, representing a notable segment of the dataset.

5. Geographic Location

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Domestic	98	98%
International	2	2%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset encompassing the geographic locations of 100 individuals, it was discerned that an overwhelming majority, accounting for 98% of the participants, resided within domestic territories. Interestingly, a small yet notable minority, constituting 2% of the surveyed population, hailed from international locations, reflecting a diverse array of geographical origins within the dataset.

6. How long have you been working with the company?

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Less than 1 year	42	42%
1-3 years	35	35%
3-5 years	8	8%
More than 5 years	15	15%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset capturing the responses regarding the duration of tenure with the company among 100 individuals, it was noted that a considerable proportion, comprising 42% of the participants, reported having been with the company for less than one year. Additionally, 35% of the surveyed individuals indicated a tenure ranging between one to three years, representing a substantial portion of the dataset. Moreover, 8% of the respondents reported a duration of employment spanning between three to five years, while 15% of the participants stated that they had been associated with the company for more than five years, demonstrating a noteworthy longevity in their professional engagement.

7. Do you feel valued and respected for your unique contributions in the workplace.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	3	3%
Disagree	3	3 %
Neutral	22	22%
Agree	53	53%
Strongly Agree	19	19%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset compiled from responses regarding individuals' perceptions of feeling valued and respected for their unique contributions in the workplace among 100 participants, it was discerned that a minority, constituting 3% of the respondents, strongly disagreed with this notion. Similarly, another 3% of the surveyed individuals expressed disagreement. Furthermore, a noteworthy 22% of the participants reported feeling neutral about this aspect, indicating a considerable segment with mixed sentiments. Conversely, a majority, comprising 53% of the respondents, agreed with feeling valued and respected for their unique contributions. Additionally, 19% of the participants strongly agreed with this sentiment, highlighting a significant portion of the dataset affirming a sense of recognition and appreciation in the workplace for their individual contributions.

8. Do you feel comfortable expressing your ideas and opinions, even if they differ from others.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	1	1%
Disagree	2	2%
Neutral	15	15%
Agree	54	54%
Strongly Agree	28	28%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset containing responses regarding individuals' comfort levels in expressing their ideas and opinions, even when differing from others, among a sample of 100 participants, it was evident that a mere 1% of respondents strongly disagreed with this notion. Additionally, a further 2% expressed disagreement with the statement. Furthermore, a notable 15% of participants reported feeling neutral, indicating a significant portion with ambivalent feelings on the matter. Conversely, a substantial majority, comprising 54% of respondents, agreed with feeling comfortable expressing divergent ideas and opinions. Moreover, an impressive 28% of individuals strongly agreed with this sentiment, underscoring a considerable portion of the dataset affirming a sense of confidence and openness in sharing their perspectives, even in the face of differing viewpoints from others.

9. Do you have a strong sense of belonging to your team or department.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	1	1%
Disagree	2	2%
Neutral	14	14%
Agree	60	60%
Strongly Agree	23	23%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset comprising responses regarding individuals' perceptions of having a strong sense of belonging to their team or department, drawn from a sample of 100 participants, it emerged that only 1% of respondents strongly disagreed with this notion. Moreover, an additional 2% expressed disagreement with the statement. Furthermore, a noteworthy 14% of participants reported feeling neutral, indicating a considerable portion with ambivalence towards the concept of belonging. Conversely, a significant majority, accounting for 60% of respondents, agreed with having a strong sense of belonging to their team or department. Additionally, a substantial 23% of individuals strongly agreed with this sentiment, highlighting a considerable segment of the dataset affirming a profound sense of attachment and camaraderie within their respective work environments.

10. Are you motivated to go the extra mile for the success of the company.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	3	3%
Disagree	3	3%
Neutral	21	21%
Agree	42	42%
Strongly Agree	31	31%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset encompassing responses regarding individuals' motivation to go the extra mile for the success of the company, collected from a sample of 100 participants, it was evident that a mere 3% of respondents strongly disagreed with this notion. Additionally, an additional 3% expressed disagreement with the statement. Furthermore, a notable 21% of participants reported feeling neutral, indicating a considerable portion with ambivalence towards exerting additional effort for the company's success. Conversely, a significant majority, comprising 42% of respondents, agreed with feeling motivated to go the extra mile. Moreover, an impressive 31% of individuals strongly agreed with this sentiment, underscoring a considerable portion of the dataset affirming a strong drive and commitment to contributing to the company's success above and beyond the call of duty.

11. Do you feel there are opportunities for you to learn and grow in your career at your workplace.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	1	1%
Disagree	2	2%
Neutral	26	26%
Agree	43	43%
Strongly Agree	28	28%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset comprising responses regarding individuals' perceptions of opportunities for learning and growth in their careers at their workplace, obtained from a sample of 100 participants, it became apparent that only 1% of respondents strongly disagreed with this notion. Additionally, an additional 2% expressed disagreement with the statement. Furthermore, a notable 26% of participants reported feeling neutral, indicating a considerable portion with ambivalence towards the availability of learning and growth opportunities. Conversely, a significant majority, comprising 43% of respondents, agreed with the existence of such opportunities. Moreover, an impressive 28% of individuals strongly agreed with this sentiment, highlighting a considerable portion of the dataset affirming a sense of optimism and confidence in the potential for personal and professional development within their workplace.

16. Do a diverse workforce makes the company a more attractive place to work.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	0	0%
Disagree	3	3%
Neutral	15	15%
Agree	55	55%
Strongly Agree	27	27%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset comprising responses regarding individuals' perceptions of opportunities for learning and growth in their careers at their workplace, obtained from a sample of 100 participants, it became apparent that only 1% of respondents strongly disagreed with this notion. The majority of respondents, a combined 82%, either agree or strongly agree that a diverse workforce makes the company a more attractive place to work. This suggests that a significant portion of the respondents see diversity as a positive aspect of a company's culture and environment. Only a small percentage, 3%, disagreed and 15% neutral, indicating that there are some individuals who do not perceive diversity as enhancing the attractiveness of a company as a place to work.

17. Do difficulty attract qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds?

100 responses



Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	1	1%
Disagree	6	6%
Neutral	28	28%
Agree	42	42%
Strongly Agree	23	23%
Total	100	100%

The data reflects a range of opinions regarding whether difficulty attracts qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds:

Strongly Disagree & Disagree (7%):

A combined 7% (1% strongly disagree + 6% disagree) believe that difficulty does not attract qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds. This minority suggests that difficulty may be a deterrent for attracting diverse talent.

Neutral (28%): 28% of respondents are neutral on the issue. This could indicate uncertainty or lack of a clear stance regarding whether difficulty plays a role in attracting qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds.

Agree & Strongly Agree (65%):


A majority of 65% (42% agree + 23% strongly agree) perceive that difficulty does attract qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds. This suggests that many respondents believe that challenging or demanding environments

may serve as a draw for diverse talent, possibly because such environments are seen as opportunities for growth, recognition, or unique experiences.

The data indicates a predominant belief among respondents that difficulty in a work environment can indeed attract qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds. However, there is still a notable portion of respondents who are neutral or disagree with this notion, highlighting the complexity of the issue and the need for further exploration in understanding how different factors influence the recruitment of diverse talent.

18. Do unconscious bias impact hiring and promotion decisions?


100 responses



Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	1	1%
Disagree	5	5%
Neutral	28	28%
Agree	45	45%
Strongly Agree	21	21%
Total	100	100%

Out of the 100 individuals surveyed regarding the impact of unconscious bias on hiring and promotion decisions, the responses varied significantly. A mere 1% strongly disagreed with the notion that unconscious bias influences these decisions. Similarly, only 5% expressed disagreement with this idea. The majority, constituting 28% of respondents, remained neutral, neither agreeing nor disagreeing. However, a significant portion, comprising 45% of those surveyed, agreed that unconscious bias does affect hiring and promotion decisions. Lastly, 21% of participants strongly agreed with this perspective, indicating a strong belief in the influence of unconscious bias in the workplace.

19. Do you feel your workplace have lack of mentorship and development opportunities for diverse employees.
100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	5	5%
Disagree	19	19%
Neutral	19	19%
Agree	39	39%
Strongly Agree	18	18%
Total	100	100%

From the sample of 100 individuals surveyed regarding the presence of mentorship and development opportunities for diverse employees in their workplace, the responses varied significantly. A small fraction, constituting 5% of respondents, strongly disagreed with the assertion that their workplace lacks such opportunities. Similarly, 19% expressed disagreement with this statement. Interestingly, an equal proportion, also comprising 19% of participants,

remained neutral, neither agreeing nor disagreeing with the notion. However, a significant majority, totaling 39% of those surveyed, agreed that their workplace indeed lacks mentorship and development opportunities for diverse employees. Furthermore, 18% of respondents strongly agreed with this perspective, indicating a strong belief in the inadequacy of mentorship and development initiatives for diverse employees within their workplace.

20. Is there any Communication issues due to cultural or language barriers?

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	5	5%
Disagree	21	21%
Neutral	25	25%
Agree	27	27%
Strongly Agree	22	22%
Total	100	100%

Upon surveying 100 individuals regarding communication issues stemming from cultural or language barriers in their workplace, the responses exhibit notable diversity. A small minority, comprising 5% of participants, strongly disagreed with the notion that such issues exist. Additionally, 21% expressed disagreement with this assertion.

Interestingly, a quarter of respondents, accounting for 25% of the sample, remained neutral on the matter, neither agreeing nor disagreeing. However, a significant portion, constituting 27% of those surveyed, agreed that communication issues due to cultural or language barriers do indeed exist in their workplace. Furthermore, 22% of participants strongly agreed with this perspective, indicating a firm belief in the presence of communication challenges arising from cultural or language differences within their workplace environment

21. Do you feel difficulty in fostering a sense of belonging for all employees.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	2	2%
Disagree	11	11%
Neutral	32	32%
Agree	36	36%
Strongly Agree	19	19%
Total	100	100%

In gathering insights from a diverse pool of 100 individuals regarding the challenge of fostering a sense of belonging for all employees within their workplace, the responses unveil a spectrum of perspectives. Merely 2% of participants vehemently disagreed with the notion, indicating a strong conviction that they do not encounter difficulty in

nurturing a sense of belonging. Similarly, 11% expressed disagreement with this sentiment. Interestingly, a substantial portion, comprising 32% of respondents, remained neutral on the matter, neither affirming nor refuting the assertion. However, a notable majority, accounting for 36% of those surveyed, acknowledged experiencing difficulty in fostering a sense of belonging for all employees. Furthermore, 19% of participants strongly agreed with this viewpoint, underscoring a firm belief in the challenges associated with cultivating inclusivity and belongingness within their workplace environment.

22. Have you witnessed or experience microaggressions based on race, gender, sexual orientation, or other identities.
100 responses



Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	15	15%
Disagree	15	15%
Neutral	24	24%
Agree	33	33%
Strongly Agree	13	13%
Total	100	100%


The data suggests a varied perception regarding the witnessing or experiencing of microaggressions based on race, gender, sexual orientation, or other identities. A

combined 30% (15% strongly disagree + 15% disagree) do not perceive witnessing or experiencing microaggressions. A significant portion, 57% (33% agree + 24% neutral), acknowledge or indicate some level of

witnessing or experiencing microaggressions. Among those who acknowledge, 13% strongly agree that they have witnessed or experienced microaggressions. Overall, the data indicates that most respondents, although not unanimous, recognize the presence of microaggressions based on various identities. This suggests that microaggressions are perceived as a real and prevalent issue by a significant portion of the respondents, with some expressing stronger agreement than others.

23. Do you feel lack of clear diversity goals at your workplace?

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	5	5%
Disagree	22	22%
Neutral	28	28%
Agree	25	25%
Strongly Agree	20	20%
Total	100	100%

The data suggests a mixed perception regarding the presence of clear diversity goals in the workplace: A combined 27% (5% strongly disagree + 22% disagree) believe that there are clear diversity goals in their workplace. A significant portion, 48% (28% neutral + 20% strongly agree), neither strongly agree nor disagree, indicating a level

of uncertainty or lack of clarity regarding diversity goals. However, a notable 45% (25% agree + 20% strongly agree) do acknowledge the existence of clear diversity goals in their workplace. Overall, while there is a sizable portion of respondents who perceive the presence of clear diversity goals in their workplace, nearly half of the respondent’s express uncertainty or feel that there is a lack of clear diversity goals. This suggests potential areas for improvement in communication or implementation of diversity initiatives within the organization.

24. Do you feel difficult to maintain a healthy work-life balance due to my cultural or family needs.

100 responses



Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	5	5%
Disagree	22	22%
Neutral	23	23%
Agree	33	33%
Strongly Agree	17	17%
Total	100	100%

The data suggests a diverse range of perspectives regarding the difficulty of maintaining a healthy work-life balance due to cultural or family needs:


A combined 27% (5% strongly disagree + 22% disagree) perceive little to no difficulty in maintaining a healthy work-life balance despite cultural or family needs.

A majority of 50% (33% agree + 17% strongly agree) acknowledge experiencing some level of difficulty in maintaining this balance due to cultural or family needs.

A notable portion, 23%, remains neutral on the issue.

25. Is there any Difficulty in measuring the impact of diversity and programs.

100 responses



Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	3	3%
Disagree	12	12%
Neutral	39	39%
Agree	29	29%
Strongly Agree	17	17%
Total	100	100%


The data indicates a mixed perception regarding the difficulty in measuring the impact of diversity programs:

A combined 15% (3% strongly disagree + 12% disagree) believe there is little to no difficulty in measuring the impact of diversity programs. A majority of 46% (29% agree + 17% strongly agree) acknowledge that there is some level of difficulty in measuring the impact of diversity programs. A significant portion, 39%, remains neutral on the issue. Overall, the data suggests that there is a notable acknowledgment among respondents that measuring the

impact of diversity programs presents challenges. While some respondents see it as straightforward, a majority perceive varying degrees of difficulty or uncertainty in effectively measuring this impact.

26. Do you feel there is insufficient budget allocated for diversity initiatives.

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	6	6%
Disagree	12	12%
Neutral	26	26%
Agree	38	38%
Strongly Agree	18	18%
Total	100	100%

The data suggests a varied perception regarding whether there is an insufficient budget allocated for diversity initiatives: A combined 18% (6% Strongly Disagree + 12% Disagree) disagree with the statement, indicating they believe the budget allocated for diversity initiatives is sufficient. 64% (26% Neutral + 38% Agree) either feel neutral or agree that there is insufficient budget allocated for diversity initiatives. 18% strongly agree that there is insufficient budget allocated for diversity initiatives. Overall, a majority of respondents (82%) either feel neutral or agree that there is insufficient budget allocated for diversity initiatives. This indicates a potential concern or perceived need for increased funding in this area. The responses of those who strongly agree also emphasize the urgency or seriousness of the issue from the perspective of some respondents.

27. Working in a diverse environment make you feel comfortable?

100 responses




Responses	No. of responses	% Of responses
Strongly disagree	1	1%
Disagree	4	4%
Neutral	23	23%
Agree	50	50%
Strongly Agree	22	22%
Total	100	100%

In the dataset comprising responses regarding individuals' perceptions of opportunities for learning and growth in their careers at their workplace, obtained from a sample of 100 participants, it became apparent that the data indicates a varied perception regarding whether working in a diverse environment makes individuals feel comfortable. A combined 54% (1% Strongly Disagree + 4% Disagree + 23% Neutral) have reservations or are neutral about feeling comfortable in a diverse environment. 72% (50% Agree + 22% Strongly Agree) either agree or strongly agree that working in a diverse environment makes them feel comfortable. Overall, while there is a significant portion of respondents who are either neutral or have reservations about feeling comfortable in a diverse

environment, the majority of respondents (72%) do feel comfortable in such settings, either agreeing or strongly agreeing with the statement.

28. Do your workplace Provides opportunities for all employees to learn about different cultures and backgrounds?

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	27	27
Disagree	20	20
Neutral	48	48
Agree	2	2
Strongly Agree	3	3
Total	100	100

The pie chart you sent shows the results of a survey question that asks employees whether their workplace provides opportunities for all employees to learn about different cultures and backgrounds. Here's a breakdown of the results:

Strongly Agree (27%) - Nearly a third of the respondents (27%) strongly agree that their workplace provides opportunities for all employees to learn about different cultures and backgrounds.


Agree (20%) - Another fifth of the respondents (20%) agree that their workplace provides opportunities for all employees to learn about different cultures and backgrounds.

Neutral (48%) - Almost half of the respondents (48%) said they were neutral on the statement.

The results show that a significant portion of the employees believe that there are opportunities to learn about different cultures and backgrounds at their workplace, but nearly half are neutral on the issue. It is important to note that the survey does not tell us what kind of opportunities are available or how effective they are.

29. Do your workplace Offers a flexible work arrangements to accommodate diverse needs and lifestyle?

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	11	11%
Disagree	21	21%
Neutral	41	41%
Agree	23	23%
Strongly Agree	4	4%
Total	100	100%

This pie chart that shows the responses to the question "Do your workplace offer a flexible work arrangement to accommodate diverse needs and lifestyles?" [Out of] 100 people responded to the survey.

Strongly Disagree (11%) - Eleven percent of the respondents strongly disagreed that their workplace offers flexible work arrangements.


Disagree (21%) - Twenty-one percent of the respondents disagreed that their workplace offers flexible work arrangements.

Neutral (41%) - Forty-one percent of the respondents said they were neutral on the statement.

Agree (23%) - Twenty-three percent of the respondents agreed that their workplace offers flexible work arrangements.


Strongly Agree (4%) - Four percent of the respondents strongly agreed that their workplace offers flexible work arrangements.

The results show that a slight majority of the employees (64%) disagree or are neutral on the idea that their workplace offers flexible work arrangements. Only 27% of the respondents agreed or strongly agreed. It is important to note that the survey does not tell us what kind of flexible work arrangements are offered, or how many employees use them.



30. Do your workplace celebrate achievements of employees from all backgrounds during company events.

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	7	7%
Disagree	17	17%
Neutral	23	23%
Agree	52	52%
Strongly Agree	1	1%
Total	100	100%

It shows how employees from different backgrounds feel about their company celebrating achievements at events. Here's a breakdown of the results:

Strongly Disagree (7%) - Seven percent of the respondents strongly disagree that their workplace celebrates achievements of employees from all backgrounds during company events.

Disagree (17%) - Seventeen percent of the respondents disagree.


Neutral (23%) - Twenty-three percent of the respondents are neutral on the issue.

Agree (52%) - Fifty-two percent of the respondents agreed that their workplace celebrates achievements of employees from all backgrounds during company events.

Strongly Agree (1%) - Only one percent of the respondents strongly agreed.

A majority (53%) of the employees responded positively to the statement that their workplace celebrates achievements of employees from all backgrounds during company events. However, a significant portion (47%) disagreed, were neutral, or unsure. It's important to note that the survey doesn't tell us how employees define "celebrating achievements" or if those celebrations are perceived as fair and inclusive.

31. Do your workplace Create employee resource groups for different identity groups (e.g., women, LGBTQ+, etc.).
100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	8	8%
Disagree	22	22%
Neutral	38	38%
Agree	31	31%
Strongly Agree	1	1%
Total	100	100%

This pie chart shows the percentage of responses to the question "Do your workplace create employee resource groups for different identity groups (e.g., women, LGBTQ+, etc.)?" [Out of] 100 people responded to the survey.

Strongly Disagree (8%) - Eight percent of the respondents strongly disagreed that their workplace creates employee resource groups.

Disagree (22%) - Twenty-two percent of the respondents disagreed.

Neutral (38%) - Almost half of the respondents (38%) said they were neutral on the statement.


Agree (31%) - Thirty-one percent of the respondents agreed that their workplace creates employee resource groups.

Strongly Agree (1%) - Only one percent of the respondents strongly agreed.

A little over a third (32%) of the employees responded positively to the statement that their workplace creates employee resource groups. However, a significant portion (60%) disagreed, were neutral, or unsure. It is important to note that the survey does not tell us why some respondents disagree or are neutral about employee resource groups.

32. Do your workplace Holds employees accountable for creating an diverse and respectful work environment.

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	7	7%
Disagree	17	17%
Neutral	44	44%
Agree	21	21%
Strongly Agree	11	11%
Total	100	100%

This pie chart titled "Do your workplace Holds employees accountable for creating an diverse and respectful work environment?" It shows how employees feel about being held accountable for creating a diverse and respectful work environment. Here's a breakdown of the results:

Strongly Disagree (7%) - Seven percent of the respondents strongly disagree that their workplace holds employees accountable for creating a diverse and respectful work environment.

Disagree (17%) - Seventeen percent of the respondents disagree.

Neutral (44%) - Forty-four percent of the respondents are neutral on the issue.


Agree (21%) - Twenty-one percent of the respondents agreed that their workplace holds employees accountable for creating a diverse and respectful work environment.

Strongly Agree (11%) - Eleven percent of the respondents strongly agreed.

A little over a third (32%) of the employees responded positively to the statement that their workplace holds employees accountable for creating a diverse and respectful work environment. However, a significant portion (61%) disagreed, were neutral, or unsure. It is important to note that the survey does not tell us what exactly

33. Do your workplace Offers unconscious bias training to all employees?

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	12	12%
Disagree	22	22%
Neutral	33	33%
Agree	30	30%
Strongly Agree	3	3%
Total	100	100%

This chart titled "Employee sentiment on unconscious bias training" . It shows how employees feel about unconscious bias training at their workplace. Here's a breakdown of the results:

Strongly Disagree (12%) - Twelve percent of the respondents strongly disagree that unconscious bias training is effective.

Disagree (22%) - Twenty-two percent of the respondents disagree.

Neutral (33%) - Thirty-three percent of the respondents are neutral on the issue.


Agree (30%) - Thirty percent of the respondents agreed that unconscious bias training is effective.

Strongly Agree (3%) - Three percent of the respondents strongly agreed.

A little over a third (33%) of the employees responded positively to the statement that unconscious bias training is effective. However, a significant portion (67%) disagreed, were neutral, or unsure. It is important to note that the survey does not tell us why some respondents believe unconscious bias training is not effective.

34. How often do you feel that regularly collecting feedback from employees at the workplace diversity efforts.

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	29	29%
Disagree	41	41%
Neutral	23	23%
Agree	7	7%
Strongly Agree	0	0%
Total	100	100%

The pie chart shows the survey responses from 100 employees about how often they feel regularly collecting feedback from employees affects diversity efforts in the workplace.

Strongly Disagree (29%) - Nearly a third of the respondents (29%) said they **strongly disagree** that regularly collecting feedback from employees affects diversity efforts in the workplace.


Disagree (41%) - Over two-fifths (41%) of the respondents **disagree** that regularly collecting feedback from employees affects diversity efforts in the workplace.

Neutral (23%) - Almost a quarter of the respondents (23%) were **neutral** on the issue.

Agree (7%) - Only 7% of the respondents **agreed** that regularly collecting feedback from employees affects diversity efforts in the workplace.


Strongly Agree (0%) - None of the respondents **strongly agreed** that regularly collecting feedback from employees affects diversity efforts in the workplace.

A very low percentage (7%) of the employees believe that regularly collecting feedback affects diversity efforts in the workplace. On the other hand, a large majority (70%) of the respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed. This suggests that most employees surveyed don't see a connection between collecting feedback and improvements in diversity and inclusion.



35. Do your workplace rewards managers who successfully build and lead diverse teams.

100 responses



RESPONSES	NO. OF RESPONSES	% OF RESPONSES
Strongly disagree	24	24%
Disagree	20	20%
Neutral	28	28%
Agree	44	44%
Strongly Agree	4	4%
Total	100	100%

It shows how employees responded to a survey question about whether their workplace rewards managers for building diverse teams. Here's a breakdown of the results:

Strongly Disagree (24%) - Nearly a quarter of the respondents (24%) said they strongly disagree that their workplace rewards managers for building diverse teams.

Disagree (20%) - Another fifth of the respondents (20%) **disagree** with the statement.

Neutral (28%) - Almost a third of the respondents (28%) were **neutral** on the issue.

Agree (44%) - Nearly half of the respondents (44%) **agreed** that their workplace rewards managers for building diverse teams.

Strongly Agree (4%) - Only 4% of the respondents **strongly agreed** with the statement.

A significant portion (48%) of the respondents disagreed or were neutral on the idea that their workplace rewards managers for building diverse teams. On the other hand, nearly half (44%) agreed with the statement. This suggests that there is no clear consensus among the employees surveyed about whether or not their workplace rewards managers for building diverse teams.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Emphasizes the need for organizations to move beyond superficial diversity efforts and focus on true diversity, which requires significant cultural and operational changes. It highlights the importance of addressing the unique experiences and challenges faced by individuals from diverse and marginalized groups, requiring a more thoughtful approach to diversity that goes beyond simple categorization. The document recommends inclusive hiring, diversity training, and combating discrimination as essential human resources practices related to diversity. It also underscores the benefits of diversity for organizations, while acknowledging the difficulties in managing diversity due to barriers such as bias, resistance, accountability, and limited resources.

Furthermore, it explores the potential of artificial intelligence to improve talent management strategies through advanced automated systems for workforce management. It discusses the objective of the study, which is to discover the new requirements for generating an ai-oriented artifact to effectively address talent management issues. The design artifact proposed is an intelligent human resource management automation solution for talent career management primarily based on a talent intelligent module. The key goal of this initiative is to improve connections between professional assessment and planning features. The study utilizes a design science methodology to investigate the use of organized machine learning approaches in this context.

In addition, it delves into the importance of workforce diversity management as a global imperative, highlighting the need for organizations to leverage inclusion as a strategy for success. It emphasizes the role of internal brand management in creating a favorable psychological environment within an organization and suggests leveraging communication strategies to turn employees into brand ambassadors. The document also underscores the need for companies to promote diversity and inclusion through various strategies, including education, open policies, accurate assessment of staffing needs, integration of diversity into corporate strategy, and effective communication to make diverse employees brand ambassadors.

Moreover, it also examines the relationship between diversity management and organizational effectiveness, emphasizing the need for an approach that prioritizes employee engagement by considering their opinions and promoting self-esteem. It highlights the importance of creating a productive workplace by encouraging employees to express their opinions and consulting them when making important decisions, which requires supportive leadership and the provision of information and resources as employees make work-related decisions.

In this we discuss the challenges and limitations in managing workforce diversity, including the gap between statement and achievement, the influence of mandatory diversity training, goals, and monitoring on motivating managers to adopt progressive actions, and the ultimate limitations of management discretion. It highlights the tensions in approaches that combine attitude change with behavioral control and the difficulties in achieving complete closure in the implementation of equality.

REFERENCES AND CITATIONS

1. Faqih, A., & Miah, S. J. (2023). Artificial intelligence-driven talent management system: Exploring the risks and options for constructing a theoretical foundation. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 16(1), 31.
2. Nweiser, M. T., & Dajnoki, K. (2022). The importance of workforce diversity management as a global imperative – A systematic review. *Oradea Journal of Business and Economics*, 23(2), 107-122.
3. Morfaki, C., & Morfaki, A. (2022). Managing workforce diversity: A critical review and future directions. Oti, F., Messah, O. B., & Mwalekwa, F. K. (2022). Effects of workplace diversity management on organizational effectiveness: A case study of Kenya Commercial Bank Limited. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 14(33), 1-12.
- Cherian, J., & Gaikar, V. (2020). The role of cultural diversity and how they impact work team performance. Abu Dhabi University.
- Noon, M., & Ogbonna, E. (2020). Controlling management to deliver diversity: Prospects and limits. *Work, Employment and Society*, 34(1), 142-160.
- Kamel, N. (2019). Implementing talent management and its effect on employee engagement and organizational performance.
- Stephens, N. M., Rivera, L. A., & Townsend, S. S. M. (2019). What works to increase diversity? A multi-level approach.
- Hughes, C. (2018). Conclusion: Diversity intelligence as a core of diversity training and leadership development.

Szymanska, A., & Aldighieri, D. (2017). Diversity and inclusion in the workplace: Employee perception and implications for internal brand management.

Starostka-Patyk, M., Tomski, P., & Zawada, M. (2015). Diversity management as a part of corporate social responsibility policy.

D'Netto, B., Shen, J., Chelliah, J., & Monga, M. (2014). Human resource diversity management practices in the Australian manufacturing sector.

Swales, S., Novakovic, Y., & Orr, K. (2014). Conceptualizing inclusive talent management: Potential, possibilities, and practicalities.

